yournens THE

Compleat Arbitrator;

ORTHE

Law of Awards;

CONTAINING.

tions to all Kinds of Arhitrators; what Matters may be submitted to Arbitration, and in what Manner.

II. The Nature and different Kinds of Submissions, the Parties to the Submisfion, and the Office and Duty of Arbitrators and Umpires.

I. Plain and easy Direc- III. Of the right Manner of making and delivering up Awards; and how Awards have been construed in Equity.

> IV. The Manner of making and enforcing the Performance of Awards, when the Submission has been made a Rule of Court; and the right Method of fetting forth and pleading Awards.

By MATTHEW BACON, Efq; of the Middle Temple, (Author of the New Abridgment of the Law, in 5 vols.)

The THIRD EDITION, with Precedents of Submissions, Awards and Pleadings in English;

To which is added A large Table of the Principal Matters.

UK 990.4 BAR

LONDON:

Printed by his Majesty's Law-Printers; for J. WORRALL and B. Tovey, at the Dove in Bell-Yard near Lincoln's Inn. M DCC LXX.

AB129com

Rec. Feb. 3, 1898.

and the same that the same of the same

THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF MANY

property and the second

William to the the think of the distance

THE

PREFACE.

T is one of the greatest Objections to our Laws, that the Way to the Knowledge of them is so dark and rugged, so full of Windings and Turnings, that the most Knowing very often find it difficult to be able to pronounce with Certainty, concerning some Points they are sollicitous about.

And this Difficulty arises not only from the complex Nature of Law in general, but likewise from that unhappy Frame and Texture in which we see our Laws com-

pofed.

The Knowledge of our Law must for the most part be drawn from the Books of Reports, which are now increased to a great Number; these Books contain great Variety, and almost an infinite Number of Cases, some of them published in the Life-time of the Authors, and some from impersect Manuscripts of Persons deceased, which were never designed for publick Use; and all of them collected

by Men of different Abilities and Understandings: For this Reason it is, that we see them labouring with Confusion, Intricacy, and Incertainty, and contradicting each other, not only in similar Cases, but likewise in one and the same

Cafe.

And hence it is, that the Method of writing general Abridgments, or particular Treatises on some general and useful Head of the Law, has received a Sanction; the Design of which being to bring together, and range in proper Order, the Cases pertinent to any one Subject, a Person may the more easily unravel and rescue it from this Consusion and Intricacy, and by seeing all the Cases together at one View, discover which of them do actually contradict one another, or only seem to do so; how our Modern Resolutions differ from the Antient, and upon what Grounds and Distinctions.

And this, when done with Care and fome Accuracy, has been always thought to be useful to the Profession of the Law; and in this respect the following Treatise, if it shall be thought to answer any of these Purposes, will have its Share of Merit, as its Subject laboured under these

Difficulties as much as any other.

But what is expected will chiefly recommend it, and what indeed was principally defigned, is, that it will be a Means
of saving the Time and Expence, which
too many have unhappily experienced
attends the Prosecutions of Suits in Law
and Equity; and surely there needs not
many Arguments to inforce its Use upon
this Account.

It is true, there have been some late Asts of Parliament made, which feem greatly to facilitate the Methods of Proceeding in Law, and that several new Remedies are found out, which feem more fure and expeditious for recovering in Civil Actions, than those formerly used. But notwithstanding these, have not most Causes of Consequence which come into Westminster-Hall, a Mixture of Law and Equity, by which, and of Necessity they must, according to the Practice of our Courts, be long in Agitation before they can be determined? Is not the Construction of those Acts, which have been made for the Amendment of the Law, and Furtheranc of Justice, as difficult and perplexing as any Part of the Law? Are not the great Questions concerning what is Form, and what Substance, as vexatious and expensive as the strict Rules of Pleading; and have not Suitors been as often disappointed by them? And as it may be justly A 3

justly feared, that whatever new Acts or Remedies may be devised, may partake of some of these Inconveniencies; surely it will never be less eligible, to do at first what the Judges, after several Trials and Arguments, often advise at last, viz. to compromise or refer the Matter; which being agreed upon in any Case, that it may be done effectually, and not be the Foundation of new Contention and Debate, as it often happens by the Ignorance of the Arbitrators, &c. is the End of the ensuing Treatise.

THE

3 THO 0.0

CONTENTS.

CHAP. I.

Directions to the Arbitrators: Containing,

Sect.	I. M Atters of Submission	Page 3
	II. The Submission	
Sect.	III. Parties to the Submission	6
	IV. The Arbitrators	8
	V. The making and delivering	the Award
		11

CHAP. II.

Matters in Controversy, which may or may not be submitted to Arbitrament: Containing,

Sect. I. Matters of Controversy, which may be submitted to Arbitrament either by Word or by Writing 18

Sect. II. Matters in Controversy, which cannot be submitted otherwise than by Writing

Sect. III.

The CONTENTS.

bold, which
se than by
Page 21
22
ces, which
25
Et relating
1 26
bough they
cannot be
27

CHAP. III.

The Submission: Containing,

그 아내는
Sect. I. Concerning the making the Submission
7. 2135 7. 1. 214 7. 1. 30
Sect. II. Concerning the Construction which ba
been made of the Submission 33
Sect. III. Concerning those Matters which may
be said to be submitted
Sect. IV. Concerning those Submissions which are
faid to be General or Special, Absolute of
Conditional
Sect. V. Precedents of the different kinds of Sub-
missions
43

The CONTENTS.

CVH A P. IV.

Parties to the Submission: Containing,

Sect. I. What Persons the Law all	lows of as
good Parties to the Submission	
Sect. II. What Persons are disabled	
Parties Andrews	58
Sect. III. How the Submission and the	
thereupon may be made between them	
Sect. IV. Who shall take Advantage	
ward, or are bound by it, though the	
been made Parties	62

CHAP. V.

The Arbitrators and Umpire: Containing,

Sect. I. Who may be proper Arbitrators	65
Sect. II. Concerning their Authority and I	uty
	67
Sect. III. Revocation of their Power and	Au-
	72
Sect. IV. Concerning the Umpire, and when	bis
Authority commences	73

The GONTENTS.

CHAP. VI.

Of the Award : Containing,

Sect. I. Awards must be made according to the Submission, with respect to the Things sub mitted Page 8:
sect. II. Awards must be made according to the Submission, with respect to the Person.
Soct. III. Awards must be made according to the Submission, with respect to the Making. Signing and Sealing, Delivering, and other Circumstances
Sect. IV. An Award ought to be Certain 129 Sect. V. That the Award be final, so as to make an end of the Matters in Controvers
Sect. VI. An Award must appoint the doing fomething Beneficial to each Party 146
of a Thing that is reasonable, possible and lawful 152
Sect. VIII. Awards void in Part, and good for the rest, and void in Part void for the Whole
Sect. IX. Concerning the Performance of the Award 164
Sect. X. Precedents of different kinds of A- wards 166

The CONTENTS

CHAP. VII.

How Awards have been construed in Equity: Containing,

C.A. I. Comming the Matter to be	C.1
Sect. I. Concerning the Matter to be	
letting to the see Award the in	Page 189
Sect. II. Concerning the Submission	191
Sect. III. The Parties to the Submission	
Sect. IV. Concerning the Arbitrators	
or when we is what Blamer arig ?	192
Sect. V. Concerning the Award, and	for what
Gauses it shall be set aside	193
Of the Meeting in and Manner of title	The state of

CHAP. VIII.

Of Submissions made a Rule of Court, and Awards made thereupon: Containing,

The Control of the Co	A STATE OF THE STA
Sect. I. How the Submission is to be made a l	Rale
	205
Sect. II. What shall be a Breach of the I	Rule
성기 (대한 경기 18 기) 이 전 (18 기) 이 경기 (18 기) (18 기	207
Sect. III. The Method of enforcing the Per	for-
mance of Awards made pursuant to a Rul	e of
나는 하는 것이 마음이 되었다. 그런 사람들은 사람들은 아이를 가면 하는데 하는데 아이를 하는데 하는데 하는데 아이를 하는데	802
Sect. IV. What shall excuse the Non-performe	ance
	213

The CONTENTS.

CHAP. IX.

Pleadings	in Awards	and	Arbitraments	
•	Confair	A		

이 얼마나 되었다.	
Sect. I. Concerning the Declaration and ner of setting forth the Award	
Sect. II. Concerning the Plea of the De- whether relating to the Performance Award, or when, or in what Manne plead the Award in Bar of any other	of the of the r be may Action
Sect. III. Of the Replication and Manne ting forth the Award, and affigning a	er of set-
Sect. IV. Of the Rejoinder, when it she Departure or not Sect. V. Of Demurrers, either to the Dece Plea, Replication, &c.	pall be a 234 laration,
Sect. VI. Precedents of Pleadings in	Awards.

THE

COMPLEAT

ARBITRATOR.

CHAP. I.

Directions to the Arbitrators.

Rbitrament (Arbitrium, Laudum, Compromissum), or an Award, is the determination of two or more Persons, at the Request of two Parties at least, who are at Variance, for ending the Controversy with-

out publick Authority.

2. It is called an Arbitrament, because that the Parties have willingly submitted their Differences to others, to determine them arbitrarily, and according to their own Opinions and Judgments as honest and disinterested Men, and not according to Law. It is called an Award from the French Word Agarder, which signifies to judge or decide; and it has heretofore been called Love-Day, because of the Quiet and Tranquillity which usually followed the Ending of the Controversy.

B

2. As there is no Man who has not more or less made Observations on the Proceedings of the Courts of Law and Equity, and who has not likewife, in some Measure, made Complaints of the Expensiveness and Dilatoriness of the Proceedings and Determinations in those Courts; and who does not with (if he has any Good Will to Mankind at all) that all Men should have Justice done them with more Expedition and less Expence, than attends the Profecution of a Cause at Law; it will not be improper, nor I hope an unacceptable Undertaking, to endeavour, by laying down the whole Learning of Awards and Arlitraments, which hitherto has lain dark and obscure, and as intricate and perplexed as any General Head of our Law, to affift Mankind in making them better Friends and Neighbours, and in fecuring to them their Fortunes and Possessions, and to prevent that even this Method of determining Controversies may not be (as it often happens) the Foundation of new Broils and Contentions.

4. For this Purpose (and as all Men, who are Arbitrators, are not Lawyers) I have in this Chapter particularly laid down, in a plain and eafy Method, those Things which feem clear and uncontroverted in our Law-Books, and which are chiefly necessary for an Arbitrator to have his Eye upon, who would effectually determine the Matters in Controversy submitted to his Judgment; and this I have done under these five Things, which are incident to every Award or Arbitrament, viz. Matters of Submission, the Submission, Paries to the Submission, the Arbitrators, the Making and Deliver-

ing of the Award.

Sect. I. Hatters of Submission.

Sect. II. The Submiffion.

r

e-

gs es

at m

t-11

1-

le

i-

e v,

ir

n

ot

W

e

)-

-

ıly

1,

n

c

۲.

be.

-

I.

Sect. III. Parties to the Submiffion.

Sect. IV. The Arbitratags.

Sect. V. The Waking and Delivering the Award.

SECT. I.

Matters of Submission.

LL Matters and Disputes whatsoever (except criminal Offences, or concerning the Enforcing or Dissolving a Marriage) may be submitted to Arbitrament, observing the Manner the Law requires the Submission should be.

2. All Matters of Freehold, or any Right or 1 Rol. Abr. Title to Lands or Tenements, cannot be submit-244-ted to Arbitrament, either by Parol, by Rule of 4 Co. 1. a. Court, or by Deed or Agreement in Writing; so that when there is any Difference concerning these Matters, it is necessary that the Parties should be bound in mutual Obligations to stand to the Award.

3. A Thing certain, as a Debt due by Bond or 1 Rol. Abr. Record, an Annuity, &c. cannot regularly be sub-264. mitted but by Writing, and it is most adviseable Cro. Jac. 647. that the Parties enter into Bonds.

4. Criminal Matters, as Treasons, Murders, West's Symb. Felonies, of all Kinds, cannot be submitted to Part 2. §. 33. Arbitrament; for it is for the Good of the Com-2 Vent. 109. monwealth, that such Offenders be made known and punished.

B 2

5. Matri-

4

1 Rol. Abr. 252. 5. Matrimonial Causes, or any Thing concerning the Contract or Dissolution of the Marriage, cannot be submitted to Arbitrament.

22 H. 6. 39. 9 Co. 78.

6. But all Actions personal and incertain, as Trespass, Conspiracy, Maintenance, &c. may be submitted without Writing: But as such a Submission is attended with manifest Inconveniency, both in Regard of the Difficulty in obliging the Party to persorm the Award, and likewise that it may be * revoked at Pleasure, without any Inconveniency, it is most prudent that a Submission of those, as well as all others, should be by Bond.

SECT. II.

The Submission.

trators to pronounce Sentence between the Parties. Of Submissions some are general, as of all Demands whatsoever; or special, as of some certain Matters in Controversy; they may likewise be absolute, or conditional; absolute, as not restraining the Arbitrators from making an Award of the Whole, or of any Part of the Matters submitted; conditional, by which they are tied down to make their Award according to the Words of the Submission.

Wests Symb. 2 Part, 163. 9 Rep. 78. 2. And then note, that if the Submission be absolute, and general, or of several Things, the Arbitrators may not only make an Award of Part of those Things, but likewise, if they make a good Award as to Part, and a void Award as to another Part,

f

10

15

^{*} Ex nuda Submissione non oritur Actio.

rn-

ge,

as

be

b-

cy,

he

ic

n-

if-

by

i-

be

of

ne

fe

e-

d

)-

n

e

d

r

Part, the Award shall stand for so much as is good, unless it has a Relation to the void Part; for which Reason a general Submission, with a Power to the Arbitrators to make their Award of the Whole, or any Part of the Matters in Controversy, seems to be the best, and may prevent several Suits and Debates, which have arisen on this Distinction.

3. Submissions are made by Bond, with a Condition to perform the Award; by Rule of Court, by Word; and they may be made by Covenant to stand to the Award; tho' this last Method is seldom used.

4. A Submission by Bond, as it is the most frequent, so it is by far the best; the Penalty of the Bond should be the Value of the Thing submitted, so that the Party may rather abide by the Award, than forfeit his Obligation. Each Party must give the other a Bond, which Bond and Condition must contain exactly the same Words, only changing the Names of the Parties: It is likewise necessary to mention in the Submission, the Time in which the Award is to be made, and when to be delivered, that so the Parties may take Notice of it at their Peril.

5. A Submission by Rule of Court is made pursuant to the Statute 9 & 10 W. & M. it is made by the Parties signifying their Consent in Writing, and getting a Counsel in any of the Courts, in which you would have it made a Rule, to move the Court for that Purpose; which is never denied, provided it be concerning a Thing, for which there is no other Remedy than by Perfonal Action or Suit in Equity; and then the Parry is liable to the same Penalties that he would be for disobeying any other Rule of Court. See the Chapter concerning Awards made by the Rule of Court, p.

В

6. Sub-

6 The Compleat Arbitrator

6. Submissions by Parol are almost out of Use, and never practised but when the Controversy is of some small or infignificant Matter, and very justly; for it is highly necessary for the Arbitrators to know their Power, and that the Parties know how far they are liable to their Sentence, and that each Party may seap the Fruit of the Thing awarded him, with Certainty and Expedition.

7. Submissions by Covenant are likewise seldom used, for the they contain the same Certainty with a Bond, yet the Method of suing on a Covenant is different, and more difficultand perplexed

than in fuing on a Bond.

SECT. HI.

Parties to the Submiffion.

r. REGULARLY all Persons whatsoever may submit to an Arbitrament, but they must do it willingly; for if they are compelled to do it by Threats, Dures, &c. the Award will be void; but Infants, Feme Coverts, and they who have joint Power with others, are excepted.

March 111.

2. It is held clearly in two or three Cases, That March 111. the Submission of an Infant shall not bind him; but it is likewise said, That he may submit to an I Jones 164. Award, and, when he comes of Age, agree or

1 Chan. Cases not agree to it, as he pleases; but as a Court of
279. Equity will not Decree an Award to bind an Infant, unless it be apparently for his Advantage, it is most expedient that he should not be made a Party to the Submission, unless it be by Order of Court.

Cro. Jec. 447. 3. A Feme Covert cannot of herself submit to an Award, but in some Cases it is proper and necessary

The Compleat Arbitrator

ceffary that she be joined with her Husband; for 1 Rol. Abr. the Awarding a Thing to be done by or to a Man's 246. Wise, is as much void as if she were a Stranger; but in most Cases where the Husband becomes intitled to any Right, or becomes any Way chargeable by the Intermatriage, it is sufficient that he alone he made a Party.

4. They who have a joint Power with others 21 E. 4. 13. cannot fingly submit in Relation to such Power, without their Fellows; as a Dean without a Chapter, a Mayor without his Commonalty, the Master of a College or Hospital without his Fellows; and

so of other Societies and Guilds.

5. If there is a Controversy between Two, who Cro.Car. 433. are jointly interested, and a third Person, and the Two thus jointly interested are not willing to enter into a joint Bond, by which they would be bound for each other; they may enter into separate Bonds, and it will be good.

6. If Divers of the one Part submit themselves 21 H. 7. 29. to the Award of certain Persons, and divers of the 1 Vern. 259. other Part, the Arbitrators may by the Submission have Power to make an Award of Matters between them jointly, and likewise of Matters between them

separately.

Ife,

1 15.

ery

ore

W.

hat.

om

ity

ve-

ay

It

it

.

ve

at

;

n

r

58

-

it a if

0

7. One Man may submit for another; but note, Skin. 679. That if there are mutual Releases awarded, the Release must be made to him on whose Behalf the Submission was, and not to the Party who submitted.

felves, to as to park gow Penn a

B 4

SECT.

SECT. IV.

The Arbitrators.

A RBITRATORS are private extraordinary Judges, chosen by the Parties to give Judgment between them to end the Debate; it is often faid, and almost established as a Rule, that neither natural nor legal Difabilities do hinder any one from being an Arbitrator; for if they are incompetent Judges, the Fault is in those who choose them; but they must, notwithstanding this, have fome common Sense as well as common Honesty; for if they err in a Point of Law, or are mistaken in a Matter of Fact, their Award will in many Cases be set aside, particularly in a Court of Equity;

2 Vern. 705.

. fo likewise if they exceed their Authority, or are guilty of Partiality, Corruption, or Dishonesty. 2. A Party in Interest cannot be an Arbitrator;

Hard. 44.

as where there was a Controversy between A. and B. and B. consented to refer the Matter to A. and

it was held that his Award was void.

3. The Arbitrators are to give one intire and compleat Judgment on all the Matters submitted to them; therefore it is not adviseable for them to consider Part of the Matter submitted at one Time, and so give Judgment thereupon, and Part at another, and fo give diffinct Judgments; neither can they regularly referve any Power to themfelves, so as to settle any Point after the Time allowed them to make their Award is expired; but they may confider one Part one Day, and another Part another Day, and on the last Day give a compleat Judgment.

r Rol. Abr. 250.

5 Rep. 78.

4. The Arbitrators cannot affign their Power, for they have but a bare Authority.

They

They cannot injoin an Oath to the Witnesses, there being no Law which gives them any such Power. Burn's Just. 8vo. 116. Edit. 1766.

5. An Umpirage is where there is but one Arbitrator; and is usually when the Parties submit themselves to the Arbitrament or Award of certain Persons, and if they cannot agree, or are not ready to deliver their Award in Writing before such a Time, then to the Judgment of another, as Umpire.

6. This is usually the Effect of the Submission, in which the *Umpire* is sometimes named by the Parties themselves, and at other Times the Arbi-

trators have Power to name him.

7. But herein observe, that if the Arbitrators i Salk 70. are to chuse the Umpire, they must be careful that they do not chuse him before the Time allowed them to make their Award be expired, because of the Inconveniency of having two concurrent Jurisdictions.

8. And as it is doubted whether they can, when 2 Vent. 113. they have once executed their Authority by chusing 1 Salk. 70. an Umpire, revoke or chuse again, though the Person elect resuse to accept, it is the safest way to chuse their Umpire upon Condition that he does accept the Umpirage, for then he is not Umpire unless he accept it.

9. The Arbitrators cannot make an Award of 1 Rol. Abr. Part, and the Umpire of the Residue, unless it is 542.

fo expresly ordained by the Submission.

10. Submissions to an Award may be counter- 8 Co. 82. manded, such Authorities in their own Nature being revocable, through made irrevocable by ex-

press Words.

if it be revoked, that if the Submission be by Bond, if it be revoked, the Bond is forfeited; such Revocation must be by Deed, with Notice to the Arbitrators, though this will not save the Forseiture of the Bond.

12. If

12. If the Submission be by Rule of Court, if either of the Parties revoke it, the Court will grant an Attachment.

13. A Submission by Word may be revoked without any Forseiture, which is one of the Inconveniencies which attends this Kind of Submission; but it must be with Notice to the Arbitrators, though such Notice need not be in Writing, and it

must be before the Award made.

Matters in Difference were, by Confeat of Parties, referred to three Arbitrators, fo as they, or any two of them, make an Award, and an Award having been made by two in Plaintiff's Favour, Defendant moved to fet it aside, objecting, that two had not a Jurisdiction without the third; and obtained a Rule to thew Caufe. Upon shewing Cause it appeared, that the third Arbitrator had fufficient Notice of the Meetings of the other two, and might have been present if he would. Per Cur: 'Tis agreed by both fides, that if the third had met, two might have made an Award; two have a Jurisdiction, but must meet pursuant to Rules of Law. If the third had been present, his Reasons might have altered the Opinion of the other two; he is not therefore to be excluded by Fraud; nor are the two to act, without the third's having an Opportunity to be present; but where the third has sufficient Notice, as in this Case, and will not attend, the Meeting of the two is regular, and their Authority fufficient. The Rule discharged. 2 Barnes 53.

SECT. V.

The making and delivering the Award.

RBITRAMENT is the Sentence or Decree pronounced by the Arbitrators, and published when they have heard all Parties; but now as all Submissions are usually by Bond conditionally, so as the Award be made in Writing, and ready to be delivered to the Parties, or to such of them as shall require the same; the Parties so bound themselves are obliged to take Notice of the Award at their Peril, unless the Words of Submission are, so that the Award be delivered to each Party by such 5 Co. 78, a Day; for then it must be delivered to each Party accordingly.

2. But though the Words of the Submission may be such, as will oblige the Parties to take Notice of the Award at their Peril; yet if the Arbitrators award that one of the Parties shall do an Act, which depends upon another first to be done of the other Party, he must have Notice of it; at least the Party, who would take Advantage of it, must shew that he has done what was necession.

fary on his Side.

3. I shall only in this Place consider these four Things following, which are chiefly necessary and essential to every good Award. 1. That the Award be made with Respect to Persons and Things, according to the Submission. 2. That it be beneficial, and appoint something advantageous to each Party. 3. That it be possible and lawful. 4. That it be certain and final:

4. And if these Things are observed, the Award 10 Co. 57. shall be expounded according to the Intent of the Arbitrators, and not literally, and shall not be unraveled in a Court of Equity, unless there was 1 Chan. Cases Corruption in the Arbitrators, &c. 279.

5. Ift,

5. 1st, That the Award, with respect to Perfons and Things, be made according to the Submission; for in many Cases, to award a Thing to be done by, or to a Stranger, is void.

10 Co. 131.

Rol. Abr.

244.

6. As to award that a Stranger shall enter into an Obligation, or to award that one of the Parties and a Stranger shall pay 10 l. this Award as to the Stranger is void, though it is good as to the Party himself.

Rol. Abr. 248.

7. An Award that the Wife and Heir apparent (when they are not Parties to the Submission) shall make an Affurance of Lands, is void.

8. But it is a good Award, that the Party levy a Fine in the Court of Common Pleas, though there the Act of the Court is necessary; so likewise if the Party has any Remedy, either in Law or Equity, to compel the Stranger to perform the Thing awarded, it shall be good.

9. As if two are jointly bound in an Obligation, and one of them is awarded to pay the whole Money, and discharge the Bond, though there is an Act to be done by a Stranger, viz. the Delivering up the Bond, yet as he has a Remedy in Equity

to compel him to it, the Award is good.

10. Sometimes to do a Thing to a Stranger is void, as to award that one of the Parties shall make an Estate for Life to the other, Remainder in Fee

to a Stranger, it is void as to the Stranger.

11. But if it appear that the Party is to receive any Advantage by the Act that is to be done to the Stranger, it may be otherwise; as an Award that one of the Parties shall pay Money to the other

Party's Servant, this is good.

12. So where the Stranger is used as an Instrument only, or is to do a ministerial Thing, it may be good; as an Award that one of the Parties shall make a Feoffment to 7. S. who is a Stranger,

Cro. Eliz. 758.

1 Salk. 74.

Rol. Abr. 247.

to the Use of the other Party, or that they shall give mutual and effectual Releases, and such as

Counsel shall approve.

Award that one of the Parties beg the other's Pardon before such a Mayor, or such and such Persons:
But if the Award be, that A. shall beg B.'s Pardon Salk. 71.
in such Manner, and in such Place, as B. shall appoint, it is not good; for though the Time and Place be but Circumstances, yet in this Sort of Satisfaction they make the most considerable Part.

14. As the Arbitrators are, with respect to the Things submitted, circumscribed and tied down to 1 Rol. Ab. the Submission itself, so in several Cases it has been 242. disputed, whether their Awarding Releases to the Time of the Award, and not to the Time of the Submission, was good; it is therefore most advisable to award Releases to the Time of the Submission, though it is now clearly 6 Mod. 34 held, that general Releases shall extend only to the Time of the Submission, and that, if there be Releases awarded to the Time of the Award, they shall be good, unless it be shewn on the other Side that some new Matter had arisen between the Parties, between the Submission and Award.

15. If the Condition of the Submission be to 1 Rol. Abe. stand to the Award of J. S. so that the Award be 245. made, signed and delivered by the Arbitrators as their Deed, at or before such a Day, and the Arbitrators make an Award, which they Seal and Deliver, but omit to Sign, this Award will not be good.

16. And it is held to be necessary (if the Con-Palm. 121; dition be as above) for the Arbitrators, if they cannot write, to set their Marks to the Award.

1 Rol. Abr.

17. 2dly, That it be Beneficial, and appoint fomething advantageous to either Party; for an Award of one Side only, is not good; fo if an Award be, that one of the Parties shall go to Rome, when it appears that there is no Advantage to the other Party by his going, it is void.

3 Mod. 272.

18. If A and B fubmit to the Award of J. S. who makes an Award, that A shall be bound with such Sureties as B. shall approve, for the Payment of 100 l. to B, and that thereupon they seal mutual Releases to one another, this is a void Award; because B. must first approve of the Security before, and without which the Releases are not to be given; so that it is but an Award of one Side, because it is subject to be deseated at the Pleasure of B.

Style 44.

19. If an Award be made between A. and B. that A. shall pay to B. 10 l. and that B. shall pay for the making the Writings of the Award, this is a void Award, there being nothing awarded B. to do, but the making the Writings.

1 Rol. Abr. 248. 20. 3dly, The Award must be of a Thing posfible and lawful to be performed; for if the Arbitrators award that one shall go to Rome in an Hour, shall pay Money at a Day past, the Condition of the Obligation is saved: So if they award a Thing against Law, as to kill J. S. or that an Infant shall

I Jones 164. make a Release, which he cannot do by Law,

2 Mod. 27.

21. Nay, it is faid, that in some Cases, when the Thing to be performed becomes impossible, though after the Award made, it shall excuse the Party.

4thly, The Award ought to be certain and final, so that it may make an end of the Contro-

verfy.

22. And

22. And if the Arbitrators award a Thing to be done, it is proper for them to appoint a Time and Place for the doing of it; as such a Day and Hour, at such a Tavern or Coffee-house; and the Party, who would take Advantage of it, must shew that he has done what was requisite on his Part; but if a Thing is to be done generally, without 2 Brownl. mentioning Time or Place, it shall be done imme-311. diately.

23. An Award that one shall pay so much as Skin. 248.

fuch Lands are worth, is not good.

24. So an Award that one shall pay to the other Style 28.

as much as is due in Conscience, is not good.

25. But in some Cases, though the Thing be not certain in it self, yet if it be such a Thing as may be reduced to a Certainty, it may be good; 1 Salk 75. as an Award that one of the Parties shall pay such Costs of a Suit as a Master shall Tax, is good.

26. If there be a Submission of all Controversies Cro. Car. touching a Voyage to Sea, and an Obligation with 383. Condition for Performance thereof, and an Award is made that one shall pay his Part of the Charge of the Voyage, and shall allow his proportionable Part of the Loss that shall come to the Ship by the Voyage, upon Account, though this Award be of it self uncertain, yet in as much as it may be reduced to a Certainty, it is good.

CHAP. II.

Matters in Controverly Which may, or may not, be sub= mitted to Arbitrament.

at this Time submitted to Arbitrament, by the Parties entring into mutual Obligations to perform the Award, it may not be thought necessary to enter too minutelly into a Disquisition of the several Matters, concerning which the Arbitrators can make no Award that will bind the Parties, unless they enter into Bonds of Submission, or consent to have their Submission made a Rule of Court, pursuant to the * Statute of 9 & 10 Will.

* Vide the Court, pu Statute, Cap. and Mary. VIII.

- 2. Notwithstanding this I say is the general Practice, yet as an Award made of several Things at this Day may be good, though submitted to by Parol, or without Writing; and as the Statute only enacts, That all Submissions made a Rule of Court, shall be of such Matters for which there is no other Remedy but by Personal Action or Suit in Equity, &c. I shall shew what Distinctions the Law has has made concerning these Matters with respect to Things Real, at Lands and Tenements; Things Personal, as Debts due by Bills, Bonds or Records, &c.
- 3. But Note, That regularly all Matters whatfoever, to which the Parties have by Bond submitted, (except Criminal Offences and Matrimonial Causes) they are obliged to perform the Award made

The Compleat Arbitrator.

made relating to them, otherwise they are liable to be sued on their Bonds; provided the Award be made in such Manner as the Law requires.

Sect. I. Hatters in Controverly, which may be submitted to Arbitrament, either by Word or by Writing.

Sect. II. Patters in Controverly, which cannot be submitted otherwise than by Writing.

Sect. III. Concerning Matters of Freehold, which cannot regularly be submitted but by Bond.

Sect. IV. Concerning Things certain, as Debts due by Contrad, Bond, of Recold.

Sect. V. Concerning Criminal Offences, which cannot be submitted.

Sect. VI. Concerning Barriage, or any Contract relating thereunto, which cannot be submitted.

Sect. VII. Things not in Being at the Time of the Submission, tho' they happen befoze the Award be made.

SECT. I.

Matters of Controverly, which may be fubmitted to Arbitrament, either by Worlding.

I T is held clearly, that all Actions Personal and uncertain, such as Trespass, Conspiracy, and Maintenance, are proper Matters for Submission, and that an Award made concerning them will be good, though neither the Submission nor the Award be in Writing. 22 H. 6. 39. 9 Co. Peytoe's Case, 78.

2. It is held likewise, that if upon such Parol Submission the Arbitrators award the Party a Sum certain, he may bring an Action of Debt for it; but if they award the doing of some other Thing, which is Beneficial to him, he must bring his

Action on the Case. 1 Keb. 600.

3. In an Appeal of Mayhem, an Attaint, in Waste, against Tenant for Life or Years in the Tenuit, the Arbitrators may make an Award.

6 Co. 44. 1 Rol. Abr. 266.

4. They may make an Award in Mayhem, though the Writ be Felonice, and in Attaint, because the Attaint is not sounded barely on the Record, but also on the supposed false Oath, which is Matter of Fact, 13 E. 4. 1. But whether they can make an Award in Waste against Tenant for Life or Years, in the Tenet, because it savours of the Realty. Quere, & Vide 9 Co. 78. a. Cro. Jac. 100.

5. Arbitrators may make an Award of the Arrears of Rent, referved on a Leafe for Years.

4 H. 6. 17. b. 1 Rol. Abr. 264.

6. An

6. An Action of Account may be submitted to Arbitrament, for it is a Thing uncertain; but the Arrearages of an Account found before Auditors,

cannot be submitted. 4 H. 6. 17.

r

7. In an Action of Debt for 20 l. Rent Arrear, upon a Lease for Years, an Award of 10 l. for this Debt and other Trespasses, is a good Bar of the Action, though it is a less Sum than the Debt demanded, and tho the Action is for a Debt certain; in as much as other Things are submitted with this, and so all together are uncertain, and it may be the Sum of 20 l. was abridged to 10 l. in respect of a Trespass done by the Plaintiff to the Desendant. 10 H. 7. 4. All. 52.

8. If there be a Submission of all Debts, the Arbitrators may award a Release of all Bonds, Judgments, Executions and Extents; for, as by the Submission the Arbitrators have Power to make an Award concerning the Debts themselves, so by Consequence they have Power to award a Release of the Specialties, Judgments, &c. by which the said Debts are due. Adjudged Trin. 22 Car. 2.

between Roberts and Marriot, 2 Saund. 190.

9. In Debt upon a Bond by three Executors, the Defendant pleaded that there were several Controversies between the Defendant and one of the Plaintiffs; upon which they submitted to the Award, &c. who awarded that the Defendant should be quit of the Bond; and it was held by the Court, that a Bond of it self, because a Debt certain, cannot be submitted; otherwise, if it were submitted among other Things; and by two Judges the Plea is good, though the Controversy were between the Defendant and one of the Plaintiffs only. Moreton doubted, Trin. 22 Car. 2. between Morris and Creech, 1 Lev. 292.

Note,

Note, That though in the above Cases, a Submission by Parol was good, and the Party, in whose Favour the Award was made, had a Remedy to inforce a Performance of it; yet it was never expedient that any Submission should be by Parol, because the Party could have revoked it at Pleasure, at any Time before the Award made, and that by Parol likewise; but it is much less so now, because the Judges will rarely enforce the Performance of an Award, when either the Submission or the Award is by Parol, because it lays so great a Foundation for Perjury.

SECT. II.

Matters in Controverly, which cannot be submitted otherwise than by Wish ting.

and Title to a Freehold, cannot be submitted to Arbitrament, though the Submission be in Writing, and by Deed, or by Deed Indented; and the Reason is, That being of so high a Nature, no collateral Satisfaction will be a Bar to a real Action, and it requiring such Solemnity, as that it is not transferable from one to another, without Livery and Seisin. 1 Rol. Abr. 244. 4 Co. 1. a.

2. Yet if there be a Submission concerning the Right, Title, or Possession of Lands or Tenements, and the Parties enter into mutual Bonds, to stand to the Award made relating to them, they forseit

their Bonds unless they obey it.

3. Things certain, as a Sum of Money, Debts due by Deed or Record, cannot be submitted otherwise

wise than by Writing; and the Parties must, as in the above Case of Freeholds, enter into Bonds of Submission, unless they elect to have their Submission made a Rule of one of his Majesty's Courts of Westminster; which in this latter Case will regularly be good to bind them, though not so in the former, by the express Words of the Act of Parliament.

SECT. III.

Concerning Datters of Freehold, which cannot regularly be submitted othermise than by Wond.

I. I T is expressly resolved, that Arbitrators cannot make an Award of a Freehold, so as to adjudge the Land of one to another. 14 H. 6. Keil. 99.

2. A Partition cannot be made by Award, for a Freehold cannot Pass without Livery and Seisin. Adjudged between Horton and Horton, I Rol. Abr.

242.

fe

to

K-

1,

e,

y

3. An Arbitrator cannot make an Award of a Lease for Years of Land, so as to adjudge the Land of one to another, by which the Interest and Estate of one shall be transferred to the other, because this is a Chattel real. 1 Rol. Abr. 242, 146,

4. My Lord Chief Justice Roll says, That this 9 Co. 78. seems to be admitted by Peytoe's Case; and founds his Reason on the Authority of that Case, viz. That an Award is no good Plea in an Ejestione Firma. But the Resolution is quite contrary; for the Question there being, Whether Accord with Satisfaction was a good Plea in an Ejestione Firma? It was resolved, That this Action being not only

io io

to recover the Term, but likewise Damages, it was a good Plea: It being therefore a certain Rule, that an Award may be pleaded where Accord with Satisfaction may; Quere whether it is not rather an Authority that such Submission may be good.

5. In Actions mixed, in which the Land (of an Estate of Freehold, as it seems) shall be recovered, an Award is no Plea; therefore such mixed Actions cannot properly be submitted. I H. 6.

37.

6. The Detaining a Charter of Feoffment, as it relates to a real Thing, cannot be submitted. 9 H. 6. 60. But an Action of Trespass for taking the Charter may be submitted, for Damages only can be recovered for such Taking. Ibidem.

SECT. IV.

Concerning Things certain, as Debts due by Bill, Bond og Recogd.

1. T appears by all our Books, that neither Arbitrament, nor Accord with Satisfaction, are good Pleas in Bar, when the Action is ground-

ed on a Deed. 1 H. 7. 16. b. Dyer 51.

2. For when a Duty accrues by the Deed in Certainty Tempore confectionis Scripti, as by Covenant, Bill, or Bond to pay a Sum of Money, there this certain Duty takes its Essence and Operation originally and solely by the Writing; and therefore it ought to be avoided by Matter of as high a Nature, although the Duty be merely in the Personalty. Nibil tam conveniens est naturali Equitati quam unumquodque Dissolvi eo Ligamine quo Ligatum est. 6 Co. Blake's Case, 43, 44.

3. But

15

h

er

of

.

d

3. But when no certain Duty accrues by the Deed; but a Wrong or Default subsequent, together with the Deed, gives an Action to recover Damages, which are only in the Personalty, for such Wrong or Default an Award is a good Plea. And Note, That the principal Point in Blake's Case was an Action of Covenant for not repairing a House, and it was there held, That this being a subsequent Default an Award is a good Plea. 6 Co. 43. Cro. Jac. 99. S. C.

4. In an Obligation upon Condition to pay a Vide the Stacertain Sum, in which the Duty commences ori-tute 3 and 4 ginally, and only by the Deed, and likewise in Ann. Ch. 16. an Action of Covenant for Non-payment of a certain Sum of Money which he covenanted to pay; an Award, or Accord with Satisfaction, is

5. If A. is indebted to B. in 20 l. by fingle Bill, and they submit all Matters between them to the Award of J. S. who awards that A. shall pay a certain Sum, feilicet a lesser Sum to B. in Satisfaction of the said Bill, though if he pays the Money according to the Award, yet this cannot be any Bar in an Action brought on the said Bill, because the Action is grounded on a Deed; yet if each of them was bound to perform the Award, he ought to pay the Money, otherwise he forfeits his Obligation. Between Lumly and Hutton, adjudged

no good Plea. 6 Co. 43.

6. If two submit a certain Debt in Controversy between them, the Arbitrators cannot make any Award thereof, because it was certain before the Submission. 1 Rol. Abr. 264.

npun Demurrer, 15 Jac. 1. Cro. Jac. 447.

7. But if one claims 5 l. expended for the other pro diversis negotiis, an Award made thereof will be good, for though a Debt upon a Bill or Con-

tract cannot of it felf be put in Arbitrament, yet this may, being claimed as Expences laid out for

Several Necessaries. Cro. Eliz. 422.

8. Debt upon a Bond for Performance of an Award, in which the Arbitrators had taken Notice of 72 l. in Controversy, and had awarded 50 l. in Satisfaction; the Defendant pleads Nullum fecerunt Arbitrium; the Plaintiff replies an Award, and fets it forth, and affigns a Breach, to which the Defendant demurred, because it appeared by the Award, that 72 l. was in Controversy for Rent due, and that 50 l. was awarded in full Satisfaction of 72 l. and general Releases to be given; but it did not appear that any other Matters were in Controverfy between the Parties, though the Submission was general; and it was insisted upon, that though the Arbitrators could reduce Things incertain to a Certainty, yet they could not make a Debt certain to be less. But the whole Court were of Opinion that the Award was good, for that the Arbitrators may consider other Matters between the Parties; neither did it appear by the Award that the 72 l. was due, but in Demand only, and 'is unreasonable for him to find fault with his own Case; for he alledges, that he ought to pay 72 l. and complains that the other Party is contented with 50 l. and demands no more. Between Godfrey and Godfrey, 2 Mod. 303, 304.

9. Debt upon an Obligation for eighty Pounds, conditioned for the Performance of divers Covenants, contained in Articles of Agreement; the Defendant pleaded, That it was agreed between the Plaintiff and Defendant, that he should grant an Annuity of 5 l. out of such Land for Life, in Discharge of the Bond; and it was held without Argument, that this Concord and Verbal Agreement could never discharge a Specialty. Between

Bull and Wheeler, Cro. Jac. 647.

10. In

f

The Compleat Arbitratoz.

10. In an Annuity for an Annuity in Fee or for Life, an Award is no Plea. This, says my Lord Roll, must be intended of an Annuity by Prescription; for if it be by Deed, it is no good Plea against the Deed. 1 Rol. Abr. 266.

11. Matters grounded upon a Record or Statute

cannot be submitted. 6 H. 4. 6. a.

12. In an Action of Debt for the Arrears of an Account before Auditors affigned of Record, an

Award is no Plea. 1 Rol. Abr. 265.

13. But in an Action upon the Statute of Labourers, an Award is a good Plea in Bar; for the Action is not merely founded on the Statute, but partly on a Matter in Pais, scilicet his Departure. 1 Rol. Abr. 265.

SECT. V.

Concerning criminal Offences which cannot be submitted.

Murders, Felonies of all Kind, cannot be submitted to Arbitrament; for it is for the Good of the Commonwealth, that such Offenders be made known and punished. West. Symb. Part 2. Sett. 33.

2. And the Submission be by Bond, yet the Award made relating to them will be void, and consequently the Bond of Submission likewise; and quære if it is not punishable to enter into

fuch Bonds, & vide 2 Vent. 109.

3. Perjury, Forgery, Affaults and Battery, or any other Offence indictable at the Suit of the King, come within this Rule, and cannot be submitted to Arbitrament: But if the Party injured proceeds

proceeds by Way of Action, as he may in Affaults and Batteries, Libels, &c. the Damages he fultained, or he expects to recover, may be submitted to Arbitration.

SECT. VI.

Matrimony, or any Contract relating thereunto, which cannot be submitted.

to Arbitrament, West Symb. Part 2. Sect. 33. for as the Law discountenances and abhors all Restraints on Marriage on the one Hand, so on the other it makes void all Contracts not freely and without Compulsion entered into; and will make void any Bond, compelling one Person to marry another, when it is so agreeable to the Laws of Reason and the Laws of God, that Marriage should proceed from a free Choice.

2. If a Man and a Woman submit themselves to an Award, it is no good Award that they shall intermarry, for this is not intended any Advantage.

I Rol. Abr. 252.

3. But the Damages a Person sustained by a Promise of Marriage, or any Thing relating to a Marriage Portion, may be submitted. 16 E. 4. 2.

SECT. VII.

Things not in Being, altho' they happen before the Award be made, cannot be submitted.

I. I F the Submission be of Ewes with Lamb, which after the Submission, but before the Award made, happen to have Lambs; the Arbitrators have no Power to make an Award thouching the Lambs. West. Symb. Part 2. Sect. 33.

2. If the Submission be of a Term for Years of Land, and all thereupon depending; and the Award is, that one shall pay to the other 10 l. for the Rent that shall become due upon this Term at Michaelmas next ensuing; in as much as the Rent may be extinct by Surrender, Eviction, &c. before Michaelmas, the Award made thereof is void. Between Gray and Wicker, adjudged. 1 Rol. Abr. 245.

3. Whether Interest accruing after the Submission, may be awarded for Money due before, quære,

and fee Winch 114, 120.

4. If there be a Controversy between the Parfon and Parishioners, whether Tithes should be
paid in Specie, and they reciting the said Controversy, submit themselves to the Award of J. S. for
all Matters, as well Spiritual as Temporal, from
the Beginning of the World to the present Day;
and the Arbitrator awards, that the Parson shall
have J. for the Tithes due before the Submission,
and that the Parishioners shall pay 41. per Ann. for
the Tithes which shall grow due after, this is a
good Award for the Tithes which shall grow due
after

after the Award; for the Right of the Tithes was in Question, and not the Possession. Between Beckingbam and Hunter, 42 Eliz. 1 Rol. Abr. 246.

5. If the Condition of an Obligation be to stand to the Award of J. S. and he awards that one shall enjoy a certain House, paying to the other 20 s. yearly, if the Rent is not paid, the Condition is broke, for this is a good Award. Between Parfons and Frowd, Cro. Eliz. 211.

6. If an Award be, that A. shall make a Lease to B. and that for this Lease B. shall pay to A. a certain Sum yearly, this is a good Award. Moor 3.

Pl. 8.

7. If Two submit to the Award of 7. S. of all Matters between them till the Submission, and then each of them promises the other to perform the Award, and J. S. afterwards awards; whereas one was bound in an Obligation to the other (which was made after the Submission, but before the Award) that the Obligee should deliver up the Obligation to the other, in full Satisfaction of all Matters between them; and awards farther, that all was good, if this Obligation was good; though this Obligation is out of the Submission, because it was made after the Submission, yet he is bound to perform it, because it is to be given in Satisfaction of Things contained within the Submission; as a Horse or Money may be given in Satisfaction, tho' they are not within the Submission. Between Nicklas and Thomas, Trin. 15 Jac. 1. 1 Rol. Abr. 243. (But the Reporter makes a Quere of it.) For this is a Thing in Action between them, and out of the Submission.

CHAP. III.

The Submission.

THE Submission is a Power given the Arbitrators, by the Parties between whom any Controverfy subsists, to decide and determine their Differences and Disputes, and to pronounce Sentence between them, according to Reason and good Conscience, though in a private and extrajudicial Manner. And this their Sentence the Law obliges the Parties to obey, provided it be made in fuch Manner as the Law requires.

As there have been various Resolutions concerning the Making and Validity of the Submission, and as the Construction thereof has occasioned some Contention; and as there are divers Kinds of Submissions, it will be necessary in this Chapter to

shew.

Sect. I. Concerning the Waking the Submiffion.

Sect. II. Concerning the Confiruation which has been made of the Submission.

Sect. III. Concerning those Matters which may be said to be submitted.

Sect. IV. Concerning those Submissions which may be said to be General oz Special, Absolute 02 Conditional.

Sect. V.

Sect. V. Concerning Submissions by Bond, Covenant, Rule of Court, by Parol, oz without Writing.

SECT. I.

Concerning the Waking the Submic. finn.

1. CUbmissions in former Days were most frequently made by People's Confenting by Word, or verbal Declaration, to stand to the Award of fuch and fuch Persons; and such Sub-*West, Symb. missions in those Days were thought most * convenient, because they did not run the Parties into any Hazard of a Forfeiture; and as they were revocable at Pleasure, without any Inconveniency, fometimes they submitted by Deed Poll or indented, with Covenants to perform the Award.

> 2. But now the Method is quite otherwise, and there are rarely any Awards made, but upon Submissions entered into by Consent in Writing, which Confent has been made a Rule of Court, or by Bonds,

conditionally to perform the Award.

3. And these two last, as they are mostly used, fo undoubtedly they by far excel the others; the one giving the Party, who was to gain any Thing by the Award, a more fure and expeditious Remedy for the Recovery of it; and the other not only afcertaining the Matters submitted, but likewise giving the Party a Power to sue on the Bond.

4. Sometimes the Submission is both by Bond and by Rule of Court; and this is still the best Way ;

Part 2. Sect. 38. Way; for it is faid that you may proceed on the Bond, and likewise have an Attachment for Non-performance of the Award. Vide 1 Salk. 72.

G. Undoubtedly a Submission by Bond, in some Respects, exceeds a Submission by Rule of Court; for an Award made pursuant to Bonds of Submission, may bind the Parties Executors; but if the Party, who refuses to perform an Award made pursuant to a Rule of Court, dies, the Act of Parliament directing that the Prosecution should be carried on by Attachment, the Remedy being lost, the Award is lost likewise.

6. Each of the Parties submitting by Bond must perfect one to the other, which Bonds must contain exactly the same Words, only changing the Names of the Persons; and as it is usual, so it is highly convenient, to mention in the Condition, That the Award be in Writing, to be made at or before such a Time, ready to be delivered to the Parties, or to such of them as shall require it, &c. See after-

wards Chap. 6. Sett. 3.

7. In an Action upon the Case, on a Promise to perform an Award on a Submission to A. and B. when their Occasion will permit; Kelynge, Twisden and Moreton held, that only a convenient Time was to be given at least after Request; but Windbam held, that they had Time during their Lives, and that it was the fame Thing, as if it had been to be made at their Wills and Pleasure; but they all agreed, that if it was to be made generally, without any Time limited, the Law implieth it must be done in convenient Time; and that the' the Submission be when their Conveniencies permit, yet after Request, or convenient Notice, the Party may revoke on the Neglect of the Arbitrators. 2 Keb. p. 10. 1 Sid. 281. S. C.

Note; As there may be several Parties to the Submission, so their several and distinct Bonds will

be good, as in the following Cafe.

8. If there be a Controverly concerning certain Lands between A. B. and C. and thereupon A. of the one Part, and B. and C. of the other Part, fubmit to the Award of J. S. and thereupon A. binds himself in an Obligation of 1000 l, to B. and C. with Condition to perform the faid Award of J. S. touching this; and B. and C. because they would not be bound the one for the other, enter into feveral Obligations of 1000 l. a-piece to A. with feveral Conditions for the Performance of the Award of the faid 7. S. and the Arbitrator awards that A. shall make a Release of all his Right in the Land to B. and C. and in Confideration thereof B. and C. should pay 300 l. to A. in an Action of Debt by A. against B. upon his Obligation for the Non-performance of the Award; this is a good Award, and Breach affigned, that nec B. nec C. paid the 300 l. at the Time appointed, according to the Award; all this Matter being disclosed in Pleading; for, upon all the Matter shewn, it appears that C. is no Stranger to the Award, for he and B. submitted themselves jointly; and tho' they entered into feveral Obligations, yet this did not make C. any Stranger to the Award. Between Haies and Haies, adjudged upon a Demurter, Cro. Car. 433.

SECT. II.

Concerning the Construction which has been made of the Submission.

A S the Condition of the Bond of Submission usually recites the Matters in Difference in the most general and strong Terms, as all Matters, Suits, Debts, Duties, Actions and Demands what soever, there are not many Cases to be found in our Books, relating to this Part of the Submission, or concerning the Force and Signification of these Words: These that follow are the most considerable, and all that I think can be met with pertinent to this Section.

2. If the Submission be of all Actions only, the Arbitrators cannot make an Award of such Things of which the Parties have Cause of Action. 1 Inst.

3. By the Submission of all Demands, all Matters concerning the Title of Land is submitted. Kelw. 00.

4. If the Submission be of all Actions and Quarrels, the Arbitrators cannot make an Award of Lands or Tenements. 36 H. 6. 11. b. Upon a Submission of all Differences, whether the Arbitrators may award a Release of all Demands, vide Stil. 170. Upon a Submission of all Injuries, vide Bulst. 311.

5. If a Submission be of all Actions Personal, Sectis & Querelis, the Arbitrator cannot make an Award of any Suit, Action or Quarrel which is Real, but only of such as are Personal; for the Word Personal refers to all which comes after in the Copulative; but if the Submission had been

of all Actions Personal ac Sectis & Querelis, the Arbitrator might have made an award of Things Real, for the Word Ac disjoins them. 9 E. 4.

43. 6. 44. a.

6. If A and B. submit themselves to the Award of J. S. touching a Suit depending between them in an Ejestione surma, J. S. upon this Submission cannot make an Award of the Land for which the Action is brought: Adjudged in Arrest of Judgment, in an Action upon the Case for Non-performance of this Award, after a Verdict for the Plaintiff. Between Taylor and Waltam, 1 Rol. Abr. 247.

7. But though there have not been many Cases on the above-mentioned Part of the Submission, yet there have been various as well as some contradictory Resolutions on the Construction of other Parts of the Submission; some of which I shall mention here; the others will come more properly under the Chapter of Awards, in those different Sections, which teach us for what Cause Awards

have been held void.

8. If a Submission be to the Award of certain Arbitrators, and if they cannot agree, or are not ready to deliver their Award in Writing before the 1st of May, then the Submission is made to J. S. to be the Umpire, to be made before a certain Day after; if the Arbitrators do not treat of the Matter, so that there is no Disagreement between them; yet if they do not make any Award before the Day, the Umpire may make an Award upon this Submission; for the Words, And if they cannot agree, are not to be taken literally, but as if they had been, If they do not agree upon any Award. 1 Rol. Abr. 261.

9. If a Submission be to stand to the Award of certain Arbitrators, and that if they disagree, then

The Compleat Arbitratoz.

o the Umpirage of J. S. ita quod the Award or Umpirage are made before the 1st of May; in this Case the Umpire cannot make any Award till a Disagreement made by the Arbitrators, and the Arbitrators have Time to make their Award at any Time before the said Day; and so no Time limited for the Umpire, and his Power therefore merely void. Between Barber and Giles, 1 Rol. Abr. 261.

10. If the Condition of an Obligation be to fubmit to the Award of A. and B. ita quod, &c. ante 1 May, and if they made none, to the Award of such Umpire as they should chuse, to be made before the 1st of June, tho' the Ita quod, &c. be in the Clause referring to the Arbitrators, yet by Construction it relates to, and as well restrains the Umpire as Arbitrators. Between Beau and New-

bury, 1 Lev. 139.

11. If two Men submit themselves for all Matters, &c. to the Award of certain Arbitrators to be made before a certain Day; and that if they do not make any Award before the Day, that then they submit to the Ordinance and Judgment of J. S. if the Arbitrators make an Award of Part of the Things submitted, and of Part not, the Umpire cannot make any Award of this Part of which the Arbitrators have made no Award, because he hath no Power given, but upon Condition the Arbitrators make no Award. 39 H. 6. 10.

12. But if the Submission be, that if the Arbitrators make no Award of the Premisses, or of any Parcel thereof, that then the Umpire shall have Power to make an intire Award, or of Parcel which remains, as the Case is; in this Case the Arbitrators may make an Award of Parcel, and the Umpire of the Residue, because this is expressly

ordained. 39 H. 6. 11.

frand to the Award of A. B. C. and D. Isa quod the said Award before such a Day be made in Writing by the said A. B. C. and D. or any Two of them, under their Hands, Sc. any Two of the Arbitrators without the rest may make an Award; for the by the sirst Part they are bound to stand to the Award of those Four, yet their Power is divided by the subsequent Words, and the Isa quod, Sc. is but an Explanation of the Condition, and the Whole makes but one Sentence. Between Sallows and Girling, Yelv. 203. adjudged upon Demurrer.

14. If, upon a Submission of all Title in a certain Lease, the Arbitrator awards that one of the Parties shall have the Land, this gives the Interest in the Term; but if the Award be, that one shall permit and suffer the other to enjoy the Term, this gives not the Interest in it. Between Trusce and

Yewre, Cro. Eliz. 228.

SECT. III.

Concerning those Patters which may be said to be submitted.

A Sit is by the Submission, that the Arbitrators are armed to pronounce a Sentence between the contending Parties, it is necessary for them to examine particularly what their Authority is, and how far it extends, to square themselves by the Terms thereof, and to see carefully that their Award be conformable to the Submission, with Respect to Persons and Things; for to award a Thing to be done by a Stranger, and someomes to a Stranger, who is not Party to the Submission; or to make an Award upon another Thing that is not submitted, is void.

The Complete Arbitratoz.

2. As it is the Neglect of this Rule which has caused more Strife and Debate with respect to Awards, (when the discontented Party had no Mind to perform what was to have been done on his Side) than any other; and as there are more curious and artful Distinctions on this Head than any other, it requires the nicest and strictest Examination; but as I have endeavoured to set all the Cases on this Subject in the clearest Light I could, in the Chapter of Awards, I shall mention but a few in this Place.

3. If the Condition of an Obligation be, to perform an Award between the Parties of such and such Things; if the Arbitrators award a Thing to be done merely out of the Submission, he is not bound

to perform it. 8 H. 6. 18.

4. But otherwise it is of a Thing depending on

the Principle. 8 H. 6. 18.

5. As, if there be a Submission of all Debts, the Arbitrators may award a Kelease of all Bonds, Judgments, Executions and Extents; for as by the Submission the Arbitrators have Power to make an Award concerning the Debts themselves; so ex consequenti they have Power to award a Release of the Specialties, Judgments, &c. by which the said Debts are due. Trin. 22 Car. between Roberts and

Marriot, 2 Saund. 190. adjudged.

6. If Two submit to the Award of J. S. of all Matters between them till the Submission, and then each of them Promises to the other to perform the Award, and J. S. after awards; whereas one was bound in an Obligation to the other (which was made after the Submission, but before the Award) that the Obligee should deliver up the Obligation, in sull Satisfaction of all Matters between them, (and awards surther in such Manner, that all was good, if the aforesaid Award was good) though this Obligation be out of the Submission, because

it was made after the Submission, yet he is bound to perform it, because it is to be given in Satisfaction of Matters contained within the Submission. I Rol. Abr. 242

10 Mod. 204. S, P. Gilb. Ca. 125. S. C. S. P.

7. If the Submission be of a Term for Years of Land, and all thereupon depending; and the Award is that one shall pay the other 10 l. for the Rent that shall become due upon this Term at Michaelmas next ensuing; this is no good Award; for the Rent is not within the Submission, in as much as the Rent may be extinct by Surrender, Eviction, &c. before Michaelmas. I Rol. Abr. 245.

8. But if the Condition of an Obligation be, to stand to the Award of J. S. and he Awards that one shall enjoy a certain House, paying to the other 20 l. yearly, if the the Rent is not paid the Con-

dition is broke. Cro. Eliz. 211.

9. So if an Award be that A. shall make a Lease to B. and that for this Lease B. shall pay to A. a certain Sum yearly, this is good. Moor 3.

10. Whether Interest accruing after the Submission, may be awarded for Money due before, quære, and see Winch 114, 120.

SECT. IV.

Concerning those Submissions which are fato to be General of Special, Absolute of Conditional.

A NOTHER Thing to be observed concerning the Submission is, That it is sometimes General, as of all Suits, Debts, Dues, Demands, &c. whatsoever; or Special, as of a particular Thing, as of such a Sum of Money, such an Action depending,

The Compleat Arbitratoz.

depending, &c. and this has occasioned another Division, viz. such as are Absolute or Conditional; and this last has given Rise to several Doubts and Disputes. When the Submission is absolute, and of several Differences and Disputes, the Arbitrators may make an Award of one or two, omitting the Rest; and the Award will be good for so much as they settle.

- 2. But when the Submission is conditional, viz. when it is of all Things in General, or of two or three Things in Particular, and it concludes, so that the Award be made of the (or of all the) Premisses, there it is necessary in many Cases to settle and determine the whole Matters submitted.
- 3. In Debt upon an Obligation conditioned to stand to the Award of J. S. for and concerning seven feveral Things, so as the same Award be made, and delivered, in Writing before such a Day, &c. the Defendant pleaded, that the Arbitrator made an Award of some Things according to the Submisfion, and of others not, &c. to which the Plaintiff demurred, because he had not pleaded Performance of fuch Things as were well awarded; but all the Court held, that as this Case is, the Defendant is not tied to perform any Part of the Award; for it is all one, where the Words are, so that that Award be made of the Premisses, &c. and so as the same Award be made before, &c. for the Words the same Award refer to all the Things before-mentioned; so that if any Part be omitted in the Award, it is void for all. Between Risden and Inglet, Cro. Eliz. 838, 839.

4. In Debt on an Obligation for not performing an Award, it appeared that the Submission was general, with a Condition Ita quod; and it was objected, that the Arbitrator having made an Award of one Thing only, the Award was void;

4

it was made after the Submission, yet he is bound to perform it, because it is to be given in Satisfaction of Matters contained within the Submission. I Rol. Abr. 243.

10 Mod. 204. 7. If th

S. P. Gilb. Ca. 125. S. C. S. P.

7. If the Submission be of a Term for Years of Land, and all thereupon depending; and the Award is that one shall pay the other 10 l. for the Rent that shall become due upon this Term at Michaelmas next ensuing; this is no good Award; for the Rent is not within the Submission, in as much as the Rent may be extinct by Surrender, Eviction, &c. before Michaelmas. I Rol. Abr. 245.

8. But if the Condition of an Obligation be, to fland to the Award of J. S. and he Awards that one shall enjoy a certain House, paying to the other 20 l. yearly, if the the Rent is not paid the Con-

dition is broke. Cro. Eliz. 211.

9. So if an Award be that A. shall make a Lease to B. and that for this Lease B. shall pay to A. a certain Sum yearly, this is good. Moor 3.

10. Whether Interest accruing after the Submission, may be awarded for Money due before, quære, and see Winch 114, 120.

SECT. IV.

Concerning those Submissions which are faid to be General of Special, Absolute of Conditional.

A NOTHER Thing to be observed concerning the Submission is, That it is sometimes General, as of all Suits, Debts, Dues, Demands, &c. whatsoever; or Special, as of a particular Thing, as of such a Sum of Money, such an Action depending,

The Compleat Arbitratoz.

depending, &c. and this has occasioned another Division, viz. such as are Absolute or Conditional; and this last has given Rise to several Doubts and Disputes. When the Submission is absolute, and of several Differences and Disputes, the Arbitrators may make an Award of one or two, omitting the Rest; and the Award will be good for so much as they settle.

- 2. But when the Submission is conditional, viz. when it is of all Things in General, or of two or three Things in Particular, and it concludes, so that the Award be made of the (or of all the) Premisses, there it is necessary in many Cases to settle and determine the whole Matters submitted.
- 3. In Debt upon an Obligation conditioned to stand to the Award of J. S. for and concerning seven feveral Things, so as the same Award be made, and delivered, in Writing before such a Day, &c. the Defendant pleaded, that the Arbitrator made an Award of some Things according to the Submisfion, and of others not, &c. to which the Plaintiff demurred, because he had not pleaded Performance of fuch Things as were well awarded; but all the Court held, that as this Case is, the Defendant is not tied to perform any Part of the Award; for it is all one, where the Words are, so that that Award be made of the Premisses, &c. and so as the same Award be made before, &c. for the Words the same Award refer to all the Things before-mentioned; fo that if any Part be omitted in the Award, it is void for all. Between Risden and Inglet, Cro. Eliz. 838, 839.

4. In Debt on an Obligation for not performing an Award, it appeared that the Submission was general, with a Condition Ita quod; and it was objected, that the Arbitrator having made an Award of one Thing only, the Award was void;

4 ' bu

but it was held, that it appearing by the Award, that it was made de pramiss prad, &c. which Words import, that he had made an Award of all that was referred to him, and so shall it be intended, unless the contrary be alledged and shewn by the Party, that the Award was good; but if the Submission be of certain Things specially mentioned, with a Condition that the Award shall be made of the Premisses, then the Arbitrator must make an Award of the Whole; otherwise it is void; but if divers Things be submitted without any such conditional Conclusion, the Award shall be good, though made but of one or two of them. Baspole's Case, 8 Co. 97. b. 98. a. same Point, and same Difference taken, Cro. Jac. 200.

5. If A and B fubmit all Controversies of Woods and Underwoods, and all Quarrels and Suits between them, ita quod, and the Award is, that A shall have the Underwoods, and that he shall pay to B. 50 l. and says nothing of the Woods, but awards further, that all Manner of Actions, Quarrels, &c. between them shall cease; this is a good Award of all, because the Beginning of the Award was (we do award of the Premisses); and also the Award is of Actions, &c. Between Humfrey and

Wiburn, 1 Rol. Abr. 257.

6. If there be a general Submission, with a conditional Conclusion, and in an Assumptit brought for not Performing the Award made thereon, the Defendant pleads, that there was 4 l. due to him, which he offered to prove to the Arbitrator; but that the Arbitrator refused to take any Notice of it, or allow him any Thing in Recompence, but proceeded to make his Award, and thereby awarded general Releases of all Matters; yet this shall be a good Award; for he having awarded general Releases of all Matters, shews that he had all the Matters

The Compleat Arbitrator.

Matters in Difference in Contemplation, and that he did not deem this a just Debt. Between Birks

and Trippet, I Saund. 32.

7. Agreed to be a stated Rule in Awards that are said to be de & sup' Praniss. that if the Words used in them be in their own Nature more comprehensive, and so extensive to Things not within the Submission; yet it shall be intended that there was no other Matter between the Parties, for them lay to hold on, but what was submitted, if the contrary be not shewn. So e converso, if the Words are more narrow, and less comprehensive than to take in all the Matter of Submission; yet it shall be intended that no more was in Controversy, than what the Words naturally comprehend, if the contrary be not likewise shewn. 6 Mod. 232.

SECT. V.

Concerning Submissions by Bond, Covenant, Rule of Court, by Parol, oz with, out Writing.

A Submission by Bond, as it is the most frequent, so it is the most sure Method, as Witness to an
has been before observed; it is adviseable that the Arbitration
Penalty of the Obligation be somewhat more than Bond obliged
the Thing in Controversy is worth: Each Party
to make Assimust deliver a Bond to the other, Mutatis mutandis, Execution.
only changing the Names; and if there are more 10 Mod. 332.
than one of a side, who are so far interested as to Stra. 1.
make it necessary that they should be Parties, they
may enter into separate and distinct Bonds, or they
may be bound jointly in the same Bond.

2. Sub-

2. Submissions by Covenant are but rarely used; but as an Award made on such a Submission may be good, it is necessary that the Covenants be equally binding, that they express the Time, Place, &c. as much as a Submission by Bond, and that there be likewise a Covenant, that neither of the Parties revoke the Authority they have given before the Award be made.

3. Submissions by Rule of Court are now very frequent, and is allowed to be the most expeditious Way they may be made, by adding the Party's Consent at the Bottom of the Condition of the Bond, and then the Party may proceed which ever Way he pleases, or the Submission may be made by a Memorandum in Writing, signifying their Consent, according to the following Precedents.

4. Submissions by Word are seldom used, because of the great Inconveniency which attends such Submissions, not only in Respect to their Incertainty, which may be the Foundation of Perjury; but likewise, as they may be revoked at any Time before the Award made, without any Inconveniency; but however, as Persons may submit by Parol, and as they still do, when the Controversy is of some small Matter, it is as necessary for the Parties to ascertain the Matters, and all other the Circumstances, as much in this, as in any other Kind of Submission.

Precedents of the different Kinds of Submissions.

A Bond of Submission, with Condition to stand to the Award of two Arbitrators, in Common Form.

NOW all Men by these Presents, That I A. B. of, &c. do owe, and am indebted unto J. S. of, &c. in the Sum of 100 l. of lawful Money of Great Britain, to be paid unto the said J. S. his Executors, Administrators or Assigns, on, &c. next ensuing the Date hereof; to which Payment well and truly to be made, I bind my self, my Heirs, Executors and Administrators, firmly by

these Presents; in Witness whereof, &c.

The Condition of the above Obligation is fuch, That if the above Bounden A. B. his Heirs, Executors and Administrators, and of every of them, for and on his and their Parts and Behalfs, do and shall well and truly stand to, obey, abide, perform, observe and keep the Award, Order, Arbitrament, final End and Determination of S. G. and D. K. Arbitrators indifferently named, elected and chosen, as well for and on the Part and Behalf of the above Bounden A. B. as of the above named J. S. to arbitrate, award, order, adjudge and determine of and concerning all and all Manner of Actions, Cause and Causes of Action, Suits, Bills, Bonds, Specialties, Judgments, Executions, Extents, Accounts, Debts, Dues, Sum and Sums of Money, Quarrels, Controversies, Trespasses, Damages and Demands whatsoever, both in Law and Equity, or otherwise howsoever, which at any Time or Times

heretofore have been had, made, moved, brought, commenced, fued, profecuted, committed, omitted, done or fuffered by or between the faid Parties, or any or either of them, so as the said Award be made in Writing, and ready to be delivered to the said Parties, or any or either of them, on or before the ——Day of——now next ensuing, then this Obligation to be void, &c.

A Bond with Condition to fland to the Award of three Arbitrators, or any two of them, and an Ampire appointed.

B E it known unto all Men by these Presents, That J. A. of, &c. Esq; do owe, and am indebted unto B. of, &c. Esq; in the Sum of a Thousand Pounds, &c. to be paid to the said B. his Executors, Administrators, or Assigns, at or upon the, &c. to which Payment well and truly to be made, I bind my self, my Heirs, Executors and Adminstrators, firmly by these Presents. In Witness, &c.

The Condition of this Obligation is such, That if the above bound A. his Heirs, Executors and Administrators, for his and their Parts and Behalfs, shall and do, in and by all Things, well and truly stand to, obey, abide, observe, perform, fulfil and keep the Award, Order, Arbitrament, final End and Determination of—or any two of them, Arbitrators indifferently elected and named, as well by and on the Part and Behalf of the said A. as by and on the Part and Behalf of the abovenamed B. to arbitrate, award, order, judge and determine, of and concerning all and all Manner of Action and Actions, Cause and Causes of Action,

Suits,

lia

fai

The Compleat Arbitrator.

Suits, Bills, Bonds, Specialties, Covenants, Contracts, Promisses, Accounts, Reckonings, Sums of Money, Judgments, Executions, Extents, Quarrels, Controversies, Trespasses, Damages and Demands whatfoever, at any Time heretofore had, made, moved, brought, commenced, fued, profecuted, done, fuffered, committed, or depending by or between the faid Parties, fo as the Award of the faid Arbitrators, or any two of them, be made and fet down in Writing, under their or any two of their Hands and Seals, ready to be delivered to the faid Parties in Difference, on or before the, &c. then, &c. And if the faid Arbitrators shall not make such their Award of and concerning the Premisses, within the Time limited, as aforesaid, then if the faid A. his Heirs, Executors and Administrators, for his and their Parts and Behalf. do and shall well and truly stand to, observe, perform, fulfil and keep the Award, Determination and Umpirage (if the Umpire be named) of G. being a Person indifferently named and chosen between the faid Parties, for Umpire; (if not named) of such Person as the said Arbitrators shall indifferently chuse, (for Umpire) in and concerning the Premisses, so as the said Umpire do make and set down his Award and Umpirage in Writing, under his Hand and Seal, ready to be delivered to the faid Parties in Difference, on or before the, &c. then, &c.

If the Parties have a Mind to make their Submission a Rule of Court, pursuant to the Act of Parliament, they may signify their Consent in Writing, to be added to the Condition, as thus:

And it is hereby agreed, by and between the faid Parties, That these Presents, and the Sub-mission

mission hereby made of the said Matters in Controversy, shall be made a Rule of his Majesty's Court of King's Bench, to the End the said Parties in Difference shall be finally concluded by the said Arbitration, by these Presents intended, pursuant to the Act of Parliament in that Case made and provided.

A Form of a Submission to an Arbitration according to the Statute, for making Awards by Rule of Court.

Emorandum, this first Day of, &c. A. B. and C. D. being desirous to end and determine divers Controversies, Suits and Quarrels, that have been between them, (for which there is no other Remedy but by Personal Action or Suit in Equity) did agree to submit, and did submit and refer all the faid Controversies, Suits and Quarrels to the Award of E. F. and G. H. Arbitrators indifferently chosen between them, to be made in Writing, under the Hands and Seals of the faid Arbitrators, before the — Day of — next ensuing: And the faid Parties did mutually promise and oblige themselves respectively, that they will perform and execute fuch Award as the Arbitrators shall make in the Premisses: And the said Parties did further agree, That their faid Submittion should be made a Rule in his Majesty's Court of Common Pleas, (or King's Bench) at Westminster; and that they will finally be concluded by the Arbitration that shall be made concerning the Premisses, by the said Arbitrators, pursuant to such Submission.

Some may affect to have the Bond of Submission thus.

NOW all Men by these Presents, That I A. B. of G. in the County of S. Gent. am held and firmly bound to C. D. of N. in the County aforesaid, Yeoman, in one hundred Pounds of good and lawful Money of Great Britain, to be paid to the said C. D. his certain Attorney, Executors, Administrators or Assigns; to which Payment well and truly to be made, I bind my self, my Heirs, Executors and Administrators, firmly by these Presents, sealed with my Seal. Dated, &c.

The Condition of the above Obligation is fuch, A Special That if the above bounden A. his Heirs, Execu-Submission tors and Administrators, for his and their Parts where the and Behalfs, shall and do, in all Things, well and Matters are truly stand to, obey, abide, observe, perform, recited. fulfil and keep the Award, Order, final End and Determination of G. H. and J. K. both of, &c. Arbitrators indifferently chosen and elected, as well on the Part and Behalf of the above bounden A. as of the above named B. to arbitrate, award, order, and determine of, for, and concerning one Action of Trespass, now depending in his Majesty's Court of King's Bench; and also of, for, and concerning a Suit now depending in the High Court of Chancery, between the faid Parties; and also of, for, and concerning an Account now subsisting and unbalanced between the faid Parties; so that the faid Award, Order, and Determination, be made of, for, or concerning the Premisses, or any Part of them, in Writing, under their Hands and Seals, ready to be delivered to the faid Parties, or to fuch

of

of them as shall desire the same, on or before, &c. then this Obligation to be void and of no Effect, &c.

From two Persons, Attornies of Admi-

HE Condition of this Obligation, &c. Whereas Differences have arisen between A. and G. fince deceased, about and concerning --- which Differences not being adjusted by the said A. and G. the above bound B. and C. the lawful Attornies. and for and on the Behalf of D. and E. Administrators of the Goods and Chattels of the faid G. and the faid A. have agreed to refer the faid Differences to Arbitrators, &c. to arbitrate, &c. concerning the fame, as here under is mentioned: Now, &c. that if the faid B, and C, and the faid D, and E. and either of them, their Executors and Administrators, shall and do stand to, perform and keep the Award, Order, Arbitrament, final End and Determination, which the faid Arbitrators as aforefaid, shall make, &c. in and concerning the faid Matters in Difference, and all or any Actions, Suits, Accounts, Sum and Sums of Money, Damages, Claims and Demands concerning the fame; then. &c.

Between the Gaster and Owners, and freighters of a Ship.

HE Condition, &c. That if the above bound A. who was late Master of the Ship—and the above bound B. C. and D. Part-Owners of the said Ship, and the rest of the Part-Owners thereof,

The Compleat Arbitrator.

thereof, do and shall, in and by all Things, well and truly stand to, perform and keep the Award, Judgment, sinal End and Determination of——Arbitrators indifferently chosen, as well by, and on the Part and Behalf of the said A. B. C. and D. as by and on the Part and Behalf of the abovenamed E. and G. to arbitrate, judge and determine of and concerning all and all Manner of Actions Suits, and Causes thereof, Debts, Dues, Covenants, Contracts, Agreements, Sums of Money, Controversies, Differences, Claims and Demands between the said Parties, or any of them, relating to, or concerning the said Ship——and her late Voyage——and thence to——in the Year——so as the said Arbitrators shall make, &c. as usual.

Between two Hasters of a Ship, for themselves and Owners, about a Prize.

WHEREAS Differences, &c. between the above bound A. and the rest of the Part-Owners of the Ship----, whereof the faid A. is Commander, of the one Part, and the abovenamed B, and the rest of the Part-Owners of the Ship—, whereof the faid B. is Commander, of the other Part, concerning the Parts or Proportions claimed by, and belonging to the Owners of the faid several Ships, in respect thereof, and in a French-or Veffel, called the-, Master, and her Loading, which was lately taken as a Prize, by the faid A. and B. in and with the faid Ships - and - all Monies arifing thereby: Now, &c. that if the faid A and the rest of the Part. Owners of the faid Ship ---, their Executors and Affigns, do and shall, in and by all Things, well and truly stand

stand to, perform and keep the Award, Judgment, final End and Determination of, &c. Arbitrators indifferently named, by, and on the Behalf of the said Parties in Difference, to arbitrate, judge and determine the said Matters in Difference between them, and all or any Actions, Suits, Accompts, Sums of Money, Damages, Claims and Demands concerning the same, so as their Award shall be made, &c.

Note, That in most Bonds from one or more Part-Owners, on Behalf of the rest, are put in just before Now, Gc. these Words, and the said—, hath undertaken for the rest of the Part-Owners of the said Ship, Performance of such Award as shall be made concerning the same; and then, the Condition, that if the said—, and the rest of the Part-Owners of the said Ship, their Executors, Administrators and Assigns.

Between the Assgnees in a Commission of Bankrupt, and a Haster of a Ship that belonged to the Bankrupt.

WHEREAS Differences, &c. between the above bound A. and the above named B. and C. Affignees and Trustees, by Virtue of a Commission of Bankruptcy awarded against E. by his Consent and Desire, to defeat Attachments about and concerning an Accompt depending between the said A. and E. concerning the Ship—, whereof the said A. was Master; which Difference concerning the said Ship, and all or any other Accounts, Matters and Things depending between the said A. and the said E. they the said Parties have

tl

re

21

th

The Compleat Arbitratoz.

have agreed to refer to the Award, Judgment and Determination of——, Arbitrators indifferently named and chosen, by and between the said Parties in Difference, in and concerning the Premisses: Now, &c. that if the said A. do and shall, &c.

from the Part-Owners and Paster, to be made a Rule of Court, and another fort of Penalty.

THEREAS Differences and Suits have arisen, and are depending between A. B. C. and D. of, &c. Part-Owners of the good Ship or Vessel, called the A. Burthen about - Tons. whereof E. late was Master, of the one Part, and the faid E. of, &c. of the other Part, about and concerning the faid Ship, and her late Voyage to 7. and back to London, and the Accompts thereof; all which Differences and Accompts, and all other Matters and Things concerning the faid Ship, and her faid late Voyage, and all Actions, Suits and Causes thereof, Accompts, Reckonings, Sum and Sums of Money, Payments, Covenants, Agreements, Controversies, Damages, Claims and Demands between the faid Parties, concerning the fame, all the faid Parties do hereby agree to refer, and the same are hereby referred and submitted to the Arbitrament, Judgment, final End and Determination of E. G. and H. of, &c. or any two of them, Arbitrators indifferently named and chofen, by and between the faid feveral Parties, to award, order, judge and determine of and concerning the fame, as hereunder is mentioned. therefore these Presents witness, That the said A. B. &c. for themselves, their Executors and Admini-Arators, feverally and respectively, &c. Judgment

and Determination, in, about and concerning the faid Matters hereby referred; which E. G. and H. Arbitrators as aforefaid, or any two of them, shall make, declare and fet down in Writing Indented, under their, or any two of their Hands and Seals, on or before, &c. to the Performance whereof the faid A. B. C. and D. bind themselves, their Heirs, Executors and Administrators, severally and respectively, and not jointly, not, unto the said E. his Executors, Administrators and Assigns, in their feveral Proportions, according to their Parts in the faid Ship, of the Sum or Penalty of 1000 l. of lawful Money of Great Britain, firmly by thefe Presents; and it is hereby agreed, by and between the faid Parties, that these Presents, and the Submission hereby made of the said Matters in Controversy, shall be made a Rule of his Majesty's Court of King's Bench, to the End the faid Parties in Difference shall be finally concluded by the faid Arbitration, by these Presents intended, pursuant to the late Act of Parliament in that Case made and provided. In Witness, &c.

A Submission to Arbitration, with Covenants to perform the same, and with Exceptions in the Submission.

of, &c. of the one Part, and C. D. of, &c. of the other Part, Witnesseth, That the said A. B. and C. D. do by these Presents, willingly compromise and submit themselves, and either of them, to the Award, Arbitrament, Determination and Judgment of E. F. G. H. and J. H. Arbitrators indifferently named, elected and chosen by the said Parties, to arbitrate, award, order, determine finally,

The Complete Arbitrator.

finally, and to make, judge, decree of, for, upon and concerning all and all Manner of Actions, Caufes of Actions, Suits, Debts, Strifes, Accompts, Reckonings, Sum and Sums of Money, Trespasses, Variances, Quarrels, Bonds, Specialties, Matters and Demands whatfoever, had, made, rifen, moved or depending, or which might have been had or moved, between the faid Parties in the King's Majesty's Court of Common Pleas, or before, &c. and except one Debt of eighteen Pounds due to the faid A. B. by the faid C. D. for the Price of certain Corn, &c. and except all Lands and Tenements of the faid A. B. and fuch like Exceptions, &c. fo always that the faid Arbitrators do make their Award, Order, and Judgment, of and concerning the Premisses, to be made by Writing Indented, under all their Hands and Seals on this Side, and before the 10th of June now next enfuing, and one Part of the same deliver, or cause to be delivered unto the faid A. B. or his certain Attorney or Attornies, Deputy or Affigns in that Behalf, requiring the same at, or in, &c. and the other Part of the faid Award to the faid C. D. his Attorney or Attornies, Deputy or Affigns, requiring the same at the faid Day and Place; and so always, that the faid Arbitrators do not, by the faid Award, order or appoint any Act or Acts, Thing or Things, to be done or performed, by, or to any Person or Persons, other than to or by the said Parties to these Presents, their Heirs, Executors, Administrators or Assigns, or some of them, and not to or by any Stranger or Strangers to this present Submission; and the said A. B. and C. D. and either of them, for themselves, their Heirs, Executors and Administrators, and the Heirs, Executors and Administrators, of either of them, do by these Prefents mutually covenant, conclude, promise and agree, to, and with the other his Executors, Administrators, and every of them, that neither they,

nor either of them, will at any Time hereafter revoke their Authority hereby given to the faid Arbitrators, nor Discharge them, nor either of them, in the said Faculty or Power of Arbitration, and that they and either of them, and the Heirs, Executors and Administrators of either of them, for his and their Parts, shall and will well and truly observe, perform, suffil and keep, all and every Clause, Sentence, Article, Submission and Agreement in these Presents mentioned, on his or their Part to be performed and kept, according to the Tenor and true Intent of the same.

CHAP. IV.

Parties to the Submission.

Which is absolutely effential to every Award, which is, that there be proper Parties to the Submission; we shall therefore in this Chapter take Notice, and shew what Persons they are which the Law allows or disables from being Parties; how the Submission and Award is to be made between them, and who can take Advantage of the Award, or are bound by it, though they have not been Parties to the Submission.

Sect. I. What Persons the Law allows of as good Parties to the Zub-mission.

The Compleat Arbitratoz.

Sect. II. What Persons are disabled from being Parties.

Sect. III. How the Submission, and the Award'thereupon, may be made between them.

Sect. IV. Who may take Advantage of the Award, or are bound by it, though they have not been Parties to the Submiftion.

SECT. I.

What Persons the Law allows of as good Parties to the Submission.

IT is clear, that all Persons who are capable by Law of disposing of their Properties, or of making any Contract or Agreement relating to them, are capable, and may be Parties to a Submission; and that they may not only bind themselves, but likewise may engage for Strangers, for whom they shall be answerable, and may likewise bind their Heirs, Executors and Administrators.

2. If there be a Controversy for a certain Thing between A. and B. of the one Part, and C. D. and E. of the other Part, and C. in Consideration of 6 d. given him by A. and B. submits the Matter for himself, and D. and E. and assumes to stand to the Award, and A. and B. submit of the other Part, and the Arbitrators award that C. shall pay so much to A. and B. in Satisfaction of the Controversy; this is a good Award, and C. is bound to perform it, though it concerns two Strangers

to the Submission, for C. hath undertaken for them.

1 Rol. Abr. 244.

3. One Partner having submitted on his own and his Partner's Behalf, it was held by the Court, That though the Partner who did not submit was not bound by the Award, yet he who submitted and undertook for him, was obliged to see that he performed what was awarded for him to do; otherwise it was a Breach of his Promise. 2 Mod. 228.

4. The Condition of a Bond of Submission recited that there were Differences between the Plaintiff A. and one B. who lived at Hamburgh, and that the Defendant C. as Attorney for the faid B. and the Plaintiff A. had submitted all Matters, &c. between A. and B. unto the Arbitrators, &c. therefore if the Defendant C. did perform the Award, then, &c. the Arbitrators awarded, That the Defendant C. on the Behalf of B. should pay to the Plaintiff A. 300 l. and that the Plaintiff should execute a general Release unto the Defendant C. and this Award being fet forth in the Declaration, the Defandant demurred: And it was argued, that this was an Award only ex parte, and therefore void; for that there was no Award on the Part of B. who was the principal Person concerned, for the Differences were between him and the Plaintiff, and not between him and the Defendant; and therefore the Awarding the Plaintiff to release to the Defendant fignified nothing, because B. can take no Benefit by fuch Release, for he will still remain liable to, and charged with the Demands of the Plaintiff; for the Award has not determined the Differences to which the Parties submitted; for those were between the Plaintiffs A. and B. and not between the Plaintiff A. and C. the Defendant; and after many Debates it was so adjudged. But Note, The Court allowed that the Submission by the Defendant as Attorney

The Compleat Arbitratoz.

Attorney for B. was good, and would have obliged him to pay the Money awarded, if the Award had been reciprocal with respect to B. also. Adjudged Trin. 9 W. &. M. between Bacon and Du-

barry, Carth. 412, 413. 1 Salk. 70. S. C.

5. If the Condition of an Obligation be, That whereas A. has, by himself and his Son, submitted to the Award of B. and C. Ita quod, &c. before the 1st of May, and if they make none, to such Umpire, as they should chuse to be made before the first of June, and the Arbitrators make no Award, but chuse an Umpire, who makes an Award, but Quoad the Son awards nothing; this is a void Award; for though the Ita quod be in the Clause referring to the Arbitrators, and the Award is made by the Umpire, yet the Ita quod by Construction relates as well to the Umpire as Arbitrators. Between Bean and Newbury, I Lev. 139. adjudged.

If one as Attorney to J. S. submits to an award, this shall bind the Attorney, but where the Award was that the Attorney on the Behalf of J. S. should pay to the Plaintiff 20 1. and then Plaintiff and Defendant on Behalf of J. S. should execute mutual Releases to each other ad usum eorum alterius of all Actions, &c. concerning the Account submitted, it was adjudged a void Award, because of one Part only, the Releases being to be made between Plaintiff and Defendant, where they ought to be between the Plaintiff and 7. S. or to be delivered to the Attorney for the Use of J. S. for a Release to the Attorney cannot advantage 7. S. and the Court declared they would, if they could, have construed it to be a Release to 7. S. but the Words (ad usum alterius eorum) exclude this Construction. 12 Mod.

If a Person submits to an Award on the Part and Behalf of a Stranger, it was said, That his Bond should be forfeited if the Stranger does not do

what

what the Award requires him to do, and the Court

inclined fo. Comyns's Rep. 184. pl. 115.

By the above Cases it appears, that tho' one Person may submit for another, and is bound to person whatever was awarded relating to such Person, and likewise, that a Person may bind himself by submitting by Attorney; yet it is regularly necessary that the Award be made between them who are chiefly interested in the Matters in Controversy.

SECT. II.

What Persons are disabled from being Parties.

Perfons, who by Law may dispose of their Properties, may likewise be Parties to a Submission; it seems that Infants, Ideots, Lunaticks and E. 3. 23. Madmen, are excluded; also * Feme Coverts without their Husbands, and such as are attainted of Felony or Treason, and Persons outlawed or waved in Personal Actions, for they have no Goods. 36 H. 6. 26.

2. It was held, that Persons Civilly dead, as Monks, Fryers, Canons, professed Nuns, &c.

could not submit. 14 H. 8. 6.

3. The Submission of such as are compelled thereto by Threats and Imprisonment, &c. is not good, for the Consent ought to be free. 8

All. 25.

4. So they who have joint Power with others, cannot fingly submit in relation to such Power, without their Fellows; as a Dean without a Chapter, a Mayor without his Commonalty, the Master

of

of a College or Hospital without his Fellows; and so of other Societies and Guilds. 21 E. 4. 13.

5. As to a Submission by an Infant, there has been some Doubt about it, the Year-Book of 13 H. 4. 12. says expresly, That if an Infant submits a Battery done to himself, and an Award is made thereupon, yet this shall not bind the Infant.

6. So if an Infant submits a Trespass done to him in his Land, and an Award is made thereupon, yet

this shall not bind him. 10 H. 6. 14.

7. If one gives Bond, in the Condition of which is recited, that an Infant hath submitted to the Award of J. S. and it is conditioned that he shall perform the Award, it is void. March 111, 141.

8. Afterwards it coming in Question, Whether the Submission of an Infant was good, the Judges seemed to think that it was good, so as to bind the Party of the other Side; and as to the Infant himself, that it was only voidable, and a Matter to which he may agree or disagree, when he came to full Age. Between Knight and Stone, I Jones

164.

9. In Debt upon an Obligation against A. conditioned, that if he, and B. his Son, and each of them, perform the Award of C. D. &c. Ita quod, &c. A. the Defendant pleads, that his Son was within Age; to which the Plaintiff demurred. And it was argued, that a Submission by an Infant is void, and a Submission being void in Part, both that and the Award are void in Toto. But the Court would give no Opinion as to the Point, whether the Submission by an Infant, or by the Father on Behalf of an Infant, be void; but they held clearly, that the Father's Submission on Behalf of himself was good, and the Arbitrators may make their Award between him and the Plaintiff only; and the Plea does not answer to that, but contains a collateral Matter in Bar; and therefore, though

though no Breach be assigned, nor no Award pleaded in the Replication, yet Judgment was given for the Plaintiff. Between Bowyer and Blorksidge, I

Lev. 17.

10. Concerning Submissions by Feme Coverts, it is held clearly, That when a Right or Interest vests in the Husband by the Marriage, or when he becomes chargeable, his Submission alone is sufficient. So it was held, That the Submission of a Term which the Husband had in Right of his Wise, was good, though she was but Administratrix. Style 351.

11. But if any Thing is to be done by her which the Law requires, it is otherwise, with respect especially to her Inheritance, or such Things as are given her in Trust with her Husband's Consent and Privity; in which Cases she must be made a

Party. 5 Co. 77. b.

SECT. III

pow the Submission, and the Award thereupon, may be made between them.

A S there may be several Parties to an Award, who may enter into several distinct Bonds, or be bound jointly and severally in the same Bond, Care must be taken how the Submission and Award be made, as appears by the following Cases.

1. If there be a Controversy concerning certain Lands between A B, and C, and thereupon A, of the one Part, and B, and C, of the other Part, submit to the Award of \mathcal{F} . S, and thereupon A, binds himself in an Obligation of 1000 l, to B, and C, with Condition to perform the said Award of \mathcal{F} . S, touching this, and B, and C, because they

would

would not be bound the one for the other, enter into feveral Obligations of 1000 l. a-piece to A. with feveral Conditions for the Performance of the Award of the faid 7. S. and the Arbitrators award that A. Chall make a Release of all his Right in the Lands to B. and C. and in Confideration thereof. B. and C. should pay 300 l. to A. and in an Action of Debt by A. against B. upon this Obligation, for Non-performence of the Award, it was held to be good; and the Breach affigned was, That nec B. nor C. paid the 200 l. at the Time appointed by the Award; all this Matter being disclosed in the Pleading; for upon all the Matter shewn, it appears that C. is no Stranger to the Award, for he and B. submitted themselves jointly, though they entered into feveral Obligations. Between Haies and Haies, Cro. Car. 433.

2. If A. and B. of the one Part, and C. of the other Part, submit themselves to the Award of J. S. of all Matters between them, J. S. may make an Award of any Matter between A. only, and C. though B. hath nothing to do therewith, for the Submission shall be taken distributively. I Co. 98. But it is said, that if the Submission was with an Ita quod, something must be awarded to B. Style

151.

3. If A. and B. of the one Part, and C. of the other Part, submit to the Award of J. S. who awards that B. shall pay a certain Sum of Money unto C. in Satisfaction of all Differences between A. and B. of the one Part, and C. of the other Part; this is a void Award as to A. and he is not concerned therein; for the Money paid by one, can be no Satisfaction for the other. Style 471, adjudged nist.

4. If three Persons, scilicet A. B. and C. of the one Part, and D. of the other Part, submit themselves to the Award of J. S. ita quod, &c. and he makes

makes an Award between A. and B. of the one Part, and D. of the other Part, and makes no Award between C. and D. this is not good, because the Submission is conditional; and it is recited in the Submission, that there were divers Controversies between them all. 1 Rol. Abr. 261.

The Condition of a Bond of Submission recited, that there were Differences between several Persons on one Side, and several on the other; and that the Award may be made between them, or any of them; and it was held, that upon this Submission the Arbitrators may make an Award between any one of the Parties of the one Side, with one of the Parties of the other. Hard. 399.

This Matter is carried much farther in Equity, if the following Case will be allowed a good Prece-

dent.

A. and B. Executors of J. S. on the one Part, and C. his Widow on the other, submit to Arbitration, the Arbitrators may make an Award, not only of Matters in Difference between A. and B. jointly, or A. and B. separately, and C. but also of Matters between A. and B. provided they have Knowledge of the whole fact, and all the Parties interested are before them. Between Carter and Carter, 1 Vern. 259.

SECT. IV.

Who shall take Advantage of the Award, or are bound by it, though they have not been made Parties.

I. If divers on the one Part have done a Wrong or Trespass to another, and he to whom the Wrong is done, and one of the others, submit themselves to an Award; upon the Award made, the others, though not Parties to the Submission,

fhall

shall have Advantage in Extinguishment of the

Trespass. 7 H. 4. 31.

2. If A. hath the Custody of my Cattle, and during the Custody they do a Trespass to B. and A. and B. submit this Trespass to Arbitrament, and an Award is made, and A. performs it; if B. brings Trespass against me for this Trespass, I may plead in Bar the Award between the Plaintiff and the Stranger. 7 H. 4. 31. b. 1 Rol. Abr. 268.

3. An Award made upon the Submission of the Predecessor Prior, shall bind the Successor. 2 H.

4. 4.

4. If A. and B. submit to the Award of J. S. and he awards that A. shall pay to B. 30 l. within two Months next following, and that upon Payment thereof they shall give mutual Releases to one another, and within the said two Months B. dies, the Money shall be paid to his Executor, and thereupon the Executor must release, for the Award creates a Duty: Adjudged in an Action brought by the Executor, upon the Bond for Non-performance of the Award. Mich. I Will. & Mar. Between Dawny and Voscy, 2 Vent. 249.

5. An Award was worded in the Disjunctive, that be or his Executor should perform, &c. and Hold C. J. seemed inclined to reject the Word Executors, not but that an Award (he said) may extend to Executors, and bind them; but because the Executors, as Representatives, would be liable of Course.

1 Salk. 69.

CHAP. V.

The Arbitrators and Um= pire.

THE Arbitrators are private extraordinary Judges, chosen by the Parties to give Judgment between them, to end the Debate; they are called Arbitrators from the Word Arbiter, and the Arbitrary Power with which they are invested.

An Umpire may be defined one Arbitrator, who is usually appointed, when the Parties submit themfelves to the Arbitrament or Award of certain Perfons; and if they cannot agree, or are not ready to deliver their Award in Writing before such a Time, then to the Judgment of another as Umpire; this is the Effect of the Bond of Submiffion.

Though the Law has not been very exact in describing the necessary Qualifications of an Arbitrator, nor in prescribing Rules concerning their Partiality, Honesty and Judgment; yet, it will be necessary in this Chapter to say something, as well of the Qualifications of the Arbitrators, as of their Authority and Duty, together with the Authority and Duty of the Umpire, when they begin and when they end, &c.

Sect. I. Tho may be proper Arbitrators.

Sect. II. Concerning their Authozity and Duty.

Sect. III.

Sect. III. Revocation of their Power and Authority, and the Consequence thereof.

Sect. IV. Concerning the Ampire, and when his Authozity commences.

SECT. I.

Who may be proper Arbitrators.

own chusing, and as the law presumes that every Man will be so wise as to pitch upon a Person, whose Understanding and Honesty he can rely on; it has seldom happened that an Award was held void, when there appeared nothing else to vitiate it, especially in a Court of Law; but though the Courts of Law have been pretty strict in this particular, yet Awards have, and are often set asside in a Court of Equity, for Corruption and Want of Understanding in the Arbitrators.

2. It is therefore the Interest of both Parties, to chuse Men of Honesty and Understanding to be their Arbitrators, and to acquaint them truly of the Facts they are to go upon; for if they appear to be mistaken in a Matter of Fact, a Court of 2 Vern. 755.

Equity will fet aside the Award.

3. But that a bare Suggestion of Want of Understanding, or Want of Honesty, will not be sufficient; the Proof must be strong, and the rather, because, as was said before, they are of the Parties own Chusing, who by his Choice of them, admitted them to be wise and honest enough for his Purpose; but if he happens to be mustaken, it wil-

be good Proof for him, with Respect to their

Understanding, to shew,

4. That they, or one of them, was an Infant, and of such an Age, as not to be capable of judging of the Matter in Controversy; for alledging or proving him to be an Infant generally, without shewing that the Matter was not level to his Understanding, will not be sufficient.

5. That they were Ideots or Lunaticks, Deaf, Dumb, or Blind, which may be proved by any other Act of theirs, as well as by any Error or

palpable Mistake relating to the Award.

that they must be void of Malice and Favour to either of the Parties; That they must not be notorious by Outlawry, excommunicated, irreligious, nor covetous; for though they have absolute Power, yet their Judgment or Sentence ought to be sincere and incorrupt, according to Right and Equity, without Malice, Flattery, and every other vicious Affection or Perturbation, which may in any Sort lead them awry from the right Path of Justice and Equity, though the Law prescribes no Rules herein. West. Symb. Part 2. Sect. 27.

7. If a Submission is to three Arbitrators, or any Two of of them, and Two of them by Fraud or Force will exclude the other, that alone is sufficient to vitiate the Award; or if they have private Meetings, and admit one of the Parties, but give no Notice to the other, but suffer the Party's Attorney, whom they admitted to draw up the Award, such Award shall be set aside for Partiality and Unfairness. Mich. 1705, between Burton and Knight,

2 Vern. 514.

8. It is a general Rule in Equity, that when it appears that any one of the Arbitrators were any way interested in the Matters in Controversy, the Award is to be set aside.

of The Condition of a Bond of Submission recited, that A. the Plaintiff, and B. the Defendant should perform the Award of Four, whereof A the Plaintiff was named as one; and it was infisted upon by Serjeant Hard. among other Things, that the Award was void upon this Account, and that a Person who is to perform the Award, cannot be both a Judge and a Party; and that it was a principal Challenge, if an Arbitrator be one of the Jury; much less must the same Person be both Arbitrator and Party; but there is no Judgment in the Case. Hard. 44.

to shew that the Arbitrators received from either of the Parties any considerable Sum of Money, or any other Present, which may be a Temptation to them to act corruptly; but the Sum or Present must be proved to be so exorbitant as to induce the Court to believe that it biassed their Judgments, otherwise it will be of no Effect.

SECT. II.

Concerning their Authority and Duty.

r

0

t,

it

14

he

he

S it is by the Submission, that the Arbitrators are armed with all the Power and Authority which they have, it is necessary for them to be very careful, that they square themselves according to it, otherwise they will be apt to do the Parties a Prejudice, when they intended them a Kindness by the Award they make; it is therefore necessary for them to know when their Authority begins, and when it ends.

2. The Arbitrators may make their Award the very Day the Bonds of Submission bear Date, and it shall be good; so if they make their Award

before the Bonds of Submission are entred into, and publish it afterwards, it shall be good. Latch

14, 160.

In Debt upon a Bond, conditioned to perform an Award, the Defendant pleaded, Nullum fecerunt Arbitrium; the Plaintiff replies, and fers forth the Award, which did express the Bond of Submission to be dated the 7th of February, whereas it was dated the 10th of February; and for that Misrecital the Defendant demurred; but the Court held clearly, that it did not hurt the Award. Between

Toll and Dawfon, I Vent. 184.

The Condition of a Bond of Submission was, to fland to the Arbitration of four Arbitrators: fo as the Award be made in Writing, ready to be delivered to the Parties, before the 6th Day of Fanuary then next following; and the Arbitrament was made the 5th Day of January, betwirt the Hours of 8 and 9 in the Night; and whether the Defendant be bound to perform it, or not, was the Question; and after Argument, the Court held, that it was well enough for the Time, and that the Defendant ought to perform ir, for it is made before the 6th Day; and altho' it be made in the Night of the 5th Day, it is well; for Things done in the Night, where personal Attendance is not regifire, are good, and the rather, because that an Arbitration is a judicial Act. Between Withers and Drew, Cro. Eliz. 676.

25. The Arbitrators cannot make their Award by Parcels. 1 Rol. Abr. 250. So if Two submit all Debts, Trespasses, and other Things, ita quod the Award be made before such a Day; if the Arbitrators make an Award of Debts at one Day, and of Trespasses at another Day, and of other Things at another Day, though they are all before the Day appointed, yet this is not a good Award as to the

LWO

two last Awards, because they have Power to make

but one Award. 39 H. 6. 12.

6. But the Arbitrators may at one Day consider one Point, and at another Day another, and at a third the third Point; and then give one Judgment upon all the Points; so that the Judgment ought to be one, and not several. I Ral. Abr. 250.

7. As the Arbitrators are obliged to take Notice when their Authority commences, in like Manner are they obliged to take Notice when it ends; for they cannot regularly reserve any Thing for their future Judgment, when the Time allowed them is

expired.

8. If A. and B. submit to the Award of J. S. so that he makes his Award before the 8th of March, and J. S. accordingly makes his Award, that A. shall pay to B. 30 l. viz. 10 l. at Michaelmas, 10 l. at Christmas, and 10 l. at Lady Day; and if before the said last Payment, videretur to the said J. S. that A. was engaged for the said B. in any Debc not satisfied, that then the said B. should repay unto the said A. so much Money as the said Debt amounted to; this Award is void, because not final, for Part is reserved to his suture Judgment; which an Arbitrator cannot do. Between Winch & al' and Sanders, Cro. Jac. 584.

9. But it is said, that if the Award had been, that if A. shews any Bill of Debt to such a Sum, that then this Sum certain shall be repaid, perhaps

it may be a good Award. Ibid.

Money to the other; and if it can appear that more was due, and due Proof is made thereof within one Month, then he shall pay this also; and the Submission was, ita quod Arbitrium stat before such a Day, which was before the End of the Month; it seems that this is not a good Award, i Rol. Abr. 251.

F 3 II. There

bitrators reserve to themselves a Power within their Time, and over a Matter submitted, the Award is not final, and so void: But when the Power goes to a Matter not within the Submission, the Power is void, and the Award good. Palm. 110.

12. There is likewise a Deversity taken where the Arbitrator reserves to himself a Power, after the Day allowed him to make his Award, to do a ministerial, and where a judicial Act; the first is held to be good, but the latter is void: Palm.

146.

13. If the Award be, that one Party shall pay 105 l. at such a Day; and if he does not pay it at the Day, that he shall pay at such a Day after 110 l. this is a good Award, for it is but a Penalty for the Non-paymentat the Day, which was all in the Power of the Arbitrators. 1 Rol. Abr. 250.

14. In like Manner has it been held a good Award, that one shall enjoy Lands for three Years, rendring to the other 5 l. yearly; provided that if he does not pay the said Rent, that then the Award, as to the Enjoyment of the said Lands, shall

be void. Cro. Fac. 423.

15. If Two submit themselves to the Award of J. S. Ita quod fiat before Michaelmas, and the Arbitrators award that one shall pay 5 l. to the other for ten Load of Wood, and award several other Matters for other Things; and after this Award, if he that is to pay it, can disprove, or better prove the Payment of any of the said Sums before them, or any of them, before the said Feast of Michaelmas, then so much as is proved shall not be paid at the said Feast: This is a good Award, for the first Part is good; and so thereby the Authority of the Arbitrators ended; and then a Reference to Proof or Disproof, is meerly void. Between Beckwith and Warley, Hob. 218.

16. As the Arbitrators have but a bare Authority, they cannot affign their Power to another; as if the Condition be to stand to the Award of J. S. and J. D. and they Award that the Party shall stand to the Award of J. M. this is not good. I

Rol. Abr. 244.

the Arbitrators award, that Lands in Debate shall be measured by others in their Presence; that it is void in Law, because they cannot give their Power to another. Hard. 45. But quære of this last Case; and note, that by the following Cases there is a plain Deversity where the Arbitrators award a ministerial, and where a judicial Act to be done by a Stranger.

18. For where a ministerial Act only is to be done by a Stranger, it is good; as if an Award be, that one shall pay 10 l. to the other, and in Surety of Payment he shall be bound in an Obligation to the other, by the Advice of his Counsel; this is good; for it is incident and pursuant to the Submission, that the Payment should be made sure, which is only a ministerial Act in the Counsel. 1

Rol. Abr. 250.

19. If there be an Award that one Party shall pay 10 l. to the other, and that the other shall make a general Release, as sully and beneficially as Counsel shall advise; this is a good Award; for the Counsel hereby hath not any Power to do a judicial Act, but a ministerial only: for the Arbitrators have directed the Extent of the Release, scilices to be general, and the Counsel is to make it as strong in the Law as he can. Between Cater and Startut, Style 217.

20. An Award that one of the Parties shall pay such Costs as a Prothonotary shall tax, is good, and only a ministerial Act in him. 1 Sid. 258.

21. An Award to pay 20 l. for a Horse, if J. S. shall say it is worth so much, is said to be good; and that a ministerial Act only is referred to J. S. 2 Rol. Rep. 214. But in Palm. 147. the Resolution is contrary, and there it is said to be a judicial Act.

22. An Award that one of the Parties shall release all Actions, &c. ut talis advisaret, was adjudged void, because a judicial Act was referred to

be done. Cro. Eliz. 726.

23. An Award that one Party shall leave so many Trees for House-bote, &c. as the Arbitrators, upon Advice with Counsel at the next Assizes, should appoint, is not good. Adjudged Cro. Jac. 315.

SECT. III.

Revocation of their Power and Authority, and the Consequence thereof.

I. IT is expressly resolved, that a Submission to an Arbitration may be revoked, though made irrevocable by the strongest Words, such Authorities in their own Nature being revocable. Vinyor's

Cafe, 8 Co. 81, 82.

2. But if the Submission be by Bond, if the Party revokes, he forfeits his Obligation; he must likewise give Notice of the Revocation, for it is a Matter which the Party may take Issue upon; but if he who revoked pleads the Revocation, Notice shall be intended, for it is no Revocation without Notice; the Revocation must he in Writing, if the Submission was by Bond. Ibid.

3. But if the Submission be by Parol, the Party may revoke at Pleasure, and he forfeits nothing; ex nuda Submissione non oritur Actio; but he must

in this Case likewise give Notice of the Revocation, tho' it need not be in Writing, and the Notice must be to the Arbitrators themselves. *Ibid.* 1 Sid. 281.

4. If the Submission he made a Rule of Court, pursuant to the Act of Parliament, if either of the Parties revokes, the Court will grant an Attachment, though before that Statute it was doubted, whether the Court could grant an Attachment in such Case, as appears by 1 Sid. 452, 453.

5. If a Feme Sole submits to an Award, and before the Award made takes a Husband, this is a

Revocation of the Submission. 1 Jon. 388.

SECT. IV.

Concerning the Ampire, and when his Authority commences.

A N Umpire is a Person appointed to determine the Matters in Controversy, upon the Disagreement of the Arbitrators; and he is sometimes named in the Submission by the Parties themselves, and sometimes the Arbitrators have Power to name him; he must regularly sollow the same Directions in making his Umpirage, that the Ar-

bitrators do in making their Award.

2. If two Men submit themselves for all Matters, &c. to the Award of certain Arbitrators, to be made before a certain Day; and if they do not make any Award before the Day, that then they submit to the Ordinance and Judgment of J. S. If the Arbitrators make an Award of Part of the Things submitted, and of Part not, the Umpire cannot make any Award of this Part of which the Arbitrators have made no Award; because he

hath no Power given, but if the Arbitrators make

no Award. 39 H. 6, 10.

3. But if the Submission be, that if the Arbitrators make no Award of the Premisses, or of any Parcel thereof, that then the Umpire shall have Power to make an intire Award, or of the Parcel which remains, as the Case is; in this Case the Arbitrators may make an Award of Parcel, and the Umpire of the Residue, because this is expressy

ordained. 39 H. 6. 11. b.

4. If the Condition of an Obligation be, that whereas A. and his Son, of the one Part, &c. have submitted to the Award of B. and C. ita quod, &c. before the 1st of May; and if they make none, to the Award of such Umpire as they should chuse, to be made before the 1st of June; and the Arbitrators make no Award, but chuse an Umpire who makes an Award; but quoad the Son awards nothing; this is a void Award; for though the Ita quod be in the Clause referring to the Arbitrators, and the Award is made by the Umpire, yet the Ita quod, by Construction, relates as well to the Umpire as Arbitrators. Between Bean and Newbury, adjudged. 1 Lev. 139.

5. But what is chiefly to be regarded with Refpect to the Umpire is, that he commence upon his Office on a proper Time, that is, if he be to be named by the Arbitrators, that they do not appoint him till the Time allowed themselves be expired; or if he be already named by the Parties, that he do not enter on his Office before the Time allowed the Arbitrators be expired, by Reason of the Inconvenience of having a concurrent Jurisdiction; and as this Matter has occasioned some nice Resolutions, as well as such as are not easily to be reconciled, I have set down all the Cases to be met with on this

Subject.

6. If a Submission be to the Award of certain Arbitrators, and if they cannot agree, or are not ready

ready to deliver thier Award in Writing before the 1st of May, then the Submission is made to J. S. to be the Umpire, to be made before a certain Day after; if the Arbitrators do not treat of the Matter, so that there is no Disagreement between them, yet if they do not make any Award before the Day, the Umpire may make an Award upon this Submission, for the Words, And if they cannot agree, are not to be taken literally, but as if they had been, if they do not agree upon any Award. I Rol. Abr. 261.

7. If the Condition of an Obligation be, to stand to the Agreement or Award of A. and B. being Arbitrators chosen for what Purpose, to end a Controversy between the said Obligor and Obligee, and J. S. being Umpire for both Parties, &c. in this Case, if A. and B. who are the Arbitrators, make an Award without J. S. this is a good Award; for though the Words are in a Manner Prima facie uncertain, yet because the common Usage is to limit an Umpire to make an End, if the Arbitrators cannot, it shall be so interpreted; and that the Words J. S. being Umpire, shall be taken as an Affirmative per se, that he is an Umpire. 1 Rol. Abr. 261, 262.

8. But if a Submission be to Four, and to the Umpirage of J. S. the Four and J. S. may join in making of an Award; otherwise if their Power had been divided in the Submission; as if it had been to the Four, and if they could not agree, then to J. S. 1 Buls. 184.

9. If a Submission be to stand to the Award of certain Arbitrators, and that if they disagree, then to the Umpirage of J. S. ita quod the Award or Umpirage are made before the 1st of May; in this Case the Umpire cannot make any Award till a Disagreement made by the Arbitrators; and the Arbitrators have Time to make the Award at any

Time

Time before the said Day; and so no Time is limited for the Umpire, and so his Power meerly void. 1 Rol. Abr. 261.

10. In Debt upon an Obligation, conditioned to perform an Award, fo that it be made at or before the Feast of St. Michael; and if they made none, then to perform the Umpirage of J. S. fo that it be made at or before the same Feast of St. Michael: The Defendant pleads, that the Arbitrators made no Award, and that the Umpire made no Umpirage: The Plaintiff replies, that the Arbitrators made no Award, but that the Umpire made an Umpirage; and fers it forth, and affigns a Breach, to which the Defendant demurred; and it was held by Kelynge, Twisden, and Rainsford, (Moreton doubting) that the Submission to the Umpire was void; but if the Submission had been to Arbitrators, and if they had made none, then to fuch an Umpire as they should name, it might have been good; for by their Election of an Umpire, they had waived the Submission to themselves; but as this Case is, if the Arbitrators had made one Award, and the Umpire another, which of them should have been performed? Between Copping and Hariard, 1 Lev. 285.

it is held by all the Court (except Twisden) that if the Plaintist had averred, that rhe Arbitrators non Potuerunt, or that it was impossible, one of the Arbitrators dying, &c. or if he had shewed that they disagreed, and declared they would meddle no more, the Award made by the Umpire had been

good.

12. If the Condition of an Obligation be, to fland to the Award of J. S. and J. D. so as the Award be made and delivered to morrow; and if they cannot then agree, then to stand to the Umpirage of J. N. so he makes and delivers his Um-

pirage

pirage to morrow, or next Day after that; in this Case, though it be alledged that the Arbitrators could not agree upon any Award, and that they Denegassent and deservissent to make any Award; vet the Umpire cannot make his Umpirage upon the Morrow; for that although the Arbitrators could not agree, and though they have deserted it, and denied, yet at any Time after, during the faid Day, they might have made an Award; and the Words are, If they cannot theu agree, by which is intended all the Day, till the last Moment thereof; and this is a Condition precedent to the Power of the Umpire, which extends to all the Day ; and no Act of the Arbitrators can haften this beyond the Power; and if the Arbitrators and Umpire should have Power at the same Time, and both should make two several Awards, this would bring great Doubt and Confusion to the Court, which of them would be good. 1 Rol. Abr. 262.

13. But in 2 Jones 168. the same Point coming in Question, the Court there held, that if the Arbitrators, upon such Submission, make an Award, it shall be good; but if not, then the Award of the Umpire shall stand, and there is no Consusion in the Concurrence of Authority; for the Umpire hath not an absolute concurring Authority, but conditionally only, if the Arbitrators do not make an

Award.

14. But by the above Cases, and by the express Authority of my Lord Holt, who says positively in 1 Salk. 72. that if the Umpire be named in the Submission, he cannot make his Umpirage before the Time given to the Arbitrators to make their Award in be expired; it seems the better Opinion, that the Umpire can have no Power till the Time allowed the Arbitrators be expired, especially if he be named in the Submission by the Parties; but if

the

the Arbitrators have Power to name him, it may be otherwise, as appears by the following Cases.

15. If Two submit themselves to Two others, with a Clause, nevertheless if they do not end it within ten Days, they shall nominate another that shall end it within the ten Days; by which they appoint another, who makes an Award within the ten Days; this is good, because it is the Appointment of the Parties, and their special Agreement; and by making the Umpire, the Authority of the Arbitrators determines. Gadb. 241.S. P. agreed by

the whole Court. 1 Sid. 428.

16. If A. and B. submit themselves, by Condition of an Obligation, to the Award of J. S. ita quod the Award be made super or before the last Day of May next ensuing; and if he does not make any Award super or before the said last Day of May; then if they stand to the Award of such Person, who shall be elected by the Arbitrator to be Umpire, to be made before the tenth Day of June after; in this Case, if the last Day of May the Arbitrator, not having made any Award, elects an Umpire, who makes his Umpirage before the tenth Day of June, this is a good Umpirage; for though the Arbitrator had all the last Day of May to make his Award; yet he might the fame Day elect an Umpire, when he perceived that he could not make any Award himself; and when it appears after that he relinquished, and did not make any Award after naming the Umpire. 1 Rol. Abr. 262. S. P. adjudged, Cro. Car. 263, and the same Point cited, and agreed by the Court. 2 Saund. 133.

17. The same Point is likewise resolved in Raym. 206. and by two Judges it is there held, that not-withstanding the Umpire cannot make his Umpirage the said last Day of May, for the Power of the Arbitrator is not extinguished by his chusing an

Umpire. 1 Lutw. 544. S. P.

18. A Submission was to two, so as they made their Award on or before the 29th of June; and if they made no Award, chuse an Umpire: they chose an Umpire on the 29th; and Exception was taken that they had the whole 29th to make their Award. Et per Holt, Chief Justice, if there be a Submission to two, so as they make their Award before Midsummer, and if they cannot agree, then to fuch Umpire as they shall chuse, so as he make his Umpirage before Midsummer, and an Umpire is chose accordingly; this is good, and so will his Umpirage be, if made; because the Arbitrators had determined their Power before, by chuling an Umpire. But he faid, that if the Umpire be named in the Submission, he cannot make his Umpirage before the Time given the Arbitrators to make their Award in be expired. Between Mitchell and Harris, I Salk. 71.

Opinion in the Case of Reynolds and Gray, I Salk. Lord Raym.
70. where he expressly says, That if Arbitrators 2 Barnard. chuse an Umpire before the Time allowed them B. R. 154. is expired, 'tis ipso satto void, though they abso-

lutely resolve to make no Award themselves.

this last Opinion; where in Debt upon a Bond to perform an Award, so that it be made before or upon the 22d Day of December, or to choose an Umpire upon a Breach assigned, an Exception was taken that there was no good Award, because the Arbitrators were to make it before or upon the 22d Day of December; and if they could not agree, to choose an Umpire, and the Award set forth was made by an Umpire chosen after the 22d Day of December, which the Arbitrators had not Power by the Submission to choose; sed non allocatur, because they might have made their Award upon the 22d Day of December, and therefore could not choose

an Umpire till afterwards, for their Power was determined only as to the making an Award. Be-

tween Adams and Adams, 2 Mod. 168.

21. If the Condition of an Obligation be to stand to the Award of A, and B. so as the said Award be made before such a Day, and if they make no Award then to stand to the Award of such Umpire as the faid A, and B. shall nominate, so as the faid Umpire do make his Umpirage before another Day, and the Arbitrators before the first Day make no Award, but afterwards name C. to be Umpire, who thereupon immediately refuses, and the Arbitrators afterwards nominate D. who before the last Day makes an Award; this is a good Award; for the Nomination of C. to be Umpire, did not make him fo, but when he refused, it amounted to no more than a bare Proposal to him; and the Form of Pleading always is suscepto super se onere Arbitri, fo that it is the Acceptance makes him Umpire. Hill. 1 & 2 Will. & Mar. between Tryppit and Eyres, 2 Vent. 113, 114. Adjudged by Powel, Rokeby and Ventris, contra Polexfin, Chief Justice; who held, that C. might have proceeded notwithstanding his Refusal, and there could not be two concurrent Jurisdictions in several Persons. But see the following Case, which seem contra.

22. A Motion was made for an Attachment, for not performing an Umpirage of H. chosen by Arbitrators, who were appointed by Rule of Court; and it was held by Holt, Chief Justice, That if Arbitrators chuse an Umpire before the Time allowed them for their Award is expired, its ipso facto void, though they absolutely resolve to make no Award themselves; and that when their Time is expired, if the Arbitrators chuse one, their Authority is executed, and they cannot revoke or chuse again, though the Person elect resuse to accept; aliter, if they chuse their Umpire upon

Condition

Condition that he does accept the Umpirage, for then he is not Umpire unless he does accept it: But Rookesby doubted whether an express Condition would make a Difference, because it seemed to be

implied. I Salk. 70.

Submission to two and an Umpire in case they should differ, the Arbitrators meet, and one of them declared himself not clear, the other was for the Appellant, upon which the Umpire made his Award. The Question was whether the Umpire had any Power in this Cause, for it was not come to him till the Arbitrators differed, which they had not yet, and might still make their Award; but the Objection was over-ruled. 3 Vin. Abr. 97. pl. 17.

It is fettled that Arbitrators cannot proceed on a Reference, after they have once named an Umpire, for then their Authority ceases, the the Time for making the Award is not expired. Rep. of

Practice in C. B. 116.

CHAP. VI.

Of the Award.

A N Award or Arbitrament is the Sentence or the Decree pronounced by the Arbitrators, and published when they have heard all Parties.

There are five Things incident to every Award or Arbitrament, viz. 1. Matter of Submission.

2. The Submission. 3. Parties to the Submission.

4. The Arbitrators. 5. The Making and Delivery of the Award. And though we have treated of

the four first of these in the foregoing Chapters, yet as there are many Things relating to them which interfere with the Award, and for whinch the Award has been held void, it was necessary, for Method sake, to reserve them for this Chapter, that by ranging them under the following Sections, any Person may the more readily find for what Causes Awards have been held void, and what Exceptions have been taken, though disallowed.

And as our Courts have lately been more liberal in their Constructions of Awards, in expounding them according to the Intent of the Arbitrators, than formerly, I have, as near as possible, set down the Cases according to the Series of Time in which

they were resolved.

Sect. I. Awards must be made according to the Submission, with respect to the Things submitted.

sect. II. Awards must be made according to the Submission, with respect to the Persons.

Sect. III. Awards must be made according to the Submission, with respect to the Waking, Signing and Sealing, Delivering, and other Circumstances.

Sect. IV. An Award ought to be cer-

Sect. V. That it be final, fo as to make an End of the Patters in Controverly.

Sect. VI.

Sect. VI. An Award must appoint the doing of comething Beneficial to each Party.

Sect. VII. The Thing awarded to be done, must be reasonable, possible and lawful.

Sect. VIII. Awards void in Part, and nood for the reft, and boid in Part, boid tor the Whole.

Sect. IX. Concerning the Performance of the Award.

Sect. X. Precedents of different Kinds of Awards.

SECT. I.

Awards must be made according to the Submission, with respect to the Things submitted.

I. T was always a Rule to expound Awards ac-I cording to the Intent of the Arbitrators, and

and not literally. 10 Co. 57.

2. But though this Rule feems to be as well established as any relating to Awards, yet great Difficulties and Doubts have arisen upon finding out the Intent of the Arbitrators; and in former Times it 21E.4.39.6. was held to be strictly necessary, that the Words of the Arbitrators be confonant to Law; that is, with respect to the Award and Submission, that neither

neither the Matter nor Words in the one differ from those in the other.

3. And it hath been refolved, that an Averment shall not be allowed to shew the Intent of the Arbitrators, if it be not expressed in the Award, either directly or by Words tantamount.

Dyer 242.

4. But in latter Times I cannot find that our Courts have been so strict in tying up the Arbitrators, and obliging them in all Particulars to make the Award agree with the Submission; on the contrary, the Tenor of our more modern Resolutions shew, that an Agreement in Substance is sufficient; and so far are the Niceties and Difficulties, which atrended this Matter remedied, that it is now a stared Rule, That in all Awards that are faid to be de & fup. Præmiff. that if the Words used in them be in their own Nature more comprehensive, and so extensive to Things not within the Submission, yet they shall be intended that there was no other Matter between the Parties for them to lay bold on, but what was submitted, if the contrary be not shewn. So e converso, if the Words are more narrow, and less comprehensive, than to take in all the Matter of Submission, yet it shall be intended that no more was in Controversy, than what the Words naturally comprehend, if the contrary be not likewife shewn.

5. If the Condition of an Obligation be, to perform an Award between the Parties of such and fuch Things, if the Arbitrators award a Thing to be done meerly out of the Submission, he is not

bound to perform it. 8 H. 6. 18.

6. If one be chosen to make an Award upon one Thing, and he makes it upon another, the Arbitrament is void; as if the Submission be of all Things in Variance betwixt the Parties, and the Award is of Things not in Variance. Plow. 396.

If the Submission be of the Right and Interest of the Land, and the Award is concerning the Profits

Profits only of the Land; or if the Submission be of the Manor of D. and the Award is made of the

Manor of S. it is not good. Dyer 242.

7. If the Submission be of all Actions, the Arbitrators cannot make an Award of such Things of which the Parties have only Cause of Action, because it is not within the Submission. 36 H. 6. 11. b. Co. Lit. 285.

8. But it is etherwise if the Submission is of all

Actions and Quarrels. Ibidem.

9. The most general and exensive Word which can be used, is the Word Demands; therefore if there is a Submission of all Demands, the Arbitrators may make an Award of all Matters concerning the Title of Land, &c. Keilw. 99.

ro. Upon a Submission of all Differences only, or of all Injuries, Quære of what Things may the Arbitrators make their Award; and see Style 170.

3 Bulft. 311.

- the Husband, for all Controversies concerning Money laid out for the Wife, at her Request, and the Arbitrators awarded, That the Husband shall pay 340 l. for all Sums laid out for the Feme, (omitting at her Request), and the Court held, that this being for more than was submitted, that the Award was void. Between Waters and Bridges, Cro. Jac. 639, 640.
- 12. If there be a Controversy between the Parfon and Parishioners, whether Tithes should be paid in Specie or not, and they reciring the said Controversy, submit themselves to the Award of J. S. for all Matters, as well Spiritual as Temporal, from the Beginning of the World to the present Day; and the Arbitrator awards, That the Parson shall have J. for the Tithes due before the Submission, and that the Parishioners shall pay 41. per Annum for the Tithes which shall grow due after; this is a

good Award; for the Right of the Tithes was in Question, and by these Words submitted to Arbitrament, as well as the Possession of them. 1 Rol. Abr. 246.

13. If A. and B. submit themselves to the A-ward of J. S. touching a Suit depending between them in an Ejectione Firmæ, J. S. upon this Submission, cannot make an Award of the Land for which

the Action is brought. I Rol. Abr. 246.

14. If the Submission be of a Term for Years, and all thereupon depending, and the Award is, That one shall pay to the other 10 l. for the Rent that shall become due upon this Term at Michaelmas next ensuing; this is no good Award, for the Rent is not within the Submission, in as much as the Rent may be extinct by Surrender, Eviction, &c. before Michaelmas. 1 Rol. Abr. 242. Pl. 3. 10 fac. 1.

15. But if the Condition of an Obligation be, to fland to the Award of J. S. and he awards, That one shall enjoy a certain House, paying to the other 20 l. yearly, if the Rent is not paid, the Condition is broke. Cro. Eliz. 211. Trin. 32

Eliz.

16. So if an Award be, that A. shall make a Lease to B. and that for this Lease B. shall pay to A. a certain Sum yearly; this is a good Award.

Moor 3. Pl. 8.

Note, That from the above Cases it appears, that regularly the Award must agree in all Instances with the Submission, with respect to the Things submitted, especially if there be Mention made of the Matters in Controversy, and the Submission be conditional, or so that it be made of all the Premisses; for where in such a Submission a Matter is particularly mentioned, it must be particularly determined. Vide Hard. 45. and vide Sev. 9. of this Chapter, where

where Awards may be void for Part, and good for the rest.

And though it be held necessary in most Cases, that Things particularly mentioned, should be particularly determined, and that no Award should be made of Things not mentioned in the Submission; yet the Arbitrators may make an Award of Things which depend on the Principal, that is, of such Things which have a Relation or Dependance on the Things contained in the Submission, though not expressed therein.

17. As if the Submission be of the Title and Possession of Land, the Arbitrators may make an Award of the Evidences and Charters concerning

the Land. 8 H. 6. 18. b.

18. So if there be a Submission of all Debts, the Arbitrators may award a Release of all Bonds, Judgments, Executions and Extents; for, as by the Submission the Arbitrators have Power to make an Award concerning the Debts themselves, so ex consequenti they have Power to award a Release of the Specialties, Judgments, &c. by which the said Debts are due. Trin. 22 Car. 2. between Roberts and Marriot, 2 Sand. 190.

And in the following Cases, though the Rule was admitted, That the Award should be made according to the Submission, with respest to the Things submitted; yet the Awards made in them have

been by Construction held to be good.

19. As, if the Submission be of all Matters between the Parties, and the Award is made of all prater one Obligation, and of this the Award is, that it shall stand, this is a good Award of all, for he is not bound to discharge this without Cause, and it shall be intended there was no Cause. Hill. 14 Jac. 1. between Berry and Penrin, in Cam' Scac' Cro. Jac. 400.

G 4

20. If

Wood and Underwoods, and all Quarrels and Suits between them, ita quod, &c. and the Award is, That A. shall have the Underwoods, and that he shall pay to B. 50 l. and says nothing of the Woods, but awards further, that all Manner of Actions, Quarrels, &c. between them, shall cease; this is a good Award of all, because the Beginning of the Award was We do award of the Premisses, and also the Award is of Actions, &c. Mich. 5 Jac. 1. between Humfry and Wiburn, 1 Rol. Abr. 257.

21. If a Submission be of all Controversies, Doubis, &c. had, made, moved or stirred between the Parties, from the Beginning of the World until the Day of the Date of the Bond, and the Arbitrators award that one shall pay 10 l. to the other, which appears, by his Confession, that he hath received; and if it shall appear within one Month, and due Proof thereof shall be made, that he hath received more than this, which he hath so confessed, then he shall pay that also; though this last Part be void, yet the Award is good; though it was objected, that all Doubts are referred, and the Condition is ita quod fiat de Pramissis, and so they have not made an end of all Doubts; for it appears, that the Arbitrators doubted of this, whether more was due or not: But per Cur' adjudged good, because it is not averred that this was a Doubt moved or stirred between the Parties at the Time of the Submission; for perhaps this Doubt arose between the Arbitrators after the Submission, and it shall not be intended without an Averment, that this was a Doubt at the Time of the Submission; and this was made in majorem Cautelam by the Ar. bitrators. 9 Car. I. I Rol. Abr. 257.

22. If the Arbitrators reciting, That whereas there were several Differences between the Plaintiff and the Defendant, concerning a House and divers

Elms,

Elms, and Arrears of Rent; they, to make a final End of all, award the Defendant to pay to the Plaintiff 41. for all the faid Arrears of Rent; this is a good Award; for either it shall be intended that the other Matters were otherwise determined, or, when the Award says, to end all Differences, it shall be intended that the 41. was given in Satisfaction of all. Between Hopper and Hacket, 16 Car. 2. 1 Lev. 133. See Hard. 399. where this Point is doubted.

23. If two submit all Controversies the 4th of May, to the Award of J. S. ita quod Arbitrium shat de Pramiss, and J. S. makes an Award de Pramiss of all Controversies till the 1st of May, though here he hash not made any Award for Part of the Time submitted, but the Award is shorter, yet because it is made de Pramiss, it shall not be intended that there were any mean Controversies between them, between the 1st of May and the 4th of May, unless this be shewn of the other Part, and therefore the Award is good enough. 1 Rol. Abr. 257.

It was held formerly, that the Awarding a Thing not mentioned in the Submission, in Satisfaction of

a Trespass, &c. submitted, was not good.

24. As where an Award was, That one should give his Horse in Satisfaction, &c. it was held, that the Party was not bound to perform it, because it was out of the Submission, for he may as well award him to give his Gown, or other collateral Matter; but Money is Mensura rerum, and therefore may be awarded to be paid in Satisfaction of any Thing. 9 E. 4. 44.

25. If two submit to the Award of J. S. of all Matters between them till the Submission, and then each of them promises the other to perform the Award, and J. S. awards, Whereas one was bound in an Obligation to the other (which was made after the Submission, and before the Award) that the

Obligee

5

90

Obligee should deliver up the Obligation to the other, in full Satisfaction of all Matters between them, (and awards further in such Manner, that all was good, if the aforesaid Award was good), though this Obligation be out of the Submission, yet he is bound to perforn it, because it is to be given in Satisfaction of Matters contained within the Submission; as a Horse or Money may be given in Satisfaction, though they are not within the Submission: Adjudged 15 fac. 1. 1 Rol. Abr. 243. but the Reporter makes a Quære of this, and says, That this is a Thing in Action between them, and out of the Submission, and so not like the Case of the Horse, unless the Horse was in Dispute between them after the Submission.

26. There are Variety of Cases in the old Books, where it has been held, that an Award of a collateral Thing in Satisfaction is not good, but the Reason in those Cases, is not because the Thing awarded was not mentioned in the Submission, (for of this there is no Doubt now), but because the Party had no Remedy to enforce the Performance of the Award; neither could the Defendant plead it barely to any Action brought, without pleading a Performance hkewise. 17 E. 4. 8. a. Keilw. 121. 9 Co. 79.

27. But the Law feems to be held otherwise now, and that an Arbitrament is a good Plea, whether it be of Money, or a collateral Thing, as a Hat or a Horse; and the Reason is because the Submission is a mutual Promise, upon which an Action lies; and Performance need not be averred in either Case, for the Remedy is alike, as appears by the following Case.

28. The Plaintiff brought an Action of Trespass for an Assault, Battery and Wounding; and the Defendant, as to the Vi & Armis, pleads Not guilty, and as to the Residue pleads an Award made, viz.

That

That the Defendant should provide two Fowls at his Mansion-House in Old Bedlam in London, to be eat by the Plaintiff and his Friends, &c. in Satisfaction of the said Trespass, &c. And it was objected, among other Things, That this was not a good Bar without Execution, because it was of a collateral Thing, of which the Plaintiff could not have an Action; yet the Court held, that as a Submiffion mutual, though not by Bond, was of late refolved to be an actual Promise of Performance, it need not be averred executed, because the Party had a Remedy for the Thing awarded; and the Plea was held good. Between Boifloe and Baily, Trin. 3 Ann. 6 Mod. 221, 222. 1 Salk. 76. S. C. where it is faid, that Powel, Justice, was of a contrary Opinion. See the last Section of this Chapter.

Awarding the giving a Note, is the same as awarding the Payment of Money at a future Day, and is within the Submission. 2 Stra. 1082. Andr.

28.

SECT. II.

Awards must be made according to the Submission, with respect to the Persons.

A S by the foregoing Section it appears, that it is regularly necessary that the Award should be made according to the Submission, with respect to the Things submitted; so in this Section it will appear to be necessary, that the Award be made according to the Submission, with respect to the Persons any way concerned; and herein it will be most proper, for Method sake, to consider:

- I. The Persons aduatly named in the Submission, and how the Award is to be made as to them.
- II. When Copartners and others submit, not only for themselves, but likewise for others, or for others barely, how the Award is to be made.
- III. How far an Award, which appoints the doing of a Ching by a Stranger, or a Person not named in the Submission, is good or not.
- IV. How far an Award, which appoints the doing of a Ching to a Stranger, be good oz not.
- V. Pow the Award must be made according to the Submission, where there are seberal Arbitrators.

1st, As to the Persons actually named in the Submission, and bow the Award is to be made as to them.

I. I F three Persons, scilicet A. B. and C. of the one Part, and D. of the other Part, submit themselves to the Award of J. S. Ita quod, &c. and he makes an Award between A. and B. of the one Part, and D. of the other Part, and makes no Award between C. and D. this is not good, because the

the Submission is conditional, and it is recited in the Submission, that there were divers Controversies between them all. 14 Car. 1. 1 Rol. Abr. 261.

2. But if A. and B. of the one Part, and C. of the other Part, submit themselves to the Award of J. S. of all Matters between them, J. S. may make an Award of any Matter between A. only and C. though B. hath nothing to do therewith, for the Submission shall be taken distributively. 9 Car. 1. I Rol. Abr. 246. But Note, That it does not appear that the Submission was conditional in this Case, as it was in the precedent.

Submission by Rule of Court was, of all Matters in Difference between A. of the one Part, and B. and C. of the other Part, (without saying between them and either of them), an Award that C. should pay so much due by him to A. is good; for such Submission imports all Matters that either had against the other jointly or severally. Comyns's

Rep. 547: pl. 225.

3. If A. and B. of the one Part, and C. of the other Part, submit to the Award of J. S. who awards that B. shall pay a certain Sum of Money unto C. in Satisfaction of all Differences between A. and B. of the one Part, and C. of the other Part; this is a void Award as to A. and he is not concerned therein, for the Money paid by one can be no Satisfaction for the other. Mich. 1655. between

Morden and Hart, Style 471.

4. In Debt upon an Obligation conditioned, That whereas the Defendant, by himself and his Son, had submitted to the Award of A. B. to perform it, so that the Award be ready, &c. before the 1st of May, and if they made none, to such Umpire as they should chuse to be made the first of June, the Defendant Pleads no Award nor Umpirage; the Plaintiff confesses no Award by the Arbitrators, but says, That the Umpire awarded

the Plaintiff to seal to the Desendant three Obligations, and the Desendant to pay to the Plaintiff 100 l. which he had not done; upon a Demurrer, one of the Exceptions (and for which the Award was held void) was, That nothing is awarded as to the Son, and it ought to be to every one for some Part, or else 'tis void in the Whole. Mich. 16 Car. 2. between Bean and Newbury, 1 Lev. 139, 140.

Parties, and the Submission is, so that the Award be made between them, or any of them, the Arbitrators may make an Award between any two of them, without mentioning any Thing concerning

the others. See 10 Co. Ofborne's Cafe.

6. So where the Defendant and his Wife submitted on one Part, and the Plaintiff on the other, sa that the Award be made betwixt them, or any of them, it was held, That the Award made in this Case was good, the no Mention was made at all of the Wife. Hard. 309.

7. If the Father and Son (the Son being an Infant) submit to an Award (admitting the Submiffion of an Infant, or of his Father on his Behalf, is void) yet the Submiffion quoad the Father is good, and the Arbitrators may make an Award quoad him and the other Party alone. 3 Lev. 17.

8. A Demand as Executrix is within the general

Submission to an Award. 2 Stra. 1144.

2dly, When Copartners and others submit, not only for themselves, but likewise for others, or for others barely, how the Award is to be made.

r. Though one Person may submit for another, or one Copartner may (as is frequent) submit for himself and other Copartners, yet Care must be taken how the Submission, as well as the Award, be made, as appears by the following Cases.

2. If

2. If A. and B. submit to the Award of J. S. concerning all Differences between the said Parties, and J. S. makes an Award between A. in the Name, and for the Behalf of C. of the one Part, and B. of the other Part, viz. that B. shall, &c. this is a void Award, because contrary to the Submission.

N. Bendl. 107.

3. If A. and B. Merchants of a Ship, of the one Part, and C. and D. Part-Owners and Mariners of the Ship, of the other Part, submit to the Award of J. S. of all Matters concerning a Prize taken by Reprifal, and A. and B. enter into an Obligation to perform the Award, and J. S. awards, That A. and B. the Merchants, shall pay 1000 l. to C. and D. for the Use of them and the Residue of the Part-Owners and Mariners; this is a good Award; for if A. and B. do not pay the Money, the Part-Owners and Mariners may have an Action of Debt against them, in as much as all have submitted to the Award; and if they pay the Money to C. and D. to the Use of them and the Residue of the Part-Owners and Mariners, tho' it be not limited how much each one shall have, yet in as much as they have jointly submitted, it may be jointly awarded to be paid to them; and though it be to be paid to C. and D. for the Use of them and the Residue of the Part-Owners and Mariners, and though it was objected, That the Residue of the Part-Owners and Marines had no Remedy for their Part but by Action; yet this is a good Award, for it is a good Award, to award that one shall enter into an Obligation to pay a Sum, which is but a Thing in Action; and the rest of the Part-Owners and Mariners may have Remedy, at least in Chancery, against C. and D. if not at Common Law. Between Wood, &c, and Thompson, &c. Mich. 24 Car. 1. 1 Rol. Abr. 249.

4. If one Partner, on the Behalf of himself and the other Partner, submits, &c. and Promises

to perform the Award, and thereupon the Arbitrator awards them to pay Money, though the other Partner is not bound hereby; yet he that submitted, &c. for himself and his Partner, must perform it.

2 Mod. 227, 228.

5. If there be a Controversy for a certain Thing between A. and B. of the one Part, and C. D. and E. of the other Part; and C. in Consideration of 6d. given him by A. and B. submits the Matter for himself and D. and E. and assumes to stand to the Award; and A. and B. submit of the other Part; and the Arbitrators award that C. shall pay so much to A. and B. in Satisfaction of the Controversy; this is a good Award, and C. is bound to perform it, though it concerns Two, Strangers to the Submission, for C. hath undertaken for them. Hill. 14 Jac. 1. between Bullock and Dalby, 1 Rol. Abr. 244.

As there may be several Parties to an Arbitration, fo they may be jointly bound, though in several

Bonds, as appears by the following Cafe.

6. As, if there be a Controverly concerning certain Lands, between A. B. and C. and thereupon A. of the one Part, and B. and C. of the other Part, submit to the Award of 7. S. and thereupon A. binds himself in an Obligation of 1000 l. to B: and C. with Condition to perform the faid Award of 7. S. touching this; and B. and C. because they would not be bound the one for the other, enter into several Obligations of 1000 l. a-piece to A. with feveral Conditions, for the Performance of the Award of the said 7. S. and the Arbitrators award that A. shall make a Release of all his Right in the Land to B. and C. and in Confideration thereof B. and C. should pay 300 l. to A. in an Action of Debt by A. against B. upon his Obligation for Non-performance of the Award; this is a good Award; and Breach affigned, that nec B. nec C. paid

paid the 300 l. at the Time appointed, according to the Award; all this Matter being disclosed in Pleading; for upon all the Matter shewn it appears, that C. is no Stranger to the Award; for he and B. submitted themselves jointly; and though they entred into several Obligations, yet this did not make C. any Stranger to the Award. Between Hals Hais, Cro. Car. 433.

And note, That when ever one Person submits for another, it must carefully be observed, that whatever is awarded to be done, must appear to be for the Advantage of the Person for whose Account

the Submiffion was.

As upon a Submission by A. for himself on the one Part, and B. of the other, on the Behalf of C. the Arbitrators awarded, that B. on the Behalf of C. should pay unto A. 300 l. and that A. should execute a general Release unto B. and it was held, that this Award was void, because there was nothing awarded on the Part of C. who was the Principal Person concerned, for the Differences were between him and A. and therefore the Awarding that A. shall release to B. signified nothing, for C. will, notwithstanding such Release, continue liable to the Demands of A. Trin. 9 W. 3. between Bacon and Dubarry, Carth. 412.

3dly, How far an Award, which appoints the doing of a Thing by a Stranger, or a Person not named in the Submission, is good, or not.

1. We find it often said in our Books, that where an Award appoints a Thing to be done by a Person who is a Stranger to the Submission, that the Award is void; the this be regularly true, yet it has been held to be no otherwise so than with this Distinction, viz. where the Party has no Remedy to compel the Stranger, or where the Stranger is to

do a judicial and not a ministerial Act; for in both the last Instances, the Awards made, and appointing a Thing to be done by a Stranger, have been

held good, as appears by feveral Cases.

2. If the Arbitrators award, that one of the Parties shall procure a Stranger to do a Thing, and he hath no Means by Law to compel the Stranger to do it, the Award is void; but if he hath any Means to compel the Stranger to do it, either by the Common Law, or in Chancery, he is bound

hereby. 17 E. 4. 5.

3. If the Condition be to stand to the Award, &c. and the Award is, that one shall pay 15 l. to the other, and J. S. a Stranger, shall enter into an Obligation to pay it at a certain Day; this Award is void as to the Entry into an Obligation by a Stranger, and the Obligor is not bound to perform it, because it is out of the Submission, and the Party cannot by Intendment procure J. S. to enter into such an Obligation. Between Moor and Beedle, 10 Co. 131.

4. If a Submission be touching a Title of Land between A. and B. and the Arbitrators award that A. and his Wife, and Son and Heir apparent, by the Procurement of A. shall pass such Assurance of the Land to B. as B. shall require, this is a void Award as to the Wife and Son, because they are Strangers to the Award. Between Barney and Fair-

child, 13 Car. 1. 1 Rol. Abr. 248.

5. If there be an Award that one shall acquit the other of an Obligation of 200 l. in which they are bound to B. for Payment of 105 l. this is a good Award; for though he cannot compel B, being a Stranger, to deliver up the Obligation, or to make a Release by the Common Law; yet if the Obligation was not forfeited, he might pay the 105 l. to B, at the Day, and this would acquit the other; and if the Obligation was forfeited, yet he might

pay

to

in

no

en

Bu

pay the Penalty to B. and so acquit the other; or he might on Satisfaction given compel B. in a Court of Equity, to deliver up the Obligation, or to make a Release. 15 Car. 1. between Barfey and Clipsham, 1 Rol. Abr. 242.

But note, That though regularly that Part of the Award, which appoints a Thing to be done by a Stranger, and for which the Party has no Remedy to compel him, be void, yet it shall he good as to

himself.

6. As if the Condition of an Obligation be, to perform an Award, and the Award is, that the Obligor and a Stranger shall pay to the other Party 10 l. though this is void as to the Stranger, yet it is good as to the Obligor, and he is bound to perform it. Between Gray and Wicker, 1 Rol. Abr.

244.

7. If in Debt upon an Obligation, conditioned for the Performance of an Award, the Defendant shews, that the Arbitrators did make an Award, that the Defendant, before such a Day, should pay to the Plaintiff 100 l. or otherwise should procure one A. being a Stranger, to be bound to the Plaintiff for the Payment of 12 l. per Annum to the Plaintiff for his Life; and the Defendant pleads, that he hath performed the said Award; and the Plaintiff replies, that the Defendant hath not paid the said 100 l. without saying, nor hath procured A. &c. yet this is a good Replication, for the Award as to that Part is meerly void, and therefore the Plaintiff need not take Notice thereof. 29 Eliz. between Wilmen and Oldfeild, 1 Leon. 304.

8. So, if the Award be, that the Defendant, together with a Stranger, shall enter into a Bond; in the Assignment of a Breach, the Plaintiff must not say, that the Defendant and a Stranger did not enter into a Bond. By three Judges against Two. But the other Two held that the Plaintiff should

H 2

have shewn the whole Award, and thereupon the Law would have adjudged one Part void, and not to have been done. Vide I Godb. 165. 2 Rol. Rep. 46.

9. An Award that one shall be bound with Sureties, is void as to the Sureties. 18 E. 4. 23.

which the Arbitrator awarded the Defendant should be bound with sufficient Surety to the Plaintiff, for the Payment of a certain Sum of Money, and for Breach assigns, that the Defendant did not become bound to the Plaintiff modo & forma, as was awarded; and upon Demurrer it was adjudged, that though the Award was void as to the Finding of Surety, twas good as to the Defendant himself, and the Breach well assigned, that he did not become bound; and the Modo & forma only shall relate to himself, and not to the Surety. Pasch. 23 Car. 2.

between Coke and Whorwood, 2 Lev. 6.

11. In an Assumpsit it was recited, that several Differences had been between the Plaintiff and Defendant, (but does not fay touching what Matters they were in Difference), which were submitted to the Award of J. S. who did award de & super Pramiss, that the Defendant should pay the Plaintiff 30 l. in Satisfaction of all Sums due to him out of the Estate of one Woolly. After Verdict for the Plaintiff, Judgment was staid; but at last, after divers Motions, Judgment was entred for the Defendant, because it does not appear that the Defendant is Executor, Administrator, or Trustee for Woolly; it does not appear he had any Thing of Woolly's, or that he submitted on Behalf of him, and so no Consideration why he should be charged for the Estate of Woolly. Between Adams and Statham, Mich. 30 Car. 2. 2 Lev. 235.

may be good, though a Thing is to be done by a Stranger,

Stranger, where such Stranger is used as an Instrument, or is to do a ministerial Act; but it cannot be

a judicial Act, for then it would be void.

13. As if an Award be, that one shall pay 10 l. to the other, and in Surety of Payment he shall be bound in an Obligation to the other, by the Advice of his Counsel; this is good, for it is incident and pursuant, that his Counsel should make the Pay-

ment fure. 19 E. 4. 1.

14. So if there be an Award, that one Party shall pay 10 l. to the other, and that the other shall make a general Release, as fully and beneficially as Counsel shall advise; this is a good Award; for the Counsel hereby hath not any Power to do a judicial Act, but a ministerial only; for the Arbitrator hath directed the Extent of the Release, scilicet to be general, and the Counsel are only to make it as strong in Law as they can. Trin. 1650. between Cater and Startut, 1 Rol. Abr. 251.

15. An Award to pay 20 l. for a Horse, if J. S. shall say it is worth so much, is good, because a ministerial Act only is referred to J. S. per two Judges. 2 Rol. Rep. 214. but quære, and vide Palm. 147. where it is said to be a judicial Act.

16. But in Debt upon an Obligation, conditioned for the Performance of an Award to be made, &c. the Plaintiff shewed an Award, that the Defendant should release all Actions, &c. ut talis advisaret, &c. and it was adjudged to be a void Arbitrament to refer it to the Act of another, who was to do a judicial Act; and that therefore the Defendant is not bound to perform it. Between Emery and Emery, 41 Eliz. Cro. Eliz. 726.

17. So an Award, that one of the Parties should leave so many Trees for House-bote, &c. as the Arbitrarors, upon Advice with Counsel at the next Assizes, should appoint, is void; but note, that in this last Case it is not said to be void upon the Act,

H 3 which

which is to be done by a Stranger; but because the Arbitrators cannot reserve any future Power to themselves. Cro. Jac. 315.

18. An Award to pay fuch Cofts as a Prothono-

tary shall tax, is good. I Sid. 258.

19. An Award that one of the Parties shall surrender his Copyhold into the Hands of Two of the Tenants of the Manor, who shall present it, &c. is a good Award, tho' done to Strangers, who are not compellable, because they are to be used but as Instruments. Mich. 13 Jac. 1. between Coote and Pooly, 1 Rol. Abr. 247.

20. So it is a good Award, that one of the Parties shall make a Deed of Feoffment, with a Letter of Attorney, to J. S. to make Livery, for then J. S. is to be used but as an Instrument. Ibid.

21. So an Award, that one of the Parties shall levy a Fine before the Justices de Banco, before such a Day, is good; though this cannot be done without the Act of the Court. 19 E. 4. 1.

22. But it is faid, that if the Award be, that one shall command the Justices de Banco, to make him levy a Fine before a certain Day; this is void,

because it is not in his Power. Ibid.

23. And as the Arbitrators cannot award that a Stranger shall do any one judicial Act; a fortieri they cannot award that the Parties shall stand to the Award of another, 8 E. 4. 11. a.

24. But if a Stranger had made an Arbitrament before between the faid Parties; an Award to stand to such an Arbitrament of the Stranger is good.

39 H. 6. 10.

25. Neither can the Arbitrators reserve to themselves a Power after the Time allowed them is explred, to do a judicial Act; for when the Time allowed them to make their Award is expired, they are as much Strangers as any other Persons.

It is settled that Arbitrators cannot proceed on a Reservence, after they have once named an Umpire; for then their Authority ceaseth, tho' the Time for making the Award is not expired. Rep. of Practice in C. B. 116.

But the Appointment of an Umpire before their own Time for making an Award is expired, may be good: As in the Case of Doyley and Pitsoe. An Action of Debt was brought upon a Bond, conditioned that the Parties should submit to the Award of two Arbitrators, provided they made their Award on or before the 13th of March next, and if they made no Award, then that they should appoint an Umpire before the 17th of the faid March. The Defendant pleaded, that no Award was made on or before the faid 13th Day of March; but that they did, before the faid 17th of March, to wir, upon the 11th, chuse and appoint an Umpire, who had made an Award. By the Court: There are no Words, which by any Construction can be intended to limit or circumscribe the Election of an Umpire till after the 13th of March. The plain Sense of the Submission is, that they should make their Award by a certain Day; or in case they did not make it, or could not agree, that then they should nominate an Umpire. And they faid, the Court has not been nice in construing the Time of the Umpire's Appointment, provided it was foon enough for him to make his Award. Burn's Justice 800 119.

4thly, How far an Award which appoints the Doing of a Thing to a Stranger, he good, or not.

1. Awards have been faid to be void, as much when an Act was to be done to a Stranger, as by a Stranger; but as by the foregoing Cases it may be seen, that where the Stranger was compellable, or H 4.

used only as an Instrument, or to do a ministerial Act only, the Award was good; so in the following Cases it will appear, that awarding a Thing to a Stranger, if the Party to the Submission has any Benefit by it, the Award may be good.

2. If an Award be 10 pay a certain Sum of Money to a Stranger, who is out of the Award, it is

void. Moor and Beedle, 10 Co. 131.

3. If a Condition be to stand to the Award, &c. and the Award is, that one shall assure Lands to the other and his Wife, where the Wife is a Stranger to the Submission; he is not bound to perform this Award as to the Wife. I Rol. Abr. 243.

4. If A. and B. submit to the Award of J. S. who awards that the Servant of A. shall pay Money to the Servant of B. this is a void Award, for the Servants of both are Strangers to the Submission. 18 Eliz. between Dudley and Mallery. 3 Leon. 62.

5. But if the Award be, that A. shall pay Money to the Servant of B. this is a good Award, for A, is

a Party to the Submission. Ibid.

6. But quære, Whether in this latter Case it must not appear by the Award, that B. shall receive it, to the Use of his Master; and see N. Dyer 242.

7. If J. S. and J. D. are bound in 20 l, at my Request, and the Request of W. N. and after there is a Controversy between us Two, which of us shall pay this Money, among other such Bargains between us; upon which all Matters in Controversy are submitted to Arbitament; and the Arbitrator awards that I shall pay one Moiety of the Money, with the Use, and W. N. the other Moiety to the Obligee; this is a good Award, though he be a Stranger to whom it is to be paid, by those who submitted themselves; for here appears to be an Advantage to the Parties. 16 Jac. 1. between Gray and Gray, 1 Rol. Abr. 247.

8. If A and B. for themselves, and the Wife of B. Submit to an Award, the Arbitrators may Award that A. Shall pay Money to B. and his Wife, because the Controversy did arise by Reason of the Wife. March 78. Adjudged, and affirmed upon a Write of Error. An Award that Money should be paid to the Mother of one of the Parties, was held an

Advantage. I Salk. 74

9. In Debt upon an Obligation, conditioned to perform an Award, the Plaintiff feis forth the Award, scilicet that the Defendant should make an Estate for Life of certain Lands to the Plaintiff, Remainder to 7. S. to which the Defendant demurred; and it was held, that the Award, as to the particular Estate, was good, though void as to the Remainder, which was to be made to 7. S. he being a Stranger. Hill. 42 Eliz. between Bretton and

Prat, Cro. Eliz. 758.

10. If among other Things it be awarded, that one of the Parties, before the Mayor of T. and three others, shall make an Acknowledgment that he hash done fuch Injuries to the other Party; this is a void Award, because the Mayor and the three others are Strangers to the Submission; and there is no Means to compel the Mayor and the three others to meet. Mich. 12 Car. 2. between Spignurel and Jene, 1 Sid. 12. according to the better Opinion of the Book, though Judgment is faid to have been given for another Reason.

11. But if the Award be, that one of the Parties shall make his Acknowledgment, &c. before the Mayor of T. only; this is a good Award; per

Bridgman and Tyrril cont' Hyde.

1.2. In Debt upon a Bond conditioned, that A. and B. should perform an Award, the Defendant pleaded no Award made; the Plaintiff fet forth an Award, which was, that A. should pay B. 50 l. and that A. should beg B.'s Pardon in such Manner,

and

and in fuch Place, as B. fhould appoint; and that then each Party should Seal mutual Releases: And the Court held this naught; for the Arbitrator was to determine, and not to make B. his own Judge in his own Cause; and though the Time and Place be but Circumstances; yet in this Sort of Satisfaction they make the most considerable Part. 10 Will. 3. between Glover and Barrie, 1 Salk. 71.

But note, That there was no Objection made as to the Mayor's being a Stranger in this last

Cafe.

5thly, How Awards must be made according to the Submission, where there are several Arbitrators.

I. If two Men submit themselves for all Matters, &c. to the Award of certain Arbitrators, to be made before a certain Day; and if they do not make any Award before the Day, that then they submit to the Ordinance and Judgment of J. S. If the Arbitrators made an Award of Part of the Things submitted, and of Part not, the Umpire cannot make any Award of this Part, of which the Arbitrators have made no Award; because he hath no Power given, but upon the Arbitrators making no Award. 39 H. 6. 10.

2. But if the Submission be, that if the Arbitrators make no Award of the Premisses, or of any Parcel thereof, then the Umpire shall have Power to make an intire Award, or of the Parcel which remains, as the Case is; in this Case the Arbitrators may make an Award of Parcel, and the Umpire of the Residue, because this is expressly ordained. 39 H. 6.

11. b.

3. If the Condition of an Obligation be, to stand to the Award of A. B. C. and D. ita quod the said Award before such a Day be made in Writing

them, under their Hands, &c. any Two of them, under their Hands, &c. any Two of the Arbitrators, without the rest, may make an Award; for though by the first Part they are bound to stand to the Award of those Four; yet their Power is divided by the subsequent Words, and the ita quod, &c. is but an Explanation of the Condition, and the Whole makes but one Sentence. Pasch. 9 Jac. 1. between Sallows and Girling, Yelv. 203. adjudged

upon Demurrer.

4. In Debt upon an Obligation, the Condition was, to stand to the Arbitrament and Order of Four fuch Persons, naming their Names, &c. so as the fame Award be made and delivered up in Writing, under the Hands and Seals of the Four, or any Three of them; the Defendant pleaded no Award, and the Plaintiff shews, that Three of them made an Arbitrament under their Hands and Seals, and shews what, and assigns for Breach, the not paying a certain Sum of Money, which they arbitrated to be paid: Whereupon the Defendant demurred, pretending this Arbitrament to be void, because it was not made by all the four Arbitrators; for the arbitrative Authority is given to them all Four, and not unto Three of them, and the Words, So as the same be made and delivered under the Hands and Seals of them, or any Three of them, doth not alter the Authority, but that they ought all to make it; nor is it good if it be under the Seals of Three of them; and to that Opinion the Court at first inclined; but afrer several Arguments it was held, that the Arbitrament was good; for every Part of the Condition being weighed, the Intent appears, that it should be sufficient if Three of them made it: And although the Words at the First are to them Four jointly; yet that is sufficiently disjoined afterwards by the Words, So as the same be made and delivered by any Three of them; and an Authority may be

be well divided, though an Interest cannot; and this Judgment was affirmed in the Exchequer-Chamber. Pasch. 14 Jac. 1. between Berry and Penring, Cro. Jac. 399, 400.

SECT. IV.

Awards must be made according to the Submission, with Respect to the Paking, Signing and Sealing, Delivering, and other Circumstances.

A Sthere are Variety of Cases which fall under this Section, and as these Parts of the Award, though they seem but Circumstances, have occasioned as many Doubts and Debates as any relating to the whole Learning of Awards; it will therefore be necessary, as in the foregoing Section, to consider them separately in the following Order:

- I. As to the Time and Banner of making Awarding Releases, &c. how the Award must agree with the Submission.
- II. As to the Signing and Sealing.
- III. As to the Date and Delivery.
- IV. Concerning the Appointing of a Place, Demand, Tender and Refusat, and other Circumstances.

1st, As to the Time and Manner of making Awarding Releases, &c. How the Award must agree with the Submission.

1. A S to the Time of Making the Award, it is absolutely necessary, that it be made within the Time allowed the Arbitrators by the Submission; for if they make an Award before their Power commences, or after it is expired, or after either of the Parties have revoked, it is absolutely void.

2. It may be made on any Part of the Day on which the Bonds of Submission bear Date; also it may be made the * Night before the Time is ex- * Cro. Eliz. pired; and though it has been doubted, whether 676. it could be made in the Night-time, yet it has been resolved, that being a judicial Act, it may; but it must not be made so as to prevent all the Parties to the Submission from being present; for if it be made in the Night-time, and no Notice proved to have been given to the Parties, it will be an Argu-

3. If Two submit all Debts, Trespasses, and other Things, ita quod the Award be made before such a Day; if the Arbitrators make an Award of Debts at one Day, and of Trespasses at another Day, and of other Things at another Day, though they are all before the Day appointed, yet this is not a good Award as to the Two last Awards, because they have Power to make but one Award.

ment of Partiality in the Arbitrators.

39 H. 6. 12.

4. But the Arbitrators may at one Day confider one Point, and at another Day another, and at a third Day the third Point, and then give one Judgment upon all the Points; so that the Judgment ought be be one, and not several. 39 H. 6. 12.

5. If Two submit themselves to the Award of J. S. ita quod siat before Michaelmas, and the Arbitrators award that one shall pay 5 l. to the other for ten Loads of Wood, and awards several other Matters for other Things; and after this, if he that is to pay it can disprove, or better prove the Payment of any of the said Sums before them, or any of them, before the said Feast of Michaelmas, then so much as is proved shall not be paid at the said Feast; this is a good Award; for the sirst Part is good, and so thereby the Authority of the Arbitrators ended, and then a Reference to Proof or Disproof is meerly void. Pasch. 16 Jac. 1. between Beckwith and Warland. Pasch. 16 Jac. 1. between Beckwith

and Warley, I Rol. Abr. 250.

6. If an Award be made touching certain Currans, that if the Defendant can, before the 20th Day of December, make it appear that the Currans were delivered to the Plaintiff, that then the Arbitrators should make a further Award within fourteen Days after, if they can agree, otherwise 7. S. as Umpire, should conclude it within seven Days, and that the Plaintiff and Defendant should stand to the Award of the Arbitrators, if they make any, or of the Umpire, &c. and if the Defendant, before the faid 20th of December, do not shew any such Teltimony, then the Arbitrators award that the Plaintiff shall not pay for the Currans, but shall be free from any other Claim; ac etiam Arbitratores arbitrati fuerunt quod Defendant shall pay 19 l. 12 s. before the first Day of January after, si Nullum alterius Arbitrium fieret for the Currans before the faid Time; this Award is void, though it be averred that no other Award was made before the faid 1st of January, for the Award, that if it be made appear, &c. they will make an Award, or make an Umpire, is void; for they cannot make an Award by Parcels, nor make an Umpire; and then the last Clause, that the Defendant shall pay 191.

January, hath Dependance on the first Part of the Award, which was void; and it was not intended that the Defendant should pay the Money, if the Arbitrators or Umpire made any Award before; and if they had made any Award, this had been void. Mich. 9 Car. 2. between Brown and Dalton,

I Rol. Abr. 250, 251.

7. If the Condition be to stand to the Award of J. S. of all Matters depending till 29th of January, &c. ita quod, &c. and the Award recites, That whereas there were depending the said 29th of January divers Matters, &c. and he awards de & super Præmissis of all Matters till the 28th of January, yet this is a good Award; because it does not appear that any Matter was depending the said 29th Day of January, which was not depending before the said 28th Day of January; and therefore, without Special Matter shewn, it shall be intended a good Award, with the said Averment de & super Præmiss. Trin. 7 Car. 1. between Ward and Unwin, Cro. Jac. 216.

8. If two submit all Controversies the 4th of May, to the Award of J. S. ita quod Arbitrium siat de Præmissis, and J. S. makes an Award de Præmissis of all Controversies till the 1st of May, though here he hath not made any Award for Part of the Time submitted, but the Award is shorter; yet because it is made de Præmissis, it shall not be intended that there were any mean Controversies between them, between the first of May and the 4th of May, unless this be shewn of the other Part; and therefore the

Award is good enough. 1 Rol. Abr. 257.

9. If two submit themselves to the Award of J. S. for the Title of certain Copyhold Land, and J. S. awards, That one, scilicet A. shall pay to the other, scilicet B. 6. l. upon the 21st Day of May, and 6 l. at Michaelmas next ensuing, and that B. shall release

to A. all his Right in the Copyhold fuper Pred' die Maii, omitting vicesimo, and awards over, that he shall make further Assurance within three Days after, &c. this is a void Award, for the Award for making of the Release super Pred' primo die Maii is void, there being no such Day before mentioned; and it appears, that the Release at the said Day, should be the principal Consideration on his Part who ought to make it; and then the rest of the Award for surther Assurance, which is good, is not sufficient, this being but Part of the Consideration, and award of his Part. 1 Rol. Abr. 254.

Time and Place in which it was made, though it be not fo provided by the Submission; but if the Sub-

mission requires it, it is absolutely necessary.

Declaration, the Plaintiff need not fet forth in his Declaration, the Time and Place in which the Submission or Award was made; but if the Defendant denies either, the Plaintiff may reply, that the Award or Submission was made at such a Place, &c. 2 Brownl. 137.

12. But if an Award is pleaded in Bar of a Trespass, a Place must be laid where the Submission was

made. Cro. Eliz. 66.

13. As it is necessary that an Award should make a final End of all the Matters in Controversy, and as the Arbitrators always thought that it could not be better effected than by awarding mutual Releases to be given, they have seldom omitted, after other Things awarded, to order, that each Party should give as general Releases as could be framed; and those general Releases, where there were Demands on both Sides, being held advantageous to each Party, were thought (as in Truth they are) the most expedient Means for putting an End to the Controversy, being both Final and Beneficial to the Parties.

14. But notwithstanding the Expediency of awarding Releases; they sometimes have been the Occasion of that Strife which they were designed to prevent, which was chiefly occasioned by the Arbitrators awarding Releases to the Time of the Award, or to the Time of making the Releases, which usually took in more Time than was submitted.

15. But now this Matter feems to be as well fettled as any Thing relating to Awards, though it has occasioned as many Debates, as well as contra-

dictory Resolutions.

16. At first indeed it was held, that awarding Releases to the Time of the Award was void, as comprehending more Time than was submitted, and therefore not made according to the Submission.

17. Afterwards it was held, That the Plaintiff should alledge that no new Matter did arise betwixt the Submission and the Award, which if he had done, it would be good, unless the Desendant took Issue upon it, and it was found for him.

18. But foon afterwards it was resolved, That the Plaintiff need not alledge that any new Matters did arise, but that, if in Truth there were any, it

was incumbent on the Defendant to shew it.

19. And it has been frequently resolved, That where the Arbitrators award general Releases, without mentioning any Time, it shall be to the Time of the Submission only.

20. And now it is clearly held, that though the Arbitrators award general Releases to be given to the Time of the Award, yet the Award shall be

good, and that upon a double Account.

21. 1st, Because no new Cause of Action shall be intended to have arisen between the Time of the Submission and Award, if it be not shewn by the Defendant.

22, 2dly, Because that a Release to the Time of the Submission is a good Release, in Pursuance of the Award, and this latter feems to be the best Reason; for a Man might have a Cause of Action accrue to him between the Submission and Award, and not know of it; and it were hard to put him under a Necessity of releasing it; and the Reason why a Release to the Time of the Submisfion is held good, is not because it shall be intended to be the Meaning of the Arbitrators that it should be so, but it is rather a Controlling of their Meaning, as far as it is void by Construction of

But though the Law feems to be thus fettled as to this Matter, yet as it is defigned in this Treatife, to mention all the Cases reported concerning Awards and Arbitraments, I shall insert the Cases themselves which warrant the above Observations. that the Reader may be the better able to judge of

23. If the Condition of an Obligation be to perform an Award of all Actions between them, and the Arbitrators make an Award, That one shall make a Release to the other of all Actions till the Day of Award made, which was after the Submiffion; this is a void Award, because it comprehends more Time than was submitted; for by the Submission such Actions only which were then between them were submitted, and this is intire, and therefore the Obligor is not bound to perform it. Between Vanlore and Trible, 14 Jac. 1. 1 Rol. Abr. 242.

24. If an Award be made de & super Premissis, in Manner following, scilicet That one shall pay 40 s. to the other, and that the other shall make a general Release of all Matters till the Award made; this is void as to the Release, because it comprehends more Time than was submitted; and it is

likewise void for all; for it cannot be intended that the 40s. shall be paid in Satisfaction of all Matters, for it may be paid in Part of Satisfaction; so that this, together with the general Release, is a good Discharge; for the Arbitrators intended that the Release, with the Money given, should be a Satisfaction for all Matters between them, and not the Money without the general Release. 15 Jac. 1.

Popb. 134.

25. If two submit the 1st of May all Matters between them, and the Award is, That one shall pay to the other 20s. in Satisfaction of all Matters between them till the Time of the Award made. which was the 4th Day of May, though this comprehends more Time than was submitted, yet because it shall not be intended that there were any mean Matters between the Submission and Award. unless it be shewn of the other Part, the Award is good. All. 85, 86. But my Lord Roll, in his Abridgment of this Case, is not satisfied with the Reason here given, although he says the Court relied on it, for this croffed the Reason of the Judgment of the above Case; but the Reason of this Case is, for that although there were other Matters mean between the Submission and Award, and so the Award for those Matters void; yet here is no entire Act to be done, as in the above Case of a Release, but the said 20 s. continues a good Satisfaction of the other Matters submitted; and all the Inconvenience is, that peradventure the Money to be given was increased for the mean Matters, and fo he was at some Prejudice. 1 Rol. Abr. 258.

26. In Debt upon an Obligation dated the 29th of July, conditioned for the Performance of an Award, &c. so as the said Award be made before the Feast of St. Bartholomew; and the Plaintiff shews, that the Arbitrators the 8th of August awarded that he should have such a Horse, which

was in Controverly, and that the Defendant should pay unto him 3 l. towards his Charges, and that they should release the one to the other all Matters whatfoever, betwixt the faid Time and St. Michael; and alledgeth Breach for Non-payment of the faid 31. whereupon it was demurred; and it was moved that it was not a good Arbitrament, being made on the 8th of August; to release all Actions, extends to more than they had an Authority to arbitrate; and akhough it was faid, being pleaded, that they made the Arbitrament de & super Premisfis, it is intended that there was not any Cause of Action arising betwixt the 29th of July and 8th of August, unless it were shewn on the other Part; sed non Allocatur; for the Words being general, unless the Plaintiff helps it with an Averment, that there were no more Causes betwixt them, it is not good; and then the Release appointed being void, there is nothing arbitrated for the Defendant's Be-Cro. Jac. 352, 353.

27. If there be a Submission to the Award of J. S. of all Matters till the Submission, ita quod fiat de Premission, and thereupon an Award is made at a Day after the Submission, that one shall make a general Release of all Matters till the Award, and that the other shall pay 10 l. though there be an Award of both Parts, Preter the Release, which is void, yet the Award is void in the Whole, because it was intended that the Release should be Part of the Consideration. 16 Car. 1. 1 Rol.

Abr. 259.

28. If the Submission be by Obligation, dated the 17th of November, to be made before February after, and the Award is made the 27th of January, that the Defendant shall make a Release of all Actions, &c. till the Award; and in Debt upon an Obligation for Non-performance of this Award, the Breach is assigned in not making of a Release;

and

and it is averred, that no other Matter was between them; yet this is no good Breach, because if he should make this Release, it would release the Bond of Submission. 1 Rol. Abr. 260.

29. But if a Condition be to stand to the Award of J. S. ita quod stat de Premissis, &c. and the Award is, That A. shall pay to B. the other Party, 20 l. two Months after the Award, and upon Payment thereof, each of the Parties shall make general Releases to the Time of the Payment; this is a good Award, though it comprehends more Time than was submitted; for when the Money is paid, then there is an End of the Submission and all, and so no Prejudice, though it releases the Obligation or Promise of Submission. But Roll adds a Dubitatur to it. 1 Rel. Abr. 260.

30. If A. and B. submit to the Award of J. S. who awards, that A. shall pay to B. a Sum of Money at two several Days, and that they shall presently give to one another mutual Releases; this is a void Award; for by the Release, the Bonds of Submission, and the Money, would be discharged. Between Adams and Adams, 2 Mod. 169.

31. But if an Award be made, that a certain Sum of Money shall be paid at two several Days, and that mutual Releases shall be given; this is a good Award; for by the very Method and Order of the Award, the Releases are not to be given till after the Money is paid. 2 Mod. 170.

32. If a Condition be to stand to the Award of J. S. of all Matters depending, ita quod Arbitrium stat de Premissis, and the Award is made upon the Premisses, that one shall release unto the other all Matters till the Award, which is out of the Submission, though there are Matters between them which arose after the Submission and before the Award, yet the Award is good, if it be not averred

1 3

to the Court, that there were Matters between them in the mean Time. 1 Rol. Abr. 244.

33. If an Award be made de & super Premiss, and the Condition is ita quod siat de Premiss, and the Award is, that one shall make a general Release to the other of all Matters till the Award, and that the Parties shall be Friends and loving; this is good, although the Award is void as to Matters after the Submission; and therefore he is not bound to make any Release of them, but of those only which were before the Submission; and in as much as it is averred, that the Award was de & super Premiss, it shall be intended that there were not any other Matters. I Rol. Abr. 260.

34. In Debt upon an Obligation, dated December 1. conditioned to perform an Award, fo that it be made, &c. before the last Day of Hilary Term next enfuing; the Defendant pleads No Award; the Plaintiff replies, and shews an Award made before the last Day of the said Hilary Term, viz. the 8th Day of February, de & super Premissis, that the Defendant, within a Week after the Date of the Award, should pay unto the Plaintiff 71. 10 s. in Satisfaction of all Demands what soever; and that upon Payment thereof each of the Parties should release to the other all Demands; and avers, that no new Controversy or Cause of Action did arise within the Week after the faid Award: The Defendant rejoins quod bene & verum est, that the Arbitrators made an Award prout, but further faid, That after the Submission and before the Award, viz. the 6th of February, a new Cause of Action arose to the Plaintiff against the Defendant; for that the Defendant on the same 6th of February did break and enter the Close of the Plaintiff. called Blew; whereof the Arbitrators had Notice; and so they made no Award; whereon the Plaintiff demurred; and now it is objected that the Award

ward was void. 1st, Because it is for the Payment of Money in Satisfaction of all Damands generally, which extends to the Time of the Award made; so it is beyond or out of the Submission. And adly, That the Release being general, refers to the Time of the Release given, and consequently shall release the last Cause of Action, which was not within the Submiffion, and shall also release even the Bond of Submission: But upon Argument it was resolved by the whole Court, that the Award was good, and a Difference was taken, where the Award is for a Satisfaction till the Award, or for a Release till the Award; this is ill; but when the Award is general, without being limited to any Time, and the same is made de & super Premissis (as here) this shall be intended to the Time of the Submission, and a Release of all Demands till the Submission shall be a good Performance of the Award; and so Judgment was given for the Plaintiff. Mich. 36 Car. 2. between Robinet and Cobb. 2 Lev. 188.

35. A Submission was of all Controversies pending, and the Arbitrators awarded, that all Suits now pending between the Parties should cease, and that the Defendant should pay 10% in full of all Demands, and release all Demands till the Time of the Award, and upon the Payment of the Ten Pounds, the Plaintiff should release to him, &c. Upon a Writ of Error of the Judgment given in C. B. the Court held, That an Award of a general Release of all Demands till the Time of the Award, is good; for nothing new shall be intended to arise in the mean Time; and if any new Demand or Controversy did happen in the mean Time, the Award as to that new Demand or Controverly is void, for that was not within the Submiffion; and therefore it is a good Performance of it to tender a Release of all Matters in Controversy to the Time

I 4

of the Submission, which is all he is bound to release; also if a new Controversy happened, which is not to be intended, he that pretends to excuse the Non-performance, ought by his Pleading to set it forth, and shew it. 2 Ann. between Simon and

Gavil, 1 Salk. 74.

36. If A. and B. submit to the Award of J. S. and he awards that A. shall pay to B. 101. and that B. shall pay to A. the Expences at the making the Award, and that upon the Performance of the Whole, they shall make to each other general Releases; this is a good Award, for the Releases ought to be made on the Performance of that which is well awarded, and not to stay till the Performance of that which is

void. 2 Lev. 3.

37. An Award was made, that the Defendant should pay the Plaintiff 7 l. 10s. and also all the Expences of a Suit, profecuted by the Plaintiff against the Defendant; and that thereupon each Party should make general Releases to one another; and it was objected, that though the Award was good as to the 71. 10 s. yet the Releases not being to be made, till that Part concerning the Expences, which is void for Uncertainty, be performed, the whole Award is void, and the Defendant is not obliged to perform it; but it was refolved, that upon Payment of the 71. 10s. which was well awarded, each Party was obliged to release; and that there was no Occasion to wait the Performance of that Part of the Award, which was void. 6 W. 3. between Bargrave and Atkins, 3 Lev. 413.

2dly, As to the Signing and Sealing.

38. If the Bond of Submission (as is most common) requires that the Award be signed or sealed, it makes it to be as necessary, that the Award should

should agree with the Submission, in this Respect

as much as in any other.

39. As if the Condition be, to stand to the A-ward of J. S. ita quod the Award be made, signed, and delivered by the Arbitrators as their Deed, before such a Day; and after the Arbitrators make an Award, and this is sealed and delivered as their Deed, but they did not sign it; the Obligor is not bound to perform it; for the Signing is a particular Thing, distinct from the Delivery. 1 Rol. Abr. 245.

In Debt on an Obligation, conditioned to fland to the Award of A. B. C. and D. so that the said Arbitrators, or any Three or Two of them, make the said Award under their Hands and Seals, before such a Day; the Plaintiff shews, that the Arbitrament was under their Hands, but doth not say under their Hands and Seals; and for this Cause the Judgment was reversed in the Exchequer-Chamber. 8 Jac. 1. between Sallows and Girling,

2 Cro. 277, 278.

In Debt on a Bond, conditioned to perform an Award, so as the said Award were made and put into Writing, under the Hand and Seal of the Arbitrator, &c. the Defendant pleads, that the Arbitrator made no Award; and the Plaintiff replies an Award, by which fuch and fuch Things were to be done, and fets it forth in bec verba, under the Seal of the Arbitrator; the Defendant rejoins, that the Arbitrator made no Award under his hand and Seal, according to the Condition of the Bond; the Plaintiff demurs, for that the Defendant ought to plead the Award under the Hand as well as the Seal of the Arbitrators; for when he produces it in Court, as he doth by a Profert bic in Curia, he must plead it formally, as well as produce it. 2 Mod. 77.

40. And it is faid, that if the Arbitrator cannot write, he ought to fet his Mark to the Award, if

the Bond of Submission requires that the Award should be signed. 1 Bulst. 110.

Award good, though it does not appear when it was executed. Barnes 42, 43.

3dly, As to the Date and Delivery.

bord tight as snotuned

Bond, to express, in the Condition of such Bond, not only the Time the Award is to be made, but likewise when it is to be delivered; and such Circumstances must be observed as much as any other.

42. But herein observe, that if the Submission be by Bond conditionally, so as the Award be made, and ready to be delivered to the Parties, or to such of them as shall require the same, the Parties so bound are obliged to take Notice of the Award at their Peril.

43. But if the Words of the Submission are so, that the Award be delivered to each Party by such a Day, then it must be delivered to each Party ac-

cordingly.

As in an Action of Debt upon an Obligation, conditioned to perform an Award between A. and B. C. ita quod Arbitrium predict' fiat & deliberetur uirique partium predictorum, and the Award was delivered to A. and B. only; and upon a Demurrer it was held, that it should have been delivered to all the Parties concerned. Hungate's Case, 5 Co. 103.

44. And in this Case my Lord Coke says it was resolved, That if two submit on the one Part, and two on the other, and the Words are, ut supra, that a Delivery to one of the one Part, and to an-

other of the other Part, is not good.

45. If there be a Submission to the Award of J. S. so as the said Award be made under his Hand and Seal, at or before the 5th Day of Sept. sollow-

ing, ready to be delivered at the Shop of J. N. in the Exchange, London; and in an Action of Debt upon an Award made thereupon, the Plaintiff declares, that the said J. S. under his Hand and Seal, the 4th Day of September following, apud Castrum Eborum, did make an Award adtunc & ibidem parai' to be delivered at the Shop of the said J. N. in the Exchange, London; this is no good Declaration, for the Parties are not bound to take Conufance of the Delivery elsewhere than at the Place appointed. Pasch. 16 Jac. 1. between Bussield and Bussield, Cro. Jac. 577.

46. In Debt upon a Bond, conditioned for the Performance of an Award, ita quod it be made and ready to be delivered to the Parties at a certain Day and Place; the Defendant pleads Nullum focerunt Arbitrium; and the Plaintiff replies, and fets forth an Award made and delivered to the Parties at another Day and Place; and it was adjudged, that it was good, being delivered to the Parties themfelves, though at another Day and Place. 2 Lev. 68. But Hale held that it was not good; for being but the Execution of an Authority, it ought

to be done at the fame Day and Place.

47. If in Debt upon a Bond, conditioned for the Performance of an Award, so as it be made, &c. and ready to be delivered to the Parties, or to such of them who shall desire the same; the Defendant pleads Nullum fecerunt Arbitrium; and the Plaintiff replies, and sets forth the Award, and shews a Breach, but doth not say that it was ready to be delivered to the Desendant; yet this is a good Replication; for when the Award is made, it is ready to be delivered to the Parties, or to such of them who desire it; so that it must be desired, and if denied, the Party may plead that Matter specially. Mich. 4 Jac. 2. between Rowsby and Manning, 3 Mod. 330, 331.

48. If

48. If upon an Award by Parol, it is awarded, that one of the Parties shall pay to the other 10 l. within six Months after the Date of the said Award; this is a good Award; and per 2 Inst. 674. if a Bargain and Sale hath no Date, it may be inrolled within six Months after the Delivery; for the Date in this Case must be intended the giving up of the said! Award within six Months, after which the Money ought to be paid. Mich. 1 Car. 1. between

Cable and Rogers, 3 Bulft. 311, 312.

49. If in Debt upon a Bond, conditioned for the Performance of an Award in Writing, or by Word of Mouth, the Defendant pleads no Award made; and the Plaintiff replies, that at the Time of the Bond and Award he had an Action against the Defendant for scandalous Words; and that the Arbitrator ore tenus did declare and publish his Award in Manner following, viz. That the Defendant should pay unto the Plaintiff twelve Guineas, and all fuch Money as he had expended circa prosecutionem placit' pred', &c. this is a good Award, and well fet forth, although the Award doth not menrion any Suit before; for he that fets forth a parol Award is not tied to the very Words; but it is fufficient to fhew the Effect and Substance of what was awarded by Word of Mouth. Mich. 2 W. & M. 2 Vent. 242. But it is faid, that if the Award had been made in Writing, in fuch Form of Expreffion, it would not have been good.

50. In Debt on a Bond, conditioned to perform an Award, ita quod it be made and ready to be delivered by such a Day; the Desendant pleaded no Award, and the Plaintiff replied a parol Award, and avers, that it was ready to be delivered by such a Day; to which the Desendant demurred; and it was insisted by the Plaintiff, that a parol Award was deliverable; for a Man is said to deliver a Messuage as well as a Letter, and that there is an oral

Award is capable of Delivery, so it is ready to be delivered from the Time it is agreed upon; and of that Opinion was the Court, and so gave Judgment for the Plaintiff. 3 Ann. between Oates and

Bromil, 1 Salk. 75.

51. It was awarded, that Erections, &c. should be pulled down within the Space of fifty-eight Days from the Date of the Award, and the Award being pleaded without any Date, it was upon this Account demurred to; and it was held by the Court, that the Day of the Delivery of a Deed is the Day of the Date, though there is no Date set forth; for if a Deed bear Date one Day, and be delivered at another, it was really dated when delivered, though the Clause of Geren. Dat. be otherwise; so it is in the Case of this Award, the Making is the Date. 3 Ann. Armitt and Breame, 1 Salk. 76.

52. Award good, though it does not appear

when it was executed. Barnes 42, 43.

4thly, Concerning the Appointing of a Place, Demand, Tender and Refusal, and other Circumstances.

1. As the Bond of Submission generally requires, that the Award should be delivered to the Parties, or that they should, at their Peril, take Care to get it from the Arbitrators; it is also often inserted in the Submission, that it should be delivered at a certain Place upon such a Day; in which Case it is incumbent on the Parties to shew, that they have attended, not only Part, but the whole Day, viz. from Sun-rise to Sun-set, if they would excuse themselves upon this Account.

2. And if any Thing is awarded to be done in Pursuance of the Award, and a Place where it should be done is mentioned, it is proper that such

Place

Place should be well known and accessable, as a Tavern or Cossee-house, or other publick Place; and then it is incumbent upon either of the Parties, as in the above Case, to shew that they have done what was necessary on their Parts, by being in a Readiness to perform their Part the whole Day.

3. But the Time is often limited to an Hour or Two, and this seems to be most convenient for them; the Party need not shew that he has atten-

ded a longer Time.

4. There are some Cases in the Books concerning the Payment of Money in or at the House of a

Stranger.

5. As where Money was awarded to be paid in the House of J. S. a Stranger, it was thought not to be good; because it was unreasonable to subject the Parry to an Action of Trespass. Style 211.

6. And where the Payment was to be at the House of J. S. it was held, that the Award was void, if the Owner of the House had Lands adjoining to the House, by which he could not come to the House without being a Trespasser. 1 Rol:

Abr. 247.

7. But it has been adjudged and affirmed on a Writ of Error in Parliament, that if an Award be, that one shall pay so much to the other at the House of J. S. this is good; for he may come to the House, without entring the House, and so he shall be no Trespasser. 1 Rol. Rep. 6.

8. And a Diversity is taken in Style 211. where the Payment is to be in, and where at the House of

7. S.

9. And a Quere is made, Whether if the Award be, that the Party shall pay the Money in the House, or at the House where the Owner has Lands adjoining, he should not in such Case come as near to the House as he could, or else get Leave

to come thither. Godb. 255. And it is faid that the other Party should procure Leave; and if the Stranger refuses, this shall excuse the Performance.

at the House of J. S. in C. being the Sign of the Cock, and it was held good, being intended a common Inn. Cro. Car. 226.

11. In Debt upon an Obligation, conditioned to perform an Award, the Defendant pleaded No Award; and the Plaintiff replied, and set forth an Award, that in or at the Mansson of J. S. in College-street, in Bury, the Defendant should pay the Plaintiff so much, and the Defendant demurred, and Judgment was given for the Plaintiff; for although J. S. be a Stranger, the Words being only to pay, in or at the House, he may go to the Door of the House, and there pay it, without being a Trespasser, if he cannot prevail upon J. S. to give him Leave to pay the Money in the House. Between Holland and Helwis, 36 Car. 2. 3 Lev. 153.

12. It is now held necessary, that there should be a personal Demand of the Thing awarded, when the Submission is by Rule of Court; and that the Party must make Assidavit of such Demand before

he can have an Attachment. 1 Salk. 83.

13. A Tender and Refusal of a Thing awarded, hath the same Effect in Awards as in other Cases.

14. As if an Award be, that one shall pay 10 l. to the other, in Satisfaction of all Trespasses, &c. if he who ought to pay it, tenders it at the Day, and he refuses, and after brings an action for the Trespass aforesaid; this Award shall be a good Bar of the Action, because that it was his own Fault that it was not paid; and he hath his Remedy for the Money. 1 Rol. Abr. 267.

15. Upon a Tender and Refusal of what is to be done by one Person, he is intitled to what was awarded on his behalf.

16. As if Two submit themselves to the Award of 7. S. of all Controversies, and 7. S. awards, that one shall pay 10 l. to the other at such a Day, and that the other, upon the Receipt of the ten Pounds, shall make a general Release to the other, without appointing any other Thing to be done by him, who shall have the Money, and not expressing that the 101. shall be in Satisfaction of Matters in Controverfy; and though it is objected, that if he, to whom the 10 l. is to be paid, refuses to receive ir, then he is not bound to make any Release; and then nothing is to be done by him; and fo the Award is but of one Part, and only at the Will of him, whether he will make a Release; yet it was adjudged a good Award, because when one is awarded to pay the 101. to the other, he is by Implication awarded to accept it; as if one had been awarded to pay 10 l. in Satisfaction of all Controversies to the other; if the other refuses to accept the 10 l. yet this is a good Award, because he is implicitly awarded to accept it in Satisfaction; and this Judgment was ffirmed in Parliament, by the Advice of all the a Judges. Mich. 22 Car. 1. 1 Rol. Atr. 254, 255.

17. If a Sum of Money be awarded one of the Parties, and that they both shall give mutual Releases; if he who is to receive the Money resules it; yet upon a Tender and Resulal, he is as much obliged to sign a Release, as if he actually received

it. 1 Salk. 75.

Rule of Nisi prius to refer, an Award made, and Motion for an Attachment for Non-performance. It was insisted that the Arbitrators had not pursued their Authority, because the Submission confined the Award to be made in Writing indented, and the

the Award produced was not indented. Cur': It is a perfect immaterial Objection, and just the same as if the Submission had faid the Award should be made on Gilt Paper; let an Attachment go. Barnes 41. Pratt. Reg. C. P. 47.

SECT. IV.

An Award ought to be certain.

N Award is in nature of a Judgment or Awards now Sentence, in which there ought to be Plain-receive a ness, and nothing left for Collection or Inference, more favourfor it ought to contain the Judgment of the Arbi- able Interpretrator himself, and not of another upon his Words. tation than formerly. Tel. 98.

Bac. Abr. 135.

Fitz-gib. 270. Bur. Rep. 277, 278. 2 Atk. Rep. 504. See 2 Stra. 1024.

2. And therefore, if an Award be uncertain, it Award that shall be void, for the Arbitrators are Judges of the all Actions Case; and the Award ought to be certain, so that are to cease thereby the Controversy be decided, and that for Release. the Uncertainty it be not the Cause of a new Contro- Barnes 43. verfy. 5 Co. 78.

3. If two fubmitall Matters in Controverly between them; and the Award is, that one shall pay the one Moiety to J. S. and the other the other Moiety enjusdam Debiti, due to J. S. by two Strangers, who were bound to the faid J. S. at the Request of them Two; this is no good Award; because it does not appear within the Award, in what Sum they were bound, though it be averred in the Plea after; because it cannot be known what Sum they intended. Per Dod. and Houghton cont Montague. But Houghton inclined, that he might have helped it by an Averment, that there was not any other Obligation besides this. 16 Fac. 1. between Gray and Gray, I Rol. Abr. 263.

4. If

4. If Two submit all Controversies concerning certain Land, and the Arbitrator awards that one shall enjoy the Land, and the other shall enter into an Obligation to him; this is a void Award, because it does not appear of what Sum the Obligation shall be; for it shall not be imagined that he intended an Obligation according to the Value of the Land; and he cannot assign over his Power to the Parties themselves, to asses the Sum. 5 Co.

Samon's Case 77, 78.

fhould give Security to the Plaintiff for the Payment of 16 l. at Two several Days; and the Plaintiff for Breach says, that he did not give Security, nor pay the Money, the Award is void for Uncertainty, in not shewing what Security he should give, whether by Bond, or otherwise; and every Arbitrament ought to be certain, that the Party may know what he is to perform. Adjudged in the Exchequer-Chamber, 10 Jac. 1. between Thinne and Righy, Cro. Jac. 314, 315.

6. If there be a Contract or Covenant to give Bond for the Payment of a certain Sum of Money, without shewing of what Sum the Obligation shall be, it is good, and shall be intended of double the Sum; but an Award in such a Case is not good, in regard the Arbitrators are to make an End

of all Differences. 1 Lev. 88.

7. If, where an Obligation is for the Performance of a Thing of a certain Value, which appears in the Agreement, the Sum to be mentioned in the Obligation shall be proportionable to the Value; but in an Agreement, where any Thing of an incertain Value is to be performed, and it is agreed that a Bond shall be delivered (without expressing the Sum the Party shall be bound in the Obligation) for the Performance of the same; and if in an Action on the Case for Non-performance, the Defendant

The Compleat Arbitratoz.

fendant demurs, or a special Issue be joined, Judgment shall be for the Defendant, in regard it does not appear in what Sum the Party is to be bound; nor can it be made certain, because the Thing to be performed is incertain, and therefore the Agreement incompleat; but it is otherwise, if a general Issue be joined. Between Please and Palfrey, 1 Sid.

270.

8. If Two submit all Controversies concerning the Right, Title and Possession of 200 Acres of Land, called Kelstom Linge; and the Arbitrators award, that in the Waste Lands of the Town of Kelstom; one shall have the Brakes there growing during his Life, paying to the other 2 s. per Annum, without giving any Name to the Land in the Award; this is a void Award, and it cannot be helped by an Averment, that the Lands where the Brakes grow, is the said Land called Kelstom Linge submitted, and not other, nor divers, for he cannot expound the Intent of the Arbitrators. 1 Rol. Abr. 263.

9. If an Award be made between A. and B. touching certain Quarters of Malt, before delivered by A. to B. that B. shall pay to A. so much for every Quarter, as one Quarter of Malt was then sold for; this is a void Award, because it is not mentioned in what Place the Sale should be; for perhaps in one Market or Place it was sold for more than in another Market or Place, and therefore the Award is void for the Uncertainty. I Rol.

Abr. 262.

ro. If the Condition of an Obligation be, to perform the Award of J. S. between A. and B. of all Controversies and Demands between them, &c. and an Award is made of the Premisses, scilicet, that A. shall permit B. to enjoy certain Leases of certain Land then in his Possession, which were the Lands of W. S. and then the Inheritance of A.

he, scilicet B. paying the Rents, and performing the Covenants in the Leases, and that B. shall deliver true Copies of the Leases to A. made by the said W. S. and that B. shall pay the Arrears of Rent due to the said A. after the Purchase thereof made; this Award, as to the Payment of the Arrears, though it be averred, that there was 25. of the Arrears of Rent then due, is not good for the Uncertainty; because it does not appear by the Award, how much Rent was due after the Purchase; for B. the Lessee does not know when A. the Plaintist purchased the Reversion of W. S. nor hath any Means to know it, unless A. or W. S. will shew it him, which he cannot compel them to do. Style 365, 366.

pay to the other so much as is due in Conscience; this is a void Award. Between Watson and Watson,

Trin. 23 Car. 1. Style 28.

Rents, and other small Things, it is void for Un-

certainty. March 144.

13. If an Award be, that one shall pay the other for certain Task work and Day-work; this is a void Award, because of the Uncertainty of the Sum he should pay; and this cannot be helped by any Averment. Mich, 22 Car. 2. between Pope and Brett, 2 Saund. 292.

14. But if an Award be, that one shall acquit the other of an Obligation of 200 l. aut eo circiter, in which they are bound for the Payment of 105 l. aut eo circiter, to B, this is a good Award. March

18:

whereas there had been several Differences between the Plaintiff and desendant, (but said not touching what) they submitted to the Award of J. S. who awarded de & super Pramiss, that the Desendant should

The Compleat Arbitrator.

should pay to the Plaintiff 301. in Satisfaction of all Sums due to him, out of the Estate of W. &c. and, after a Verdict for the Plaintiff, Judgment was staid, because it did not appear that the Desendant was Executor, Administrator, or Trustee for W. or that he had any Thing of his, or had submitted in Behalf of him; and so there was no Consideration to charge him for the Estate of W: 2 Lev. 225.

16. If an Award be, that one shall pay to the other 61. the 21st of May, and 61. at Michaelmas following; and that the other shall release all his Right in such Lands super predict primum diem Maii (omitting vicesimum) this is a void Award, because there was not any first Day of May menti-

oned before. Yelv. 97.

17. If there be a Submission of all Congroversies touching a Voyage to Sea, and an Obligation with Condition for Performance thereof; and an Award is made, that one shall pay his Part of the Charge of the Voyage, and shall allow his proportionable Part of the Loss that shall come to the Ship by the Voyage, upon Account; and it is awarded farther of the other Part, &c. though this Award be of it self uncertain; yet in as much as it may be reduced to a Certainty, it is good enough. Mich. 10 Car. 1. between Beale and Beale, 1 Rol. Abr. 251.

18. If there be a Submission to the Award of J. S. so that it be made at or before the last Day of April, and J. S. upon the last Day of April makes an Award, that the said Parties, within sour Days after the said Award, should give to each other Release to the Time of the Submission; provided that if either of the said Parties should be discontented with the said Award, and within twenty Days after the said Award, should pay to the other to s, that then the said Award should be void; yet

K 3

this is a good Award; for the Proviso to make it void, after the Execution of the Releases, is repugnant; and if by not making a Release, either of the said Parties hath forseited his Bond, it cannot be helped, or become not forseited by dissolving the Award by Proviso.

19. But if the Proviso had been, to be performed within the said four Days, the Award would have been void, because no final End of the Controversy, in as much as it is not certain, by Reason of the Condition, whether it shall be an End, or

not? Popb. 16.

20. If an Award is made, that one of the Parties should pay Money, and other should make a Release; provided that if one of them should be discharged of any Arrears due unto Soldiers, by an Act of Indemnity, that then the Award should be void; and by the whole Court, the Proviso being void, the whole Award is void, because intire; and the Whole being awarded to be void upon a subsequent Accident, what they have awarded in Presenti is not good, neither is it consonant to the Submission (which is in Presenti) to make the Award depend on a Thing in Future. I Sid. 59.

21. One Part of an Award was, that the Defendant should pay the Charges of such a Suit; and it was odjected, that this Award was void for Uncertainty; but it was held to be good enough, and certain enough, when the Attorney had made his

Bill of Charges. Cro. Car. 383.

Award, which was, That the Defendant should pay unto the Plaintiff such Costs, as the Prothonotary of the Court in which the Action depended should tax; and it was objected, that this was uncertain, and that the Prothonotary, by his Office, ought not to tax Costs but upon a Nonsuit or Verdict, and that the Arbitrators could not oblige him to do any

Thing

The Compleat Arbitrator.

Thing in his private Capacity. But notwithstanding, the Award was held good; for it is in the Power of the Parties to make themselves liable to have Costs taxed; also the Prothonotary is a publick Officer, and Judgment was given for the Plaintiff. Between Worrel and Alworlb, 1 Sid. 358.

Practice ever fince, to award that one of the Parties should pay such Costs of a Suit as a Master should tax, and it has been held certain and good

enough.

Award was to pay 28s. to W. the Plaintiff's Solicitor. Exception was taken that W. is a Stranger, and therefore ill, except in some Cases where it appears that it is for the Plaintiff's Benefit, or to discharge Money owing by him, but that nothing of that appears in this Case, and therefore as to that the Award is ill. Quod Curia concessiv. Ld. Raym. Rep. 123.

When Arbitrators award Costs to either Party, they are to be taxed as between Party and Party, and not as between Attorney and Client, unless the Arbitrators expressly so award, as that the Plaintiff shall have all his Expences, or such like expression.

Caf. Temp. Hardw. 161, 162.

Award that Defendant should pay what Costs two Persons named in the Award (who were not officers of any Court) should appoint for Costs; provided they are such as a Master in Chancery would allow; was held ill, for they can only delegate their Authority in that Instance to one who the Court will take Notice understands it better than themselves: Delendant had Judgment on Demurrer. 2 Stra. 1025. Cast. Temp. Hardw. 181. but see Barnes 43.

Arbitrators awarded Costs of Suit, and of the Reference, to be taxed by the Prothonotary; the

Court ordered Costs to be taxed to the Time of Re-

ference, but not efter. 2 Barnes 54.

24. In Debt upon an Obligation, conditioned to perform an Award, the Defendant pleaded No Award; and the Plaintiff replied an Award, that he should pay the Defendant 20s. upon Condition that each should acquit the other of all Things submitted, and that the Defendant should pay the Plaintiff the Charge of a Suit now pending between them; and the Plaintiff gave the Defendant a Bill of Charges, and for Breach affigns, That the Charges amounted to 40 s. of which he delivered a Bill to the Defendant, and he had not paid it; upon this the Defendant demurred, and two Exceptions were taken. I. That the Award is not abfolute, but conditional, scilicet, that the Plaintiff should pay the Defendant 20 s. upon Condition that each shall acquit the other. 2. That the Award does not ascertain what the Charges are, but refers them to a Bill to be given by the Plaintiff; fed per Cur': As to the first, on Condition they shall acquit, is an Award that they shall acquit; and as to the Second, the Charges are ascertained by the Bill delivered. Between Linfield and Feme, 33 Car. 2. 3 Lev. 18.

25. In an Action on the Case for Performance of an Award, the Award was, That the Defendant should pay unto the Plaintiff 12 Guineas, and all such Sums of Money as the Plaintiff had laid out or expended in or about the Prosecution of the Plea afore-said, &c. and avers, that he had expended 11 l. &c. and it was objected, that this Award is uncertain, for that it for the Desendant to pay all such Sums of Money which the Plaintiff had expended in the Suit, and it doth not appear how much was spent, and no Person is assigned to reduce it to any Certainty; but if it had been, to pay all the Costs of Suit, that might have been good; because there is

The Compleat Arbitratoz.

a proper Officer to tax the Costs. But my Lord Chief Justice Pollexsen, with the rest of the Court, held, that the Award was good, and that it had made an end of the Suit, so that the Court had no Authority to tax the Costs; therefore after the Award no Judgment could be given as to that Matter, because then there was no Suit depending; and so it would make no Manner of Difference, if the Word Costs had been inserted instead of the Word Expences; but here the Plaintiss had ascertained the Expences by his own Averment, that he had expended so much, &c. which the Desendant might have traversed, if he would. I & 2 W. & M. between Hanson and Leversedge, Carth. 156:

But see afterwards, where Part of the Award was, that the defendant should pay the Plaintiff 71 l. 105. G omnes Rationabiles expensas, which the Plaintiff sustinuisset circa sectam Predict; and it was admitted that this Part of the Award was void, being wholly uncertain. 3 Lev. 413, 414. 6 W. 3.

between Bargrave and Atkins.

26. An Award was, that one Party should pay the other ten Pounds, and the Costs of a Suit now depending in an inferiour Court, and then to give mutual Releases; and per Cur, to pay such Costs as the Master shall tax, is good, for id Certum est quod certum reddi potest; but this is uncertain, and carries it surther than has hitherto been allowed. But it was adjourned. 3 Ann. between Winter and Garlick, I Salk. 75.

But in the Case of Dudley and Nettleford, H. 13 G. where it was awarded, that the Plaintist's should pay the Costs, and nobody was appointed to tax them, the court supplied it by ordering the

Master to do it. Stra. 737.

27. If the Condition of an Obligation be, to ftand to the Award of \mathcal{F} . S. and he awards that one shall enjoy a certain House, paying to the other

20 s. yearly, if the Rent is not paid, the Condition is broke. Cro. Eliz. 211.

Lease to B. and that for this Lease B. shall pay to A. a certain Sum yearly, this is good. 4 Bendl.

29. So where an Award was, that one should keep and enjoy certain Goods, paying so much Money to the other; and it was held, that the Word Paying amounted to, and was the same Thing as that he shall pay. I Sid. 54:

30. If A. and B. submit to the Award of J. S. and he awards that A. shall pay 20 l. to B. upon Condition that each of the Parties shall acquit the other of all Things submitted, &c. this a good Award, and not Conditional, but an Award that they shall acquit one another. 3 Lev. 18.

31. If the Award be to pay Costs in such a Suit, brought against the Party for scandalous Words, it ought to appear by the Award, that the Words are Actionable. Between Spignurel and Jene, 1 Sid. 12. But see the next Case following, where this Case is cited; and the Court said they were not satisfied with the Opinion, and that Sidersin was then a younger Reporter.

32. An Action for scandalous Words being submitted to Arbitrament, the Arbitratorsawarded the Plaintiff so much, &c. and it was objected, that the Plaintiff should have shewn that he had Cause of Action, by alledging that the Words were Actionable; but it was held, that the Plaintiff need not shew that there was Cause of Action; for that is left to the Arbitrators, and they have Power to award Charges thereupon, though in Point of Law there was no Cause of Action; for the Parties have made the Arbitrators their Judges. 2 Vent. 242.

33. In Debt upon a Bond conditioned for Performance of an Award, which was fet forth, and a Breach

The Compleat Arbitrator.

Breach affigned, and upon a Demurrer these Exceptions were taken.

- 34. Ist, That the Award recited, that the Parties were Jointenants of such Land, and ordered they should make Partition by mutual Conveyances, which was said to be uncertain, and not making an end of the Matter, by shewing what Moiety, or Part, the one should have, and what the other; to which it was answered and resolved, that it was well enough; for whereas they were Jointenants, before, they would now become Tenants in common.
- 35. 2dly, It was objected, that could not be, for it was further awarded, the one should have *Unam dimidiam Partem sive medietatem*, which shews they intended something more than a Tenancy in common, but left it uncertain; for *Dimidia pars* is a Moiety in Certainty, and *medietas* is a Moiety incertain.
- dia Pars, or Medietas, shall be respectively taken for a Moiety, divided or undivided, secundum subjectam materiam; as medietas of a Thing to be delivered, shall be understood a divided Moiety, because it cannot be delivered unless it be divided; so dimidia pars, if it be such a Thing as cannot be reduced to a divided Moiety, shall be understood a Moiety divided. 6 Mod. 231.
- 37. An Award was made concerning some Scaffolds, &c. which the Desendant had erected on his Wharf, and which were alledged to be a Nusance to the Plaintiff; and the Arbitrators awarded that the Scaffolds, &c. should be removed, but did not say by whom; and it was objected, that the indefinite Award was void for Uncertainty who should remove them: And of his Opinion Holt, Chief Justice, seemed to be; but Powel, Powys, and Gould, clearly contrary; for when the Arbitra-

The Compleat Arbitrator.

tors declare it to be a Nusance, and that it should be removed, who should remove it but he on whose Ground it was; and though, in Point of Law, any Man may remove what is to his Nusance; yet in Case of an Award, which is by Judges of Equity as well as Law, it must be intended that it was to be done by the Party on whose Ground it was. 3 Ann. between Arnote and Breame, 6 Mod. 244.

28. An Award was, That one of the Parties. he or his Executors, should release, &c. and my Lord Holt inclined to think, that it may be construed, that be and bis Executors should release. I

Salk. 69.

39. An Award to pay fo much Money as fuch Land is worth, is void for Uncertainty. Skin.

248.

40. From the above Cases it appears, that whatever is awarded to be done, must regularly be certain in itself, or such as may be reduced to a Certainty by the Award; for the Law will not admit the Intent of the Arbitrators to be explained by any Averment, or by any Matter not contained in the

Award itself. Dyer 242. 6 Mod. 244.

41. If Award be, that the Defendant pay a Sum of Money for the Plaintiff's Benefit to fuch Person as the Plaintiff shall appoint to receive it, it was faid, Arg. that it would hardly be contended but fuch Award would be good; and the Court was of the same Opinion, and gave Judgment accordingly, Nifi, &c. 2 Barnard, Rep. 291.

to the sale to smint a second substance of selection and a second second

That the Award be final, to as to make an end of the Watters in Controverly.

A S the very Scope and End of Mens entring 2 Stra. 1024. A into Submissions to perform Awards, is, that it should be a final Determination of all Matters in Controversy between the Parties; Care is to be taken that all the Matters controverted be determined with that Certainty, so as to answer this Purpose; or if the Award be of a particular Thing only, that it be particularly determined, so as to make a final end of it.

2. But as the Cases in the foregoing Section shew what kind of Certainty is sufficient, or not, so as to make a final end of the Controversy; and as in the 8th Section of this Chapter, the Cases therein likewise shew how an Award may be good in Part and void for the rest; by which it will be understood, that a Determination of a particular Thing may make the Award final; I shall in this Section only insert such Cases as would not so properly come under either of the other two.

and Determination of J. S. Ita qued fiat de Pramissis, and the Award is made concerning an Obligation, in which one is bound to the other, that the Obligee shall not prosecute, or cause to be prosecuted, any Suit against the Obligor, upon the said Obligation, this is a good Award; though it was objected that this Award is not final, for that this Award does not extinguish the Duty; but it is only awarded that he shall not sue upon it; and if the Duty is not extinguished, it may be forseited by Outlawry; but yet it was held to be a good Award; for it shall

The Compleat Arbitrator:

shall be taken according to the Intent of the Arbitrators, which was to extinguish the Duty. 14 Car. 1: between Milwood and Stokes, 1 Rol. Abr. 540.

4. But it is said, that if two have Actions one against the other, and the Award is, that each shall be nonsuit in his Action against the other, this is not good, because it is not final, for they may bring new Actions for the same Matter. 19 H. 6. 36. b.

5. So it is no good Award that one shall discontinue an Action which he hath against the other, and that the other shall so do of an Action which he hath against him, because it is not any final Determination of the Matters between them. 5 H. 7.

6. But it is a good Award, that the Plaintiff in an Action shall make a Retraxit, for this is a good Bar. Ibid.

7. So is an Award that all Suits shall cease. Style

8. In Debt upon an Obligation for Performance of an Award, which was, that the Plaintiff should not prosecute nor proceed in the same Term, in such an Action; and it was held by the Court to be a good Award; and it was agreed that the Award being, that he should not prosecute in such an Action in the same Term, that the Entry of Continuance from Term to Term is not any Breach; and by two Justices, if one be obliged that he shall not continue such a Suit, if he continue it by Attorney, it is a Breach of the Obligation; but if the Attorney enter the Continuance without his Privity, it is no Breach. Between Gray and Gray, Cro. Jac. 525.

9. It was awarded that all Suits shall cease; and it was held by the Court to be a good Award, and final enough; and that the Awarding that all Suits shall cease, amounted to a Release, for that an A-

ward

The Compleat Arbitrator.

ward may be pleaded in Discharge as well as a Release. 2 Mod. 227, 228. 1 Lev. 58. S. P.

to an Award, that it was awarded that all Suits now depending should cease; and it was insisted upon by Parker, that it amounted to no more, than that the Party should be nonsuited, which is not final; he allowed that no new Suit could be brought whilst these depended, because these may be pleaded in Abatement; nor can these be prosecuted because of the Award; but if either of the Parties die, new Suits may be prosecuted for the said Causes, notwithstanding this Award.

the Awarding that all Suits shall cease, is, that they shall for ever cease; and it extinguishes the Duty; for if the Words had been, that all Actions should cease, the Duty had been gone; for if the Remedy be gone, the Right is gone; and the Word Suit is of a larger Extent and Sense than Action; for by a Release of Suits a Man may bar himself of an Execution, which he cannot do by Release of

Action. 6 Mod. 34.

it was awarded, that a Suit in Chancery should be dismissed, which, as was urged, was ill, for that an Award ought to be final, and that a Suit in Chancery might be dismissed upon Payment of Costs, so as the Party might begin again; indeed, if the Dismissing be absolute, it is final; but if it be dismissed, as is frequently done there, without Prejudice, the Party may begin again; and therefore an Award of Dismissing a Suit in Chancery is incertain, and not final; but is like awarding that one of the Parties in a Suit at Law be nonsuited, which is void.

true, that the Awarding that one of the Parties

should be nonsuit, were void, as not being final; yet to award that a Suit shall be dismissed, were different, and must be understood that it must cease for ever; that is a substantial Dismission and Cesser, and not the Shadow of one; as if the Condition of a Bond be, to deliver up another Bond to be cancelled; and the Obligee, before the Day, puts the first Bond in Suit, and obtains Judgment thereupon, and at the Day tenders it to be cancelled, according to the Letter of the Condition of the second Obligation; yet his Obligation is forseited; and Dismissing here is put in Latin Dimittera, with an Anglice to discharge; and to discharge a Suit is to release it, ideo final. I Salk. 75. 6 Mod. 282.

14. The Arbitrators must make a final End of the Controversy, before the Time allowed them to make their Award in is expired, for they cannot regularly reserve any Power to themselves. Vide

Chap. V.

15. As if A. and B. submit to the Award of J. S. so that he makes his Award before the 8th of March, and J. S. accordingly makes his Award, that A. shall pay to B. 30 l. viz. 10l. at Michaelmas, 10 l. at Christmas, and 10 l. at Lady-Day; and if before the said last Payment videretur to the said J. S. that A. was ingaged for the said B. in any Debt not satisfied, that then the said B. should repay unto the said A. so much Money as the said Debt amounted unto; this Award is void, because not final; for Part is reserved to this suture Judgment, which an Arbitrator cannot do. Mich. 18 Jac. 1. Winch and Sanders, Cro. Jac. 584.

16. But it is said, that if the Award had been, that if A. shews any Bill of Debt to such a Sum, that then this Sum certain shall be repaid, that it

might have been a good Award. Ibid.

17. And note, that there is a Diversity taken, where the Arbitrator reserves to himself a Power to

The Compleat Arbitratoz.

do a judicial, and where a ministerial Act; and that the first is void, though the latter may be good. Palm. 146.

18. And where the Arbitrators reserve to themselves a Power within their Time, and over a Matter submitted, the Award is not final, and so void; but when the Power goes to a Matter not within the Submission, the Power is void, and the Award

good. Palm. 110.

19. And if there be a Submission to the Award of 7. S. fo that it be made at or before the last Day of April, and F. S. upon the last Day of April, makes an Award that the faid Parties, within four Days after the faid Award, should give to each other Releases to the Time of the Submission; provided that if either of the faid Parties should be discontented with the said Award, and within twenty Days after the faid Award should pay to the other 10 s. that then the faid Award should be void; yet this is a good Award; for the Proviso to make it void after the Execution of the Releases. is repugnant; and if by not making a Release, either of the faid Parties hath forfeited his Bond, it cannot be helped, or become not forfeited by diffolying the Award by Proviso. Poph. 15, 16.

20. But in this Case it is said, that if the Proviso had been to be performed within the said sour Days, the Award would have been void, because no final End of the Controversy, in as much as it is not certain, by Reason of the Condition, whether

it shall be an End, or not. Ibid.

Where Matters are submitted, they ought to Award all absolutely, without referring to any suture Examination; and that he knew but one Case where Arbitrators may refer to a surure Act, and that is, where they award the Payment of such Costs, as an Officer of the Court shall tax, which

146

has been allowed per Holt, sed adjurnatur. 12 Mod. 139.

Where the Submission is simply without Condition, an Award of Part is good. 12 Mod. 585.

Though Awards should be certain and final, yet if they are as certain and final as the Nature of the Thing will bear 'tis sufficient; by 3 J. contra Page J. Fitz-gib. 272. Barnard. B. R. 463. 2 Stra 903.

SECT. VI.

An Award must appoint the doing something Beneficial to each Party.

1. IT has been always held necessary, that Awards should be mutual, i. e. appoint the Doing of something beneficial to each Party, in Appearance at least.

2. But herein it must be observed, that the Cases in the old Books differ from our more Modern Resolutions and Stile, more from our Modern Practice; and that it must appear now, that it was not possible for the Party, who complains of Inequality, to have reaped the least Advantage by the Award, and that nothing at all was awarded to be done unto him; for the Arbitrators being Judges of the Parties own chusing, it will not be presumed but that they have done each of them Justice.

3. It must likewise be observed, that let the Award be ever so severe on one of the Parties, as by Awarding him to pay 1000 l. when there was but 100 l. due, if there be any Thing awarded to be done unto him, as the paying him a Penny, or giving him a Release; the Award will be held equal and advantagious in Law; and there can be

no Remedy against it, but in Equity.

4. If a Trespass be put to Award, if the Arbitrators award nothing to be done by him who did the Trespass, it is worth nothing. 43 E. 3. 28. b.

The Compleat Arbitratoz.

5. So if they award that he shall wage his Law, that he his Not guilty, and that he shall be quit; and he does it accordingly, without Satisfaction, it

is not good. 46 E. 3. 17. b.

6. So if a Trespass for Taking his Cattle be put to Award, if the Arbitrators award that the Owner shall have his Goods again; this is not good, because there is no Satisfaction awarded for the Damage of the Taking and Detinue. 45 E. 3. 16.

7. So it is no good Award, that one shall go to Rome or Paul's; for this is not any Advantage. 9

E. 4. 44.

8. It is no good Award, that one shall make a Release to the other of Land, in Satisfaction of an Action, if he to whom the Release is to be made, had nothing in Land at the Time, for then it is

no Advantage to him. 9 E. 4. 44. b.

g. But if a Man makes to me a Release of certain Land, of which I am seised, and after he gets the Release again, and after he and I submit all Matters to the Award of J. S. who awards, that he shall deliver to me all the Evidences concerning the Land, in Satisfaction of a certain Action; this is a good Award, though these are my own Evidences; for this is an Advantage to me, to have them without Suit. 9 E. 4. 44.

10. In an Action of Debe upon a Bond, conditioned for the Performance of an Award, the Defendant pleaded, that the Arbitrators did make an Award, that the Defendant should pay to the Plaintiff 3 100 l. and should give to the Plaintiff a general Release; and pleaded that he had paid the Money and given a Release accordingly, but did not shew what, on the Part of the Plaintiff, was awarded to be done; and the Plaintiff replied, without shewing the other Part of the Award in his Replication, and took Issue, that the Defendant had not paid the Money; and the Defendant gut

L 2

in an insufficient Rejoinder, upon which the Plain-

Plaintiff could not have Judgment, it appearing by the Pleading to be but an Award of one Side only; but if the Plaintiff would have helped himself, he ought to have shewn the other Part of the Award before he had taken Issue; but the Court would not give Judgment for the Defendant, but suffered the Plaintiff to discontinue, because they apprehended it to be only a Trick in Pleading; for which the Chief Justice reprehended Sanders, who excused himself, by Reason of the Severity of the Award. Mich. 21 Car. 2. between Veal and Warner, 2 Saund. 326.

12. When a Thing appeared by the Submission and Award to be certain, and due to one of the Parties, it has been held, that awarding Part or Parcel of such Thing they ascertained, was not

good.

13. As an Award to pay Parcel of a Debt due

is not good. 45 E. 3. 16.

14. So an Award that the Owner shall have Parcel of his own Goods, is not good. 45 E. 3. 16.

15. So if A. and B. submit all Controversies between them concerning a Wine Licence, and the Arrears of certain Rent; and the Arbitrators reciting 15 l. to be due to A. make an Award that B. shall pay 7 l. 10 s. to A. in Satisfaction of Part the said 15 l. and shall assign the Wine Licence to A. this is a void Award as to the Assignment of the Wine Licence. All. 52: But Bacon held, that it should be in Satisfaction of the other 7 l. 10 s.

16. But this Distinction has not been so strictly adhered to of later Days; for it has been resol-

ved,

72 l. was in Controverfy for Rent due, and fifty is awarded

awarded in Satisfaction thereof, and general Re-Jeases to be given; yet this is a good Award; for though it did not appear, that other Matters were in Controversy, yet perhaps the Arbitrators did consider other Matters; and its but unreasonable for him to find fault in whose Case this Award is

made. 2 Mod. 303, 304.

there were feveral Differences between the Plaintiff and the Defendant concerning a House, and divers Elms, and Arrears of Rent, they, to make a final End of all, award the Defendant to pay to the Plaintiff 41. for all the said Arrears of Rent; this is a good Award; for either it shall be intended, that the other Matters were otherwise determined; or when the Award says, to end all Differences, it shall be intended that the 41. was given in Satisfaction of all. Trin. 16 Car. 2. between Hopper and Hacket, 1 Lev. 133.

who makes his Award, that A. shall pay to B. 151. which the said J. S. did adjudge the said B. to have sustained in Costs and Damages, by Reason of a Suit without Cause commenced by A. against B. and that all Suits and Differences between them shall cease; this is a good Award; for by this Award A. is not subject to have Costs taxed against him at the Prayer of B. and therefore the Award is not of one Side only; though it was objected, that B. had no Advantage by Staying his own Suit, and paying 151. Costs; and that it did not appear, that there were any other Differences between them. 2 Vent. 221.

20. It is a good Award where each Party is indebted in 40 s. the one to the other, that the one shall be quit against the other, for there is a sufficient. Satisfaction. 19 H. 6. 37.

21. If an Award be, that all Controversies shall cease, and that one shall give 12 d. to the other; this is a good Award, though the other hath nothing given to him; for it may be, that he hath done a greater Trespass than the other. 1 Rol. Abr.

254. 1 Lev. 58. the like Point adjudged.

22. If an Award be de & super Premiss, that each of the Parties shall make a Release the one to the other, of all Matters till the Award; and that one of the Parties shall pay 10 l. to the other at a Dev certain, & quod partes Prediste continuarent amantes & amici ut in Priori tempore; this is a good Award; for though it be admitted, that the Award, as to the general Release till the Award made, is void (though the Court inclined econtra as to this Point) yet the Award for the Payment of 10 l. is an Award of both Parts, because it shall be intended to be in Satisfaction of all Matters between them, especially in this Case, where it is said, that the Parties shall be Friends, ut in Priori tempore. 1 Rol. Abr. 254.

23. All the Matters awarded to be done on the one Side, were pardoned by the General Pardon; and the Award was notwithstanding this, held good.

I Sid. 178.

24. And note, that where there are several Parties, something beneficial must be awarded to each.

25. As if three Persons, scilicet A. B. and C. of the one Part, and D. of the other Part, submit themselves to the Award of J. S. ita quod, &c. and he makes an Award between A. and B. of the one Part, and D. of the other Part, and makes no Award between C. and D. this is not good. I Rol. Abr. 540.

26. But the Condition of the Submission may be such, as that it may not be requisite to make an

Award between all the Parties.

The Compleat Arbitratoz:

27. As if Λ , and B, of the one Part, and C, of the other Part, submit themselves to the Award of \mathcal{F} . S. of all Matters between them, \mathcal{F} . S. may make an Award of any Matter between Λ only and C, though B, hath nothing to do therewith; for the Submission shall be taken distributively. 8 Co, 98.

28. And note, that where one Person submits for another, regularly there must be something advantagious awarded to be done to him on whose Be-

half the Submission was. Carth. 412.

29. If A. and B. submit to the Award of J. S. who makes an Award, that A. shall be bound with such Sureties as B. shall approve, for the Payment of 100 l. to B. and that thereupon they shall seal mutual Releases to one another; this is a void Award, because B. must first approve of the Security before, and without which the Releases are not to be given; so that it is but an Award but of one Side. Hill. 1 W. & M. between Thirsby and Helbot, 3 Mod. 272.

30. A Thing may be beneficial to the Party, although it be awarded to be done to a Stranger.

31. As if an Award be, that A. shall pay Money to the Servant of B. this is good. 3 Leon. 62.

32. But then it must appear, that the Servant received it to the Use of his Master. N. Dier 242.

33. So if J. S. and J. D. are bound in 20 l. at my Request, and the Request of W. N. and afterwards there is a Controversy between us Two, which of us shall pay this Money, among other such Bargains between us; upon which all Matters in Controversy are submitted to Arbitrament; and the Arbitrator awards, that I shall pay one Moiety of the Money with the Use, and W. N. the other Moiety to the Obligee; this is a good Award, though he be a Stranger to whom it is to be paid by those who submitted themselves, for L. 4

here appears to be an Advantage to the Parties. I

Rol. Abr. 247.

34. In Debt upon a Bond, the Defendant prayed Over of the Condition, which was for performing the Award of 7. 8. and pleaded Nul agard fait; and the Plaintiff replied, and fet forth an Award, which was, that the Plaintiff and Defendant should pay each a certain Sum yearly to A, for the Use of Mrs. Bird, their Mother. Broderick took an Exception to the Award, that this was to award a Thing to be done to a third Person, who is a Stranger to the Submission, and consequently of a Matter out of the Power of the Arbitrators; but Holt Chief Justice was of Opinion, that a general Award of Money to a Stranger was good; for it shall be intended the Submittants were bound as Trustees, or were liable to pay the same; and the Payment shall be intended for their Benefit, unless the contrary appear; but Powel was of a contrary Opinion, and he faid it must appear to be for their Benefit; and it shall not be so intended, unless it does appear; but in the principal Case he held, that it should be intended to be for their Benefit, or rather that it appeared to be fo, because the Payment was to the Use of the Mother; but no Judgment was given. 1 Salk. 74.

SECT. VII.

An Award must appoint the Doing of a Ching that is reasonable, possible, and lawful.

1. I T has been often observed, that the Arbitrators being Judges of the Parties own chusing, the Law will not countenance nor regard any Complaints, which may be made with Respect to the Unrea-

The Compleat Arbitrator

Unreasonableness or Severity of the Award, unless it appears to be so unreasonable or severe as to be against Law, or impossible to be performed; and therefore it is, that we have but few Cases, wherein it has been attempted to set aside the Award barely on this Account; however, it is said that,

2. If an Award be, that one shall serve the other two Years in Sarisfaction of an Action, he is not

bound to perform it. 9 E.4. 44. and town

g. If there be a Submission of all Controversies between the Parson of A and the Parishioners there, and the Arbitrators award, that the Parishioners at all Times shall give Notice to the Parson, when they shear their sheep, so that the Parson or his Servants may be there, it is a good Award; for the Notice must be given at the Parsonage, where, by Intendment of Law the Parson is always resident; per Croke: But Hutton held, that the Award was void, because, as he said, it was unreasonable that the Parishioner must find the Parson ubicunque, &c. to give him Notice; but it was adjudged asterwards, according to the Opinion of Croke, between Mudy and Osam, Lit. Rep. 30.

But though the Courts of Law are not willing to give any Countenance to Complaints founded barely on the Unreasonableness or Severity of the Award; yet it is otherwise where the Award is impossible to be performed, or where it is against Law.

4. As if the Arbitrators award J. S. to pay a Sum of Money at a Day past, it is void, and the Condition is saved. 8 E. 4. 1. b.

5. So if it be awarded to turn the River of Thames, to release all Right in the Manor of A. when in Truth there is no such Manor. 22 H. 6.

6. So if the Award be, that one shall command the Justices de Banco to make him to levy a Fine before

before a certain Day; this is void; because it is

not in his Power. 19 E. 4. 1.

7. If Two submit themselves to the Award of 7. S. for the Title of certain Copyhold Land, and 7. S. awards that one, scilicet A. shall pay to the other, scilicet B. 61, upon the 21st Day of May, and 61, at Michaelmas next enfuing; and that B. shall release to A. all his Right in the Copyhold, fuper predict' prime die Maii, omitting vicefimo, and awards over, that he shall make farther Assurance within three Days after. &c. this a void Award; for the Award for Making of the Release super. pred' primo die Maii is void, there being no such Day before-mentioned; and it appears that the Release at the faid Day should be the principal Confideration of his Part, who ought to make it; and then the Rest of the Award for further Assurance, which is good, is not fufficient, this being but Part of the Consideration, and Award of his Part. 1 Rol. Abr. 254.

8. But if the Thing to be performed be possible, though it appear extream difficult, yet the Party is

bound to perform ir.

9. And it is faid, that if one be awarded to go to such a Place, and bring from thence a certain Thing which is not there; yet the Party is obliged to convey it first to the Place, and then bring it from thence. 9 H. 7. 15.

10. An Award that one shall pay 20 l. where he hath not 20 d. or twenty Tun of Wine, where he

hath not one, is a good Award. 19 E. 4. 1.

11. It is faid in the Year-Book, 18 E. 4. 21. that if an Award be, to make an Obligation to another immediately after the Award; this is void; for a Space is requifite.

12. But otherwise it is, if he awards that one shall make a Feoffment to the other of one Acre, and shall immediately after deliver the Charters;

this

The Compleat Arbitrator

this is good; so if it be, that he shall make an Obligation, and immediately after pay the Money s

for this is possible. 18 E. 4. 21.

Award be to pay Money, without mentioning Time or Place, it must be intended immediately; and yet good. 2 Brown. 311. And per Holt Chief Justice where no Time is appointed, it must be done in convenient Time, for the Law supplies it; so, if there must be a Request, the Law says it must be in convenient Time after Request; if there needs no Request, but there must be a Tender, that must be likewise in convenient Time. I Salk.

kill, steal, forge a Deed, or the like, it is void.

Co. Lit. 206.

15. If it be awarded, that Money shall be paid to an Infant, and that he shall make a Release; it is void; for the Infant's Release is not good in

Law. 1 70n. 165.

Thing out of his Power, as to deliver up a Deed which is in the Custody of J. S. is void; Agreed per Cur. 12 Mod. 585.

SECT. VIII.

Award void in Part, and good for the Rest; and void in Part, void for the Whole.

with in our Books, relating to the Awards nard. B. R. being void in Part, or in the Whole, is where the 460. Submission is conditional, or with an Ita quod fiat

The Compleat Arbitrator

de Premiss (as it is called) and where it is absolute, and enumerating several particular Matters in Controvers.

held, that if the Submission be conditional, or with an Ita quod, the Award must be made of all such Matters as the Arbitrators had Notice of other-wife it will be void for the Whole.

3. But if the Submission be absolute, or without any such conditional Clause, then the Arbitrators may make an Award of any Part or Parcel of the Matters in Controversy; and it will be good for such Part. Ibid.

Distinction, that Awards are now held to be good in Part, and wold for the Rest; for it is a stated Role concerning Awards that are said to be de &

So That if the Words used in them be in their Nature more comprehensive, and so extensive to Things not within the Submission; yet they shall be intended, that there was no other Matter between the Parties for them to lay hold on, but what was submitted, if the contrary be not shewn; so e converso, if the Words are more narrow and less comprehensive, than to take in all the Matter of Submission; yet it shall be intended, that no more was in Controversy, than what the Words naturally comprehend, if the contrary be not likewise shewn.

6. And though this Rule has prevented in a great Measure Awards from being void on this Account, yet have they been often held good for Part, and void for Part, when they could not be said to come within this Rule.

7. And it appears, that Awards (though the Submission was conditional) have been held good, though the Arbitrators made an Award of Part of the

the Matters in Controverly, of which they had Notice, especially if they awarded general Releases, 1 Saund, 32.

8. And that where an Award was made of the Whole, and Part happened to be good, and Part void; yet it has been held good for that Part which was well awarded, and void for the Rest; especially if that good Part did not depend on that which was void, as appears by the following Cases.

g. If upon a Submission by A. and B. of all Suits between them concerning certain Tithes, the Award be, that A. shall pay to B. such a Sum of Money, and that B. shall suffer all Suits to be discontinued which he hath against A. where he hath other Suits which do not concern the said Tithes; by which the Award is void for this; yet the Award is good, for the Rest; for this is not so entire as a Release. Adjudged in Cam. Scac. 1 Rol. Rep. 362.

ing, and the Award is, that he shall not prosecute any Action depending or arising, till the Award made, where there are mean Actions depending between the Submission and the Award, by which the Award is void for these, yet the Award is good for those which are submitted; because this is not so entire, but that this Part of the Award, which is good, may be performed. Between Sayer

and Sayer, I Rol. Abr. 258, 1 1 00000 0000 000

11. If the Submission be of all Matters depending, &c. and the Award is, that one, scilict A. shall pay to the other, scilicet B. 1000 l. at such Days, &c. and surther awards, that all Actions, Controverses and Matters in Difference whatsoever, between the said Parties, presently shall cease, determine, and be void; and it is surther awarded, that each Party shall make general Releases of all Matters and Demands between them sill such a Day, which, by Admirtance, comprehends the Ob-

ligation

ligation of Submission; yet, although this Award is void as to the Release, because, if it should be made, it would release the Obligation of Submission; yet the Award is good, in as much as there is an Award of both Sides, preter this, feilicet the Payment of the 1000 l. and also that all Matters in Controversy between them shall cease, which is good; and so the Award of both Parts, and Recompence to them. 1 Rol. Abr. 258, 259.

Award, and in the first Part of the Award its awarded, that all Suits and Controversies shall cease, &c. and though in all the Award afterwards nothing is well awarded, but only on one Part; yet it was agreed by the Court, that this is a good and mutual Award on the first Part only. Between Har-

ris and Knipe, 1 Lev. 58.

13. In an Assumpsit for the Performing an Award, the Defendant pleaded, that the Submission was conditional, and that 41. was due to him for Fees as an Attorney, of which he gave Notice to the Arbitrators; but that they notwithstanding awarded him to pay 61. and that each Party should give mutual Releases; and though it was objected, that the Submission being conditional, or with an Ita quod, they should have made an Award of all that was notified to them; but in this Case it was held to be good enough; for they having awarded Releases, the Award was thereby mutual, and perhaps they did not deem this a just Debt. Between Birks and Trippet, 1 Saund. 32.

14. If A. and B. submit to the Award of J. S. and he awards that A. shall pay to B. 10 l. and that B. shall pay to A. the Expences at the Making the Award; and that, upon the Performance of the Whole, they shall make to one another general Releases; this is a good Award; for the Releases ought to be made upon the Performance of that

which

The Compleat Arbitrator.

which is well awarded, and not stay till the Performance of that which is void. 2 Lev. 3. Same

Point adjudged. 3 Lev. 413.

15. If in an Action of Debt upon an Award, the Plaintiff declares, that the Arbitrators did make an Award, that the Defendant should pay unto the Plaintiff 101. this is a good Declaration, though nothing is shewn to have been awarded on the other Side; for it is sufficient for the Plaintiff to set forth that Part of the Award which intitles him to his Action. 1 Leon. 72.

16. If the Condition of an Obligation be to perform an Award, and the Award is, that the Obligor and a Stranger shall pay to the other Party 10 l. though this is void as to the Stranger, yet it is good as to the Obligor, and he is bound to per-

form it. 1 Rol. Abr. 244.

17. If the Arbitrators award, that one shall make an Assurance of certain Land within the Submission, to the other and his Wise, where the Wise is a Stranger to the Submission; and therefore the Award is void as to her; yet the Award is good for the Rest; for he ought to make the Assurance to the Party, the Husband. 1 Rol. Abr. 259.

18. So if an Award be, that one shall make a Lease for Life to the other, the Remainder to a Stranger in Fee; though as to the Remainder this is a void Award; yet it is good as to the particular

Estate. Cro. Eliz. 758.

19. If Two submit themselves to the Award of J. S. ita quod fiat before Michaelmas, and the Arbitrators award that one shall pay 5 l. to the other for ten Loads of Wood, and awards several other Matters for other Things; and after this Award, if he that is to pay it, can disprove, or better prove the Payment of any of the said Sums before them, or of any of them, before the said Feast of Michael;

then

then so much as is proved shall not be paid at the said Feast; this is a good Award; for the first Part is good, and so thereby the Authority of the Arbitrators ended, and then a Reference to Proof

or Disproof is meerly void. Hob. 218.

Submission of all Matters to the Time of the Award; the Award was made in October, that one of the Parties should release all Actions in December following; per Cur³, 'tis good, unless it should be shewn, that some new Cause of Action intervened. 3 Vin. Abr.

48. pl. 34.

An Award to release all Matters to the Time of the Award, has been held good for a long Time, (though Anciently otherwise) because it shall not be intended, unless the contrary be shewn, that any other Matter arose, between the Submission and making the Award, and if no Matter did arise, the Arbitrator has awarded no more than he should do; and since that a second Reason has been added, that supposing other Matters to have arisen, yet as to that, the Award is void; the general Words being to be expounded of all Matters in Controversy at the Time of the Submission, and as to other Matters arising fince, to be void; and if in such Case, the Party submitting to the Award, should make a Release to the Time of the Submission, it would be a good Performance of the Award. 12 Mod. 116, 117.

Award was that the Defendant pay 10 l. in full of all Demands, and that the Plaintiff release to him, &c. The Court held, that if the Plaintiff would not receive the 10 l. because he would not be obliged to release when the Defendant tendered, and he refused, he was as much obliged to release upon the Tender and refusal, as if he had actually

received the Money. Salk. 74, 75. pl. 14.

The Arbitrators awarded the Bonds of Submiffion to be furrendered. It was objected that this exceeded

The Compleat Arbitratoz.

exceeded their Authority; and Holt Chief Justice held it void; for they would not award any Thing

concerning them. Ld. Raym. 553.

Where the Submission is special of all Controversies between the Plaintiff and Defendant as Administrators, &c. and the Award is, that they shall execute mutual general Releases according to the Extent of the Submission; it was held by Holt Chief Justice, that the special Words of the Submission explain the generality of the Award. Ld.

Raym. 533, 534.

Submission was of all Actions, &c. between the Plaintiff and Defendant. In Debt on the Bond, the Defendant pleaded no Award; the Plaintiff replied, and fet forth an Award of all Actions beween the Plaintiff and Defendant and his Wife, and that the Defendant was to pay the Plaintiff 20 1. in Satisfaction of Law-Charges due to him by the Defendant's Wife, as Executrix of, &c. It was moved in arrest of Judgment, that the Submission should have been of all Actions between the Plaintiff and the Defendant and his Wife; but it was held that it was good as it is, because upon the Defendant's Inter-marriage with his Wife, who was the Widow and Executrix of her former Husband, the Plaintiff had an immediate Demand on him; and the Court being of that Opinion, held the Award good; and so the Judgment was affirmed. 8 Mod. 212.

Where Arbitrators direct that an Application shall be made to Parliament to confirm their Award, such Award is not binding, unless an Act of Parliament be applied for, and obtained pursuant to such Direction. 2 Eq. Cas. Abr. 93. pl. 7. 2 Atk. Rep. 182. pl. 157. S. C. but not S. P.

Till King James the First's Time the Law was held all along, that an Award void in Part was void in toto, but then, as it appears in Hob. and Hutt. that an Award might be void in Part and good in M

Pars :

The Compleat Arbitrator

Part ; per Holt Chief Justice. 12 Med. 534. Ld.

Raym. 715.

A Difference taken where the Thing to be done on one Side is only applied to one particular Thing of the other Side, there though the Award be void in other Parts, it may be good in that Part; fecus where a particular Thing of one Side is applied by the Award to all that is to be done of the other Side, if any of those Things be ill awarded the Award cannot be good for the Rest;

per Powel Justice. 12 Mod. 587.

And if an Award were, that one of the Parties with his Wife and Son join in a Conveyance to the other, land the other pay him 100 l. that award is good as to a Conveyance to be made by himself, and if that only had been awarded for the 100 l. it had been well; but fure such Award would be wholly void; for the other was to have had a Title made to him from the Party, his Wife and Son, and it would be unreasonable if it were that one should be obliged to pay his Money, and not have such Title made to him as the Arbitrators designed;

per Powel Justice. 12 Mod. 587.

It has been often resolved, that if an Award be void in Part, as being only Exparts, yet if it be mutual for another Part it shall be good for that Part; per Powel Justice, and he cited 10 Rep. 131.b. Osborn's Case, where if an Award be of some Matter within the Submission, and for that void as to that Part, and though it appears by the Award that it designed both should be Recompense of what is to be done of the other Side, yet if there be ever so small a Matter to make it mutual, is shall stand for the Matter within the Submission; but he said, that this was Duras Serma, and that Judgment was after reversed upon a Writ of Error, and that the Rule put there will not hold of the Extent which Goke gave it. 12 Mod. 587.

Though-

The Compleat Arbitratoz.

Though an Award may be void in Part and good for the Reft, yet this must not be when it is void in that Part that concerns the Justice of the Award; per Parker Chief Justice in delivering the

Opinion of the Court. 10 Med. 204.

One recovered 90 l. Damages in Waste, and then the Master is submitted to Reference; and it is awarded that the Defendant should at one Time pay 10 l. to the Plaintiff, and that at another Day he should pay him 15 l. and that for Payment thereof another and the Defendant should become bound in a Bond; this being good in Part, though void for the Rest, was held good; but Powel Justice who sited the Case, said sure that was hard, and would not pass at this Day. 12 Mod. 587.

An Award of a Covenant to indemnify against the Acts of a third Person, and the Costs and Damages therein, is void. Admitted. Arg. Fitz-gib.

270.

Motion for an Attachment for Nonpayment of Money awarded under a Reference per Regulam Cur'. Defendant shewed for Cause, that the Arbitrators being by the Rule confined to state Plaintiff's Demand only, were debarred from the Confideration of Defendant's Demand on Plaintiff: That Defendant having brought his Action against Plaintiff, Plaintiff had pleaded the General Isfue, and given Notice to fet off his Demand under the Award. Per Cur: It appears that Demand of the Money awarded was made, and Defendant in Contempt June 10. the Notice to set off was not till June 24. If Defendant pays the Money it cannot be fet off. Plaintiff refuging to consent to a Reference to Prothonotary, Rule was made Abfolute for Attachment, but ordered to stay a Month in the Officer's Plands. Barnes 42.

I ni biev od van bawA na do odT w ad je SiE CaT. v IX S all ad boog

void in that Part that concerns the

Concerning the Performance of the 21.

I. THE Award being made by the Arbitrators, it is incumbent on each Party to perform whatever is awarded on his or their Part to be done; provided it be made in such Manner as the Law prescribes; for it seldom happens that any Thing else will excuse the Parties.

2. But if the Award be void, as being against Law, the Parties are not obliged to perform it, though the Submission be by Bond, or otherwise.

Dal. 43.

3. Yet it is faid, that if after such void Award made, there be an Assumpsit to person it, this shall

bind, for Volenti non fit Injuria. Noy 62.

4. If an Award be, that each of the Parties shall discontinue the Actions which they have one against the other, (admitting this is good, though it is not final) this is a good Award; for if the Court will not suffer a Discontinuance to be made, the Party shall be excused. 3 Leon. 62.

5. It is held, that where a Thing is awarded to be done, which afterwards becomes impossible by the Act of God, that the Party is excused; as if an Award be, to deliver a Horse before such a Day, and he dies before the Day, the Party is ex-

cused. 21 E. 4. 70.

6. But if a Thing awarded to be done, becomes impossible by the Act of a Stranger, how far that will excuse the Non-performance, quare, and see Mod. 27, 28.

7. In Debt upon an Obligation, conditioned for the Performance of an Award, by which the Parties

The Compleat Arbitratoz.

Parries were obliged to give mutual Releases, the Defendant pleaded, that he made a Release to the Plaintiff, and delivered it to J. S. for his Use; and this was held a good Performance, for that the Desendant could not plead Non est factum; neither could he countermand it; and as the Arbitrators had not appointed any Place where the Releases should be delivered; if the Plaintiff should absent himself, it would be very inconvenient. Cro. Eliz.

54.

8. If in Debt upon an Obligation, conditioned for the Performance of the Award of J. S. the Defendant pleads, That whereas there was a Suit in Chancery by the now Defendant, against the now Plaintiff, for such a Cause, &c. the said J. S. did award that the said Suit should cease, and that the now Plaintiff should stand acquitted de qualibet materia in eadem contenta, and avers that he did not any further prosecute the said Suir, but that the now Plaintiff always after Stetit inde quietus; this is a good Plea, without shewing any Discharge in Fasto; and the Award being, that he staret acquietatus, it is no more, than that by that Award he should be acquitted. Cro. Jac. 339.

9. In Debt upon an Obligation for Performance of an Award, which was, That the Plaintiff should not prosecute, nor proceed in the same Term, in such an Action; and it was held by the Court to be a good Award; and it was likewise agreed, that the Award being, that he should not prosecute in such an Action in the same Term, that the Entry of Continuance from Term to Term is not any Breach; and by two Justices, if one be obliged that he shall not continue such a Suit; if he continue it by Attorney, it is a Breach of the Obligation; but if the Attorney enter the Continuance without his Privity, it is no Breach. Cro. Jac. 525.

M 3 Vide

The Compleat Arbitrator.

Vide the oth Chapter, concerning pleading of A-

wards, what shall be a Breach, &c.

If the Arbitrators award Releases ab initio until the Time of the Award, and the Party releases until the Time of the Submission, this is a good Performance of the Award; per Holt Chief Justice. Ld. Raym. 116.

SECT. X.

Decedents of different kinds of Awards.

An Award in common Form, when the Submission is by Bond.

tor Burn very judiciously obferves that Awards are not comprehended in any Expresfion in the Stamp Acts. unless under the Word Obligatory Instrument; and also that if they are comprehended under those Words they are liable only to a double Sixpenny Stamp; they are always engroffed on an Half

Though Doc- TO all People to whom this present writing of Award shall come, A. B. and C. D. of, &c. fend Greeting. Whereas great Variance, Strife, Debate and Controversy hath heretofore been had, moved and stirred, between E. F. of, &c. and G. H. of, &c. of, for and concerning, &c.-For the appealing and ending whereof, either of the faid Parties, by their mutual Affents, Consents and Agreements, have submitted and bound themfelves either to the other, by their feveral Writings Obligatory, bearing Date, &c. in the Sum of. &c. to stand to, obey, abide, observe, perform, fulfil and keep the Award, Order, Arbitrament, Judgment, final End and Determination of us the faid A. B. and C. D. Arbitrators indifferently elected and chosen between the said Parties, to arbitrate. award, order, &c. - of and for the Premisses, as by the faid feveral Obligations, with the Conditions for the Performance thereof, relation thereunto had, more at large may appear: Now know ye. That we the faid Arbitrators, having taken upon us the Bufiness and Charge of the said Award, and willing

willing to fet the faid Parties at Peace and Concord, Crown Stamp. by making a final End and Determination concern- as being coming the Premisses in Controversy; and having taken prehended good Advice and Deliberation, and heard, and we prefume under the examined their Proofs and Allegations concerning Word Deed the faid Premisses in Controversy, do make, pub- Poll in the lish, declare and give up this our Award concern. Stamp A&. ing the Premisses, in Manner and Form following, viz. First, We award, order, judge and determine, that, &c. also we award, &c. Finally, we award, judge and determine, &c. In Witness whereof we, the faid Arbitrators, have put our Hands and Seals the Day of

Another Form of an Award, upon a Submission by Bond.

O all People, to whom this prefent Writing Stamp as. 6d. of Award shall come, A. B. of, Gc. and C. D. of, &c. Arbitrators indifferently chosen, elected and named, by and between E. F. of. &c. and G. H. of, &c. to afbitrate, award, order, judge and determine, of, for, upon and concerning all and all Manner of Action and Actions, Cause and Causes of Action, Suits, Bills, Bonds, Specialties, Judgments, Executions, Extents, Quarrels, Controversies, Trespasses, Damages and Demands whatfoever, at any Time before our faid Election. had, made, moved, brought, commenced, fued, prosecuted, done, suffered, committed, or depending by or between the faid Parties, as by the feveral Bonds or Writings Obligatory of the faid Parties, with the Condition thereof, relation being thereunto had, more fully and at large may appear. Now know ye, That we the faid Arbitrators, in pursuance of our said Election, and the Power given us thereby for the ending of all Matters to us M 4

therein

therein submitted, and for the suture Peace and Quiet of the said Parties concerning the Premisses, do arbitrate, award, order, judge and determine thereupon as solloweth: First, &c.—In Witness whereof we, the said Arbitrators, have to these our present, &c. interchangeably set our Hands and Seals the Day of Ann. Dom. 1730.

The Form of an Ampirage, briefly thus:

Stamp 2s. 6d. TO all People to whom this present Writing shall come, I A. B. of C. &c. Umpire, indifferently chosen by E. F. of, &c. and G. H. of, &c. having deliberately heard and understood the Griefs and Allegations, and Proofs of both the faid Parties, and willing, as much as in me lieth, to fet the faid Parties at Unity and good Accord, do by these Presents arbitrate, award, order, deem, decree and judge, That the faid E. F. his Executors or Administrators, shall well and truly pay, or cause to be paid unto the said G. H. his Executors, Administrators or Assigns, at or in the, &c. the full Sum of ten Pounds of lawful Money of Great Britain, on the first Day of May next ensuing the Date hereof; and that upon Payment thereof, either of the faid E. F. and G. H. shall seal, subscribe, and, as his feveral Act and Deed, deliver unto the other of them a general Release in Writing, of all Matters, Actions, Suits, Causes of Actions, Bonds, Bills, Covenants, Controversies and Demands whatfoever; which either of them may, might, or in any wife ought to have, of, and against the other of them, by Reason aforesaid, or Means of any Matter, Cause or Thing whatsoever, from the Beginning of the Word until the 29th of April, (the Date of the Bonds of Submission.) In Witness whereof

whereof I have hereunto fet my Hand and Seal the Day of in the Year of the Reign of, &c. Ann. Dom. 1730.

Another Form of an Award or Arbitration, made by two Arbitrators.

O all to whom these Presents shall come, or Stamp 25, 6d. may concern, or to all to whom this present Writing of Award indented shall come, We 7. C. of S. in the County of, &c. and J. W. of, &c. fend Greeting. Whereas J. E. of, &c. having a Lease of a Farm of Lands, called, &c. and situate in, &c. and now in his the faid 7. E.'s Possession. did agree to refer the Valuation, Appraisement and Estimation, as well of the Term of Years then therein to come, as also all the Iron Mine, Woods, Underwoods, Repairs, and all other Matters in Dispute between the said J. E. and J. W. of W. in the faid County, Yeoman, of, for, touching and concerning the faid J. W.'s taking and accepting of such Lease, Iron Mine, Repairs, Woods, Underwoods, Wood-Grounds and other Matters touching and concerning the same: In pursuance whereof the faid J. E. and J. W. have bound themselves either to the other in the Penal Sum of 100%. by their feveral Obligations, bearing Date with Conditions there under-written, to stand to, obey, abide, observe, perform, fulfil and keep the Award. Order, Arbitration, final End and Determination of them the faid J. C. and J. W. indifferently named, elected and chosen, by the mutual Consent, and at the earnest Request and Perition of both the faid Parties; so that the faid Award was made in Writing, ready to be delivered to the faid Parties in Difference, or to such of them as should defire the same, on or before, &c. as in and by the said CbObligations and Conditions thereof more plainly doth appear. Now know ye, That we the faid J. C. and J. W. having taken upon us the Burthen and Charge of the faid Award, and having deliberately and at large heard, examined, viewed and confidered of the Value, Estimation and Contents of the said Farm, Goods, Chattels and Premisses, do by these Presents award, deem, adjudge and order, in Manner and Form sollowing; that is to say, First, We do award, order and judge, That, &c. (and so having set down the Particulars of the Award, you may conclude thus, viz) and for the better Attestation and Consirmation of this Award, we the said Arbitrators have hereunto set our Hands and Seals this, &c.

The form of an Award by one Arbitrator, or Ampire.

O all Christian People to whom this present Stamp 2s. 6d. Writing of Award shall come, J. E. of B. in the County of, &c. Esq; sendeth Greeting. Whereas divers Suits, Variances, Controversies and Debates heretofore have been moved, and depending between E. T. of, &c. of the one Part, and R. H. of, &c. of the other Part, for pacifying, ordering and ending whereof, the faid E. T. and R. H. have bound themselves either to the other in the Sum of 100 l. by their feveral Obligations bearing Date the 23d Day of November last past, with Conditions there under-written, to stand to, obey, abide, perform, fulfil and keep the Award, Order, Arbitrament, final End and Determination of the faid J. E. indifferently elected and chosen, by the mutual Confent, and at the earnest Request and Petition of both the faid Parties, to arbitrate, award, judge, order and determine of, for, and concerning

concerning all and all Manner of Action, and Actions, Cause and Causes of Action, Suits, Bills, Bonds, Specialties, Judgments, Executions, Extents, Quarrels, Controversies, Trespasses, Damages and Demands whatfover, at any Time heretofore had, made, moved or depending between the faid Parties, by Reason of any Act, Matter, Cause, or Thing whatsoever, from the Beginning of the World unto the Day of the Date of the faid Obligations; so that the same Award was made in Writing, or otherwise ready to be delivered to the faid Parties in Difference, or to fuch of them as should desire the same, on or before the, &c. as in and by the faid Bonds, or Writings Obligatory, it doth and may more fully and at large appear. Now know ye, That the faid J. E. of his good Will and Favour which he beareth to the faid Parties, and out of the great Respect he hath to their future Quiet and Unity, taking upon him the Charge and Burthen of the faid Award, and having deliberately and at large heard and examined, and confidered the Grievances, Allegations, Witnesses, and Evidences of both the said Parties, doth by these Presents arbitrate, award, judge, deem, order and determine, That the faid E. T. do and shall pay, or cause to be paid unto the said R. H. 100 l. of, &c. at or upon, &c. and that upon Payment thereof, either of them the faid E. T. and R. H. shall feal and subscribe, and, as his several Act and Deed, deliver unto the other of them a general Release in Writing, of all Matters, Actions, Suits, Cause and Causes of Actions, Bonds, Bills, Covenants, Controversies and Demands whatfoever either of them hath, may, might, or in any wife ought to have against the other of them, by Reason of the Matters aforesaid, or by Reason or Means of any Matter, Cause or Thing whatfoever, from the Beginning of the World to, ₿c.

&c. (the Date of the Bonds of Submission.) In Witness, &c.

An Award between Executors at Controverly about the Tellator's Goods, made by four Arbitrators.

Stamp 28. 6d. T O all People to whom this present Writing of Award Indented shall come, We H. T. of, &c. W. N. of, &c. A. H. of, &c. J. H. of, &c. fend Greeting. Whereas lately heretofore a

Suit, Variance or Controversy was had and moved by or between R. K. of, &c. of the one Part, and G. K. of, &c. of the other Part, for and touching the Execution of the Last Will and Testament of C. P. late of L. Widow, deceased; who had thereby ordained, made and appointed the faid R. and G. Executors of her faid Last Will and Testament: for the final ending whereof, and of all other Controversies betwixt the faid Parties, they have submitted themselves to the Award, Arbitrament and Judgment of us the faid Arbitrators, in such Sort or Manner as by several Obligations of 50 l. apiece in that Behalf made by either of the faid Parties to the other of them, dated, &c. with their feveral Conditions, plainly may appear; the Charge and Business of which said Award and Arbitrament, we the faid Arbitrators have taken upon us, and have throughly heard and confidered of all the Controversies between the said Parties, and of their Allegations, Declarations and Answers on both Sides; and now we do by these Presents make and give up our Award, Arbitrament, final End, Order and Judgment, of and upon the same Premisses, between the said Parties, in Manner and

Form following, viz. First, We do award, order and judge, by these Presents, that the said G. K.

and

and his Executors, shall permit and suffer the faid R. K. to have and enjoy to his own Use, that Mourning Gown or Cloak, which he had after the Decease of the said C. P. against her Burial; And also that the faid G. his Executors, Administrators or Affigns, shall on the 10th Day of, &c. between the Hours of, &c. pay, or cause to be paid to the faid R. K. his certain Attorney, Executors or Administrators, at, &c. the Sum of, &c. and then and there also shall clearly and freely give and deliver to the faid R, his Executors, Administrators or Affigns, fix Silver Difhes, and a Silver Bowl Gilt, the whole weighing 29 Ounces, which late belonged to C. P. And we do further award, &c. That the faid R. his Executors or Administrators, shall not at any Time hereafter either deal or intermeddle with the Execution of the Last Will or Testament of the said C. P. or claim, take or challenge any of the Goods or Chattels which were of the faid C. other than only the Dishes and Bowl aforesaid; or receive, take, acquit and discharge any Debt or Duty which was owing or growing due to the faid C. whilft she lived; And also that the faid R. K. his Executors, Administrators and Assigns, from Time to Time, and at all Times hereafter, shall agree and consent, permit and suffer, that the said G. his Executors and Administrators, shall and may peaceably and quietly have and take, and by all lawful Means recover and enjoy all and fingular the Goods, Chattels and Debts which were of the said C. at the Time of her Decease. other than only the Dishes and Bowl aforesaid, without any Let, Claim, Hindrance or Impediment of the faid R. his Executors or Administrators; And also that the said R. his Executors and Affigns, upon Payment and Delivery to him, or any of them, made of the Sum of Money, Difhes and Bowl aforefaid, in Form aforefaid, shall deliver.

liver, or cause, Gr. to the faid G. K. his Executors or Administrators, one sufficient Acquittance testifying the Receipt of the same Money, Dishes and Bowl aforesaid. And moreover We the said H. E.c. do hereby award, &c. that the faid G. his Executors or Administrators, at the only Costs and Charges of the faid G. his Executors or Administrators, shall well and fufficiently at all Times hereafter, upon reasonable Request to him or them to be made by him the faid R, his Executors, Administrators or Assigns, fave and keep harmless and indemnified the same R. his Executors and Administrators. of and from all and all Manner of Actions, Suits, Costs, Damages, Judgments, Executions and Demands, which shall be had or brought against the faid R. his Executors or Administrators, by Reason or Means that the faid R. did take upon him to be Executor of the faid Testament of the said C. And also that the said G. shall pay for the Drawing and Ingroffing of these Presents. And lastly, We do award that either of the faid Parties, their Executors and Administrators, for their several Parts. shall from henceforth surcease from all farther Suit or Suits, Quarrels, Controversies and Differences whatfoever, both in Law and Equity, for any Matter between them two had, stirred or depending, at any Time before the Day of the Date of the faid Obligations for standing to this Award, &c. In Witness, &cc.

An Award in a Controverly, ariting by Peans of a Copartnerthip.

Stamp 25, 6d. TO all to whom this present Writing of Award
Thall come, T. S. of, &c. and S. B. of, &c.
fend Greeting. Whereas heretofore there have been
divers Strifes and Variances moved, and are yet
dependin g

depending between R. H. of, &c. and J. C. of, &c. Executors of T. C. late of, &c. of the one Part. and H. B. of &c. of the other Part; for the appeafing and final ending whereof, the faid Parties have jointly and severally consented and entered into feveral Obligations, dated, &c. and which the faid Parties have interchangeably fealed and delivered each to the other, and in the Conditions thereof have constituted, nominated and appointed us, the faid T. S. and S. B. their Judges and Arbitrators, to award, arbitrate, ordain, order, judge and determine, of, for, touching and concerning all and all Manner of Actions, as well Real as Perfonal, Suits, Quarrels, Controversies, Strifes, Variances, Accounts, Reckonings, Sums of Money, Cofts, Debts, Does, Damages and Demands whatfoever, had, moved, stirred or depending between the said Parties, in any Manner of wife, from the Beginning of the World until the Date of the faid Obligations, fo as the same Arbitrament, Order or Judgment were had or made by us the said Arbitrators, and put in Writing under our Hands and Seals, ready to be delivered to the faid Parties, or any of them, before the last Day of this Instant, &c. as by the faid Obligations, with their feveral Conditions there under-written, more fully may appear: And for as much as we, the faid Arbitrators, have taken the Charge and Burthen of the faid Judgment and Arbitrament upon us, and thereupon have deliberately heard and examined all Variances and Controversies between the faid Parties, and their Allegations, Answers and Proofs in that Behalf alledged, 'made and produced, do now thereof and thereupon make, ordain, and give up, in and by this prefent Writing Indented, under our Hands and Seals, our full and final Award, Arbitrament, Ordinance and Judgment, in Manner and Form following; that is to fay, That subereas the faid T. C. while

while he lived, and the faid H. B. were Copartners. and jointly Trafficked together in divers Things. the Accounts whereof we have feen and examined: we do thereupon award, arbitrate, ordain and judge, by these Presents, that the said R. H. and 7. C. or one of them, their Executors and Administrators, shall well and truly pay, or cause to be paid to the faid H. B. his Executors, &c. the Sum of, &c. at, &c. that is to fay, &c. And also we do by these Presents arbitrate, award, ordain and judge, that the faid H. his Executors and Administrators, shall from Time to Time, upon the reasonable Request of the said R. or J. their Executors or Administrators, consent, suffer and agree, that at the equal and indifferent Costs and Charges of the faid R. J. and H. and their several Executors and Administrators, all and all Manner of lawful Suits, Actions, Recoveries, Judgments and Executions, shall and may in the Name and Names of the faid H. his Executors and Administrators, be had and purfued with Effect against all and every Person and Persons, of and upon the Books of the faid Accompts, and all and every Bond or Bonds, and Specialties whatfoever, which the faid H. hath, of, or touching-all or any of the Debts specified in the Schedule to these Presents annexed: and all and every the Profits, Commodities and Advantages whatfoever, to be had or gotten by Means of any the faid Suits, Actions, Recoveries, Judgments and Executions, or by Means of any of them, shall be equally had, parted and divided, Part and Part-alike, the one Half thereof to the faid R. E. his Executors and Administrators. and that neither of the faid Parties, nor their feveral Executors or Administrators, shall wittingly or willingly, without the Consent of the other Party, or of his or their Executors or Administrators, do, procure, or cause any Thing to be done,

to hinder or defeat any the faid lawful Suits. Actions, Recoveries, Judgments, or Executions, to be had as aforefaid, of or for any the faid Debts, or to let or hinder the dividing of all Profits, Commodities or Advantages thereof or thereby to be had or gotten, contrary to the true Intent and Meaning aforesaid. And further we do award, order and judge, by these Presents, that the said H. B. upon reasonable Request, shall on the first Day of, &c. now next enfuing, make, feal and deliver, or cause to be delivered to the said R. H. and 7. C. or to one of them, or otherwise for both their Uses, at, or in, &c. between the Hours of, &c. of the same Day, or otherwise in the mean Time before hand, one lawful Acquittance general, of and for all Actions, Suits, Quarrels and Demands, from the Beginning of the World until the Day of the Date of the Obligations aforefaid. likewise we do award, that the said R. and J. upon the like reasonable Request, shall on the said, &c. now also next ensuing, deliver, or cause to be delivered to the faid H. B. at, or in, &c. between the Hours of, &c. or otherwise, &c. one lawful Acquittance general, of and for all Actions, &c. ut supra. In Witness, &c.

To pay Money, and fign Releafes.

O all to whom this present Writing of Award Stamp 28. 6d. shall come, J. A. of, &c. send Greening. Whereas Differences have arisen, and are depending, between B. of, &c. of the one Part, and C. of, &c. of the other Part, for the Composing and final Ending whereof, they the faid B. and C. by Two feveral Obligations under their Hands and Seals, bearing Date the, &c. Day of, &c. now last past, became and stand bound to each other in the Penalty of, &c. a-piece, conditioned respectively to

fland to, and perform the Award and Determination of me the faid A. Arbitrator, indifferently elected and named between the faid Parties, to award, order, judge and determine of and concerning all Manner of Actions, and Causes of Action, Suits, Bonds, Bills, Specialties, Judgments, Executions, Controversies, Damages and Demands between them, so as my Award should be made in Writing, and ready to be delivered to the faid Parties in Difference, on or before the, &c. then next enfuing, as by the faid recited Obligation and Conditions, Relation, &c. Now know ye, that I the faid A. having taken upon me, the Burthen of the faid Award, and fully heard and examined the faid Parties in Difference, and their several Proofs and Allegations, and duly confidered thereof, do, within the Time to me in that Behalf limited. make and declare this my Award between them, as followeth, viz. First, I award and order, that the faid C. his Heirs, Executors or Administrators, do and shall, on or before the, &c. at the Dwellinghouse of R. B. situate in Lombard-street, London, well and truly pay, or cause to be paid unto the faid B. his Executors, Administratros or Assigns, the Sum of, &c. which Money being paid, as aforesaid. I Award shall be in full Satisfaction and Discharge of all Actions and Suits, and Causes thereof, Bills, Bonds, Specialties, Debts, Dues, Sum and Sums of Money, Accounts, Reckonings, Judgments, Executions, Extents, Damages, Claims and Demands what soever, between the said Parties. to the faid, &c. Day of, &c. now last past; and that the faid B. shall accept thereof accordingly. being paid as aforesaid; And lastly I Award, that the faid Sum of, &c. being paid, as aforefaid, they the faid Parties, their Executors and Administrators, shall, on the said Day of, &c. now instant, and at the Place aforesaid, execute and deliver to each

each other respectively, a sufficient Release and Discharge of and from all Actions and Suits, and Causes thereof, Bills, Bonds, Specialties, Debts, Sums of Money, Accounts, Reckonings, Judgments, Extents, Executions, Damages, Claims and Demands whatsoever, in Law and Equity, between the said Parties, from the Beginning of the World to the said — Day of — now last past (the Date of the Bonds).

An Award to pay Moncy, being the Ballance of an Account, and to pay back Part of the Money received with an Appzentice, and to deliver up his Indentures to be cancelled, and to figh Releases.

O all, &c. I A. of, &c. fend Greeting. Stamp 25. 6d. Whereas Differences and Suits have arisen, and are depending between B. of, &c. of the one Part, and C. of, &c. of the other Part, for the Composing and final ending whereof, they the faid B. and C. by two feveral Obligations under their Hands and Seals, bearing Date, &c. became and stand bound to each other, in the Sum or Penalty of, &c. a-piece, conditioned respectively to stand to and perform the Award, Order, Arbitrament and Determination of D. and E. Arbitrators indifferently named and chosen, as well on the Part of the faid B. as of the faid C. and C. G. her Son, to arbitrate, award, judge and determine of and concerning all and all Manner of Actions and Caufes of Action, Suits, &c. (as usual) so as their said Award should be made in Writing, ready to be delivered to the said Parties, on or before the, &c. but if the faid Arbitrators should not make such their N 2 Award

Award of and concerning the Premisses, by the Time aforesaid, then to stand to and perform the Award, Umpirage and Determination of me the faid A. so as my said Award or Umpirage should be made in Writing, ready to be delivered to the faid Parties in Difference, on or before the - Day of the faid Month of --- And the faid Parties did thereby agree, that their faid Submission should be made a Rule of his Majesty's Court of King's Bench at Westminster, pursuant to a late Act of Parliament, entitled, An AEt for determining Differences by Arbitration, as by the faid recited Obligation and Condition, Relation, &c. Now know ye, that I the faid A. having taken upon me the Burthen of the faid Award, and fully, &c. (as usual): First, I award and order, that the said B. his Executors, Administrators or Assigns, do and shall, on or before the, &c. at the Dwelling-house of R. B. &c. well and truly pay, or cause to be paid unto the faid C. her Executors, Administrators or Assigns, the Sum of, &c. due to the said C. from the faid B. in Confideration of his Taking the faid C. G. Son of the faid C. to be his Apprentice, and who is now discharged therefrom, and of all Charges of Suit in and about the same; and I award that the faid Parties shall then also deliver up to each other, and cancel the faid C. G.'s Indentures of Apprenticeship; which said several Sums of Money being paid, as aforefaid, I award shall be in full Satisfaction and Discharge; and that the faid Parties do and shall, at the same Time and Place aforefaid, feal, execute, and deliver unto each other, his and her Executors and Administrators respectively, a sufficient Release and Discharge of, for, and from all Actions, Suits and Causes thereof, Bills, Specialties, Debts, Sums of Money, Accounts, Judgments, Executions, Damages and Demands at Law and Equity, between the faid Parties, as well concerning

concerning any the Accounts between them, as for and concerning the said C. G. and his Service afore-said, or relating thereunto, and for and concerning any other Accounts, Cause or Thing, between the said Parties to the, &c. In Witness, &c.

An Award, ordering a Paster of a Ship to make Dath before a Wagistrate of the Delivery of the Goods beyond Sea, and what fold for, and to procure a Testimony thereof from the Person delivered to; and upon so doing, ordering Poney to be paid him, and a Bond, which he gave for the Sale of the said Goods, to be delivered up, &c.

Oall, &c. We A. and B. of, &c. fend Gree- Stamp 28. 6d. ting. Whereas Differences are arisen, and are depending between C. and D. of the one Part, and E. of, &c. Mariner, of the other Part, which faid Differences the faid Parties have referred to the Award and Determination of us the faid A. and B. fo as we should make our Award concerning the same, on or before the, &c. Now know ye, that we the faid A. and B. having taken, &c. (as ufual): First, We award and order, that the said E. shall, within ten Days, make Oath before some Justice of the Peace, or other Magistrate of the City of London, that the two Bales of Merchandize shipped on board the Ship called the R. the faid E. Master. in her late Voyage to Barbadoes, on Account of the faid C. and D. and configned to the faid E. and marked, &c. according to the Invoice of the faid Goods, were bona fide, to the best of his the said E.'s N 3 Knowledge

Knowledge and Belief, fold in Barbadoes by Mr. N. for, &c. per Cent. Advance, and no more; and that he the faid E. for the farther Proof thereof, and better Satisfaction therein, shall and do, by the first Opportunity, procure from Barbadoes aforesaid, an Advice or Testimony thereof from the faid N. confirming the fame; and we further Award, that the faid E. his Executors, Administrators or Assigns, do and shall, within twenty Days now next, truly pay, or cause to be paid unto the faid C. and D. their Executors, Administrators or Assigns, or some or one of them, the Sum of - which we Award is to be, and shall be in full Satisfaction to the faid C, and D, as well for a Box of Hats shipped on board the said Ship R. by the faid C. and D. and mentioned in the Invoice aforefaid, which Hats were left by the faid E. at Barbadoes aforesaid: as also in full of all Monies remaining in the Hands of, or any Ways due and owing to the faid C, And further we award, that the faid Box of Hats, and all Effects and Produce. shall remain and be for the proper Account only of the faid E. and that the faid C. D. shall do any further Act to make and confirm his Title and Interest in and to the same: And lastly we Award that the said C. and D. shall, at the Time of Payment of the faid, &c. as aforefaid, deliver up to the faid E. a Bond or Obligation under his Hand and Seal, whereby he stands obliged for and concerning the Sale and Disposal of the said Goods, or for or concerning the fame to be cancelled; that they shall then likewise discharge him the said E. from the faid two Bales of Goods and Box of Hats, and all Actions, Suits, Accounts and Demands concerning them. In Witness, &c.

Another Form of an Award by three Ar. bitrators, wherein is a special Recital of the Batters to be performed.

O all Christian People to whom this present Stamp 28, 6d. Writing of Award indented shall come, A. B. C. D. and E. F. of, &c. fend Greeting. Whereas divers Suits, &c. between T. W. and 7. S. of, &c. for pacifying, composing, ordering, and ending whereof, the faid T. W. and J. S. have bound themselves either to the other, in the Sum of One thousand Pounds of lawful, &c. by several Obligations bearing Date, &c. with Conditions there under-written to stand to, ut supra, of the faid A. B. C. D. and E. F. Arbitrators indifferently elected and chosen, as well on the Part and Behalf of the faid 7. S. as of the faid T. W. to award, arbitrate, order, rule, judge, end and determine all Manner of Actions, &c. depending between the faid 7. S. and the faid, T. W. fo that the faid A. ward were made and given up in Writing, under the Hands and Seals of all the faid Arbitrators. &c. at, or before the, &c. at, or in the, &c. as by the faid Obligations and Conditions amongst other Things doth and may appear. Now know ye, that the faid A. B. C. D. and E. F. taking upon them the Charge and Burden of the faid Award, and having deliberately heard the Allegations and Proofs of both the faid Parties, do by these Presents arbitrate, award, order, decree and judge of and concerning the Premisses, in Manner and form following, that is to fay, First they do award, order, decree and judge, by these Presents, that the said 7. S. his Executors or Administrators, or some of them, at or before the, &c. at or in the, &c.

Two hundred Pounds of, &c. and at or before the, &c. at or in the faid, &c. other Two hundred Pounds of, &c. in full Satisfaction of 400 l. for Payment whereof the faid J. S. stood bound to the faid T. W. in and by four feveral Obligations, bearing Date, &c. whereof Two are already, &c. as thereby may appear: Also the said Arbitrators do award, &c. that the faid J. S. his Executors or Administrators, or some of them, at their, or some of their Costs and Charges, shall, before the, &c. cause and procure, that all Suits, Bills and Informations heretofore commenced against the said T. W. in any Court or Courts whatfoever, either by or in the Name of the faid 7. S. or by or in the Name of H. S. his Son, or by or in the Name of our Sovereign Lord the King that now is, and of every or any of them, or by or in the Name of any other Person or Persons, by the Confent, Means and Procurement of them. or any of them, and before the, &c. be utterly discontinued and made void: And the said Arbitrators do further award, order, decree and judge by these Presents, that for the true Payment of the faid Sum of 400 l. the faid J. S. and G. S. within two Days next after Tender or Delivery of one Part of this present Award to the said 7. S. shall well and fufficiently make, feal and deliver as their Deeds, to the faid T. W. in, &c. one Obligation or Writing Obligatory, wherein and whereby the faid 7. S. and G. S. shall acknowledge themselves, or either of them, to be jointly and severally bounden to the faid T. W. in the Sum of, &c. with Condition thereupon, in due Form of Law, endorfed or under-written, for the fure Payment of the faid Sum of Two hundred Pounds, of, &c. Parcel of Four hundred Pounds, at or in the, &c. before the, &c. and the other Two hundred Pounds, Residue of the said Sum of 400 l. at or before the.

&c. and at, &c. Also the said Arbitrators do further award, &c. That the faid 7. S. his Executors or Administrators, or some of them, shall and will before, &c. at his and their own proper Costs and Carges, cause and procure to be cancelled and made void, one Recognizance of Two hundred Pounds, bearing Dare the, &c. acknowledged and enrolled in his Majesty's High Court of Chancery, wherein and whereby the faid T. W. flands bounden to the faid 7. S. in the faid Sum. with Condition thereunto annexed, That if the faid T. W. his Heirs, Executors and Administrators. and every of them, should well and truly observe, perform, fulfil and keep, all and fingular the Covenants, Grants, Articles and Agreements, which on his and their Parts are to be observed, &c. contained and specified in one Indenture, bearing Date the, &c. had and made between the faid T. W. of the one Part, and the said J. S. of the other Part, concerning the Marriage of M. M. Son and Heir apparent of the faid T. W. and A. S. Daughter of the faid 7. S. according to the true Intent, Purport and Effect of the faid Indenture; that then the faid Recognizance to be void and of no Effect, or else to stand, &c. as by the said Recognizance and Indenture, Relation being thereunto had, doth and may more fully and at large appear: And also that the faid J. S. his Executors or Administrators, or some of them, shall and will before the Feast of, &c. deliver, or cause to be delivered up unto the faid T. W. the faid Indenture of Covenant concerning the aforesaid Marriage, cancelled or to be cancelled; in Consideration whereof it is further ordained, awarded, decreed and judged by the faid Arbitrators, That he the faid T. W. do and shall, within two Days next after, &c. at, &c. make, Ec. to the said J. S. In Witness whereof the said Arbitrators to both Parts of this present Award Indented

dented have set their Hands and Seals, &c. Dated,

An Award made by Older of Chancery, to be confirmed by a Decree.

or Administrators or Pome of them. And

Stamp 25, 6d.

T O all Christian People to whom these Presents shall come, A. B. of, &c. and C. D. of, &c. fend Greeting. Whereas by Order of the High Court of Chancery, bearing Date, &c. between E. F. &c. Plaintiff, and G. H. &c. Defendant; also between the said G. H. Plaintiff, and E. F. Defendant, it is ordered by and with the Confent of all the faid Parties, that all the Matters and Differences contained and mentioned in the faid feveral Suits, as they then stood in Court, should stand referred to us the said A. B. and C. D. and what Award or Order we should make therein, should be final and concluding to all Parties, so as the faid Award were published under our Hands and Seals before the, &c. then next enfuing, as by the faid Order, Relation being thereunto had, amongst other Things it doth and may more at large appear. Know ye, That we the faid A. B. and C. D. having divers Times heard the Parties to the faid feveral Suits, and examined the feveral and respective Allegations and Pretences therein contained, to the Intent, and that a final End and Conclusion may be of the faid feveral Suits and Controversies, and to every Matter and Thing in Difference relating thereunto, between the said Parties, do hereby make and publish our Award touching and concerning all and fingular the Matters to us referred, as aforesaid, in Manner and Form following, that is to fay, Whereas the faid E. F. did, &c. First, We do order and award, that all and every the Plaintiffs and Defendants, in the faid several Suits

to us referred, shall seal and execute to each other fuch Releases touching all and every the Matters and Things contained or mentioned in the faid feveral Suits, as by any of the faid Parties to the faid Suits shall, at any Time within a Year next ensuing, be reasonably required. And 2dly, to be at the only Costs and Charges of fuch of the faid Parties in whose Behalf the same shall be required, so as the said Releases do not extend to bar or discharge any Matter or Thing hereby awarded to be done or performed. 3dly, We do award, That all and every the Suits between the faid Parties to us referred shall cease, and that no other or further Proceedings or Profecutions in Law or Equity, be at any Time hereafter had, commenced or profecuted by them, or any of them, their Heirs, Executors or Assigns, for or concerning any the Matters or Things in the faid feveral Suits contained; and that the Bills now depending against each other, shall be dismissed without Costs on either Side. In Witness, &c.

CHAP. VII.

how Awards have been con= Arued in Equity.

HE Law and Practice of the Court of Chancery is, in most Respects, conformable to the Law and Practice of the other Courts of Westminfter, in regard to its Proceedings, and the Construction it makes on Awards and Arbitraments, and for the most part requires the same Exactness and

Conformity to Law that the other Courts do, with respect to the subject Matter submitted to the Submission, Parties to the Submission, the Arbitrators, and the Award that they make. But as this Court has in some Instances deviated from the Courts of Law, by decreeing Awards in the Nature of Agreements, and obliging the Parties to a specifick Performance of them; and likewise in being more ready in setting aside Awards, and freeing the Parties from their Obligations, when they were found unreasonable or injurious, by the Ignorance, Partiality, Dishonesty, &c. of the Arbitrators, than other Courts; it will not be improper in this Chapter, to set down all the Cases we have reported on this Head, in our Books of Chancery.

Sect. I. Concerning the Watter to be submitted.

Sect. II. Concerning the Submiffion.

Sect. III. The Parties to the Submis-

Sect. IV. Concerning the Arbitrators and Ampire.

Sect. V. Concerning the Award, and for what Causes it that be set aside.

SECT. I.

Concerning the Watter to be submit. ten.

HE Court of Chancery regularly observes the same Method, with regard to the Matters proper to be submitted, that the other Courts do; and as it seldom assumes a Power more than others over Freeholds, Bonds, or Judgments, which are Things not properly Arbitrable, but are made fo by the Parties entering into Bonds of Submiffion; fo if any Application is made to this Court concerning those Things, it will, according to the Circumstances of the Case, either set aside the Award, and grant an Injunction against the Bond. or will allow the Party (if it be deemed good) to take his Remedy at Law, by fuffering him to enter Judgment on the Bond, &c. But see the fol-

lowing Cafe.

2. The Plaintiff and Defendant had submitted to an Arbitrament by Bond, and an Award was made, fnot binding by Form, by which the Plaintiff was to pay the Defendant 900 l. and to seal a Release to the Defendant; and the Defendant was to assign several Securities he had from the Plaintiff: the Plaintiff fold some Lands to raise the 900 l. expecting the Defendant would receive it, as he gave him Intimation he would, and tendered him the 900 l, and a Release executed by the Plaintiff; and though there was no other Execution on the Plaintiff's Part of the Award, and though the Award was extrajudicial, and not good in Strictness of Law, yet the Lord Chancellor decreed it should be performed in Specie. Decreed between

Norton and Mascall, Pasch. 1687. 2 Vern. 24,

25.

3. The Parties may consent to have their Submission made a Rule of this Court, as well as any other, but then it must be made and inforced purfuant to the Act of Parliament.

4. And if an Award is made pursuant to an Order of Court, the Party ought first to move the Court to confirm the Award, as is done upon a Master's Report, and either Side is at Liberty to

except to it. 1 Vern. 469.

5. If an Award is made a Rule of Court, according to a Submission for that Purpose, and an Attachment is taken out for not obeying the Award, and then the Party dies, against whom the Attachment issues, the Act of Parliament is of no Effect, the Remedy being gone. Mich. 1703. between Webster and Bishop, 2 Vern. 444. Therefore the Submission is usually by Bond as well as by Rule of Court.

A Bill in Equity lies to compel a specifick Performance of an Award to convey an Estate, where the Party submitting has received the Money, in Consideration whereof he is to convey the Estate sued for; and the Court will give Costs, it being a Defence against Conscience for Defendant to take the Money awarded, and yet perform his Part of

the Award. 3 Will. Rep. 187.

It is said that these Decrees may not have been usual, because Awards are commonly to pay Money; in which Cases a Bill in Equity to compel a Performance is improper; but where the Award is to do any Thing in Specie, as to convey an Estate, &c. in such Case, if the Desendant has accepted the Money awarded him in Satisfaction of the Conveyance, it is highly reasonable that he should make the Conveyance; the rather, for that if the Plaintiff had sued the Bond at Law, the De-

fendant

fendant would have been relieved by Bill in Equity, against the Penalty of the Bond, upon a Quantum damnificatus, so that such a Decree as in the principal Case, prevents a Suit in Equity. Ibid. 190.

SECT. II.

Concerning the Submiffion.

1. THE Submission in Chancery is usually by Rule of Court; but if Application is made to set aside an Award, or to stay the Proceedings on the Bond in another Court, the Submission is con-

fidered here in the same Light as at Law.

2. If the Submission to an Award be conditional, Ita quod an Award be made de & super Premissis, &c. then if the Award be not of the Whole, it is void; but if the Submission be not conditional as aforesald, then, though the Award be but of Part of the Matter referred, it is good for so much as it settles, though it leave other Things at large; per Lord Maynard, 2 Vern. 109. And in this last Case an Award may be confirmed in Part, and made void in Part. Vide 1 Chan. C. 40.

SECT. III.

The Parties to the Submission.

1. I F all the Parties to the Suit consent to refer the Matter to J. M. and J. S. one of the Parties signifies his Consent by signing a Paper to that Purpose, so that the Award be made by a certain Day therein limited, and no Award is then made; but afterwards the Court in the Presence of all the Parties (except J. S. who was absent) but his Solicitor consenting on his Behalf, refer it back

to J. M. (but not finally to determine) who made an Award; and it was refolved, that the Solicitor's Consent should not bind his Client; though it was objected and admitted, that an Attorney's Assent to a Reference on Behalf of his Client, should bind him at Law. 18 Car. 2. between Colwell and Child. 1 Chan. Cases 86.

2. An Infant cannot be a Party to a Submission in Equity, neither will a Court of Equity decree an Award to bind an Infant, unless it plainly appears to be for the Infant's Advantage. 1 Chan.

Cafes 279.

3. It A. and B. Executors of J. S. on the one Part, and C. his Widow, on the other, submit to Arbitration, the Arbitrators may make an Award, not only of Matters in Difference between A. and B. jointly, or A. and B. separately, and C. but also of Matters between A. and B. provided they have Knowledge of the whole Fact, and all the Parties Interested are before them. Between Carter and Carter, 1 Vern. 259.

SECT. IV.

Concerning the Arbitratogs and Ampire.

I I F the Submission to an Award be, so as the Arbitrators make their Award at or upon the 27th of March then next; and if the Arbitrators make no Award, then if the Umpire make his Umpirage on the same Day. The Umpire cannot make his Umpirage on the same Day, though the Arbitrators disagree, for they have all that Day to make their Award. 2 Vern. 100.

2. If by the Submission the Arbitrators have Power to chuse an Umpire, and they not agreeing, throw Cross and Pyle which of them should name

The Complete Acultacol.

the Person; and the Umpice thus chosen makes his Umpirage; the Court will fet it afide. 2 Vern.

485.

If the Parties in Court ligh an Order by Confent, to refer their Matters to Arbitrators, finally to Determine, and their Award to be final, and stand ratified by Decree without any Appeal, yet one of the Parties may revoke this Submission; but then the Court will grant an Attachment against him. 1 Chan. Cases 185. Note; This was before the Statute of 9 & 10 W. & M.

4. There was a Submission by Order of Court, and the Award was to be confirmed without Appeal of Exceptions, yet upon Debate Exceptions

to the Award were admitted. 2 Vern. 109.

be farcher faid. That he would never do ree on SECT. N. de baid or brien A

Concerning the Award, and for what Caufes it hall be fet afibe.

1. F two submir themselves to the Arbitrament of J. S. of all Controversies, ita quod, &c. and 7. S. makes an Award of Part only, so that the Award is void in Law, this shall not be made good in a Court of Equity; and a Prohibition. granted to the Council of York accordingly. 1 Rol. Abr. 377.

2. If an Award differs from the Submiffion, it shall be as well void in Equity as at Law. 1 Chan.

Cafes 186.

2. The Plaintiff entered into a Bond of 50 1. futficiently to repair a House by such a Time, and he and the Defendant made two Arbitrators to be Judges of the Sufficiency of the Repairs; one of them alone declared that it was sufficiently repaired : but in an Action brought on this Bond, his Eva-

dence

dence was not allowed, because both were made

Judges of it. Nel. Chan. Cafes 87.

4. Upon a Submission, by Consent and Order of Court, an Award was made, that a Bond should be given by the Guardian, and that the Infant at his full Age should convey the Lands in Question. Per Lord Chancellor Nottingham, When the Parties themselves chuse their own Judges, this Court will not relieve against the Award, unless it be in Case of Corruption, exceeding Authority, and the like; but when there is a Reference by Order of this Court, if it appear unequitable, the Court will not Decree it; and in this Case it being unreasonable that the Guardian should give such a Bond, for the Infant may die, or if he live to Age, may refuse to convey; and therefore he would not Decree it : and he farther faid. That he would never decree an Award to bind an Infant. 28 Car. 2. 1 Chan. Cafes

5. If the Arbitrators appear to have an Interest in the Cargo, touching which the Award is made, and therefore put too great a Value thereon, and in five Days after the Award made, the Money is attached by the Arbitrators, for Money owing to them, the Court will set aside the Award. Hill. 1601. between Earle and Stocker, 2 Vern. 251.

6. If a Submission is to three Arbitrators, or any two of them, and two of them by Fraud or Force will exclude the other, that alone is sufficient to vitiate the Award; or if they have private Meetings, and admit one of the Parties, but give no Notice to the other, but suffer the Party's Attorney whom they admitted, to draw up the Award, such Award shall be set aside for Partiality and Unfairness. Mich. 1705. between Burton and Knight, 2 Vern. 514.

7. If it appears that the Arbitrators went upon a plain Mistake, either as to the Law, or in a Matter

Matter of Fact, the same is an Error appearing in the Body of the Award, and sufficient to set it

afide. 2 Vern. 705.

8. A Submission was to four Arbitrators, and if any Doubt appeared in the Award, it was to be explained by them; after the Death of one of the Arbitrators, one of the Parties suggests, that in a Conveyance of Lands which was to be made to him, and which was intended to be in Fee-simple, the Word Heirs was lest out of the Award, and the three surviving Arbitrators certified, that their Intent was such; and it was Decreed that such Mistake should be amended, and the Party to have a Fee-simple accordingly. I Chan. Rep. 85.

9. The Plaintiff called the Defendant, who was a Butcher, Bankrupt Knave, which being submitted to Reference, the Arbitrators gave him 495 l. to repair his Honour, (as they called it in the Award); and the Court thought the Damages too excessive, and fet aside the Award, but directed a Trial at Law, and the Jury gave him 10 l. 3 Chan. Rep. 76. 2 Vern. 251. S. C. cited, and there it is said, that the Court did not set aside the Award barely for excessive Damages, but because it appeared that one of the Referees was the Butcher's Cousin. And

fee the next Cafe.

Value of 380 l. and his Estate is but 70 l. per Annum, and an Action of Waste is brought against him by him in Remainder, and it is submitted to a Reference by a Rule of Court; but before any Award made the Tenant for Life repairs the Places wasted to 40 l. and sorbids the Arbitrators, and likewise the Umpire, to proceed in making any Award; but not notwithstanding, the Umpire awards the Party 380 l. yet the Court will not see aside the Award, though it was objected that 380 l. is near the Value of an Estate for Life of 70 l. per Annum.

Annum, there appearing no Fraud or Collusion in the Matter. Pasch. 1683. between Brown and

Brown, I Vern. 157.

The sale

the Award shall be set aside; as if they award one to pay a Sum of Money the 24th of January, and the Award bears Date after the said 24th of January; so if it be repugnant; as if they award one to deliver up an Obligation of 800 l. in Satisfaction of 400 l. of 1000 l. which he was to pay, and to vacate a Suit in Satisfaction of 600 l. Residue, after the 400 l. and 600 l. satisfact. 1 Chan. Cases 87.

Where a Bill was exhibited to have an Execution of an Award, which was performed by neither Party; and the Defendant demurred, because there was no Precedent that a Court of Equity had ever carried such Awards into Execution; and the Demurrer was allowed. Eq. Cas. Abr. 51. pl. 9.

If an Account stated, and Releases are pleaded to a Bill, to fet aside an Award, and to have an Account; and Defendant swears the Accounts taken by the Arbitrators were true Accounts, but does not answer particular Concealments and Frauds alledged in the Bill, the Plea is bad, as the following Case will evidence. In 1730, a Bill for an Account was brought against Defendant, a Supercargo of the South-Sea Company; at the Hearing all Matters were referred, an Award made, and mutual Releases executed. Plaintiffs now brought a New Bill, fuggefting that fince the Award they had received Information of Effects to the Value of 119,000 Dollers, concealed by Defendant from the Arbitrators. Defendant pleaded the Award and Releases, and answered, that the Account taken by the Arbitrators was Fair and Just; but did not answer to the Concealment particularly mentioned. in the Bill. Falbet Chancellor: It is a Rule, and for the lot to be a for the

are the express Words of the Statute, that Awards made between Parties shall not be set aside, but for the Corrup ion or Partiality in the Arbitrators; but there are o her Reasons equally Mischievous, and proper to be relieved against in this Court; as where there is Fraud and Concealment in either of the Parties. It is true Arbi rators are in the Nature of Judges, and in some Respects have a greater Latitude, not being confined within the Rules of Law or Equity, and therefore may make fuch Allowances as could not be admitted in Courts of Judicature: But as at Law, where Judgments are obtained by Fraud or Surprize, nothing is more common than to fet the Judgment afide; so upon Decrees Bills of Review are daily brought in this Court, where Evidence arises that could not be come ar, at the Time of the Decree; there is the fame Reason in the Case of Awards; and in this Case it cannot be imagined that Defendant had accounted for these Matters, supposing the Fact to be true, for this would have occasioned a considerable Difference in the Award; ergo for this Reason the Plea was over-ruled, and Defendant put to An-Swer. 2 Eq. Cas. Abr. 80. tl. 8.

3 Vin. Abr. 140. pl. 9. S. C. cires it as a MS. Cale, and states it thus: A Bill was brought against the Defendant a Supercargo for an Account in 1721. who in his Answer set forth that there was a Submission and an Award, and Releases given. A Bill was now brought to set aside the Award, at least so far as related to a particular Parcel of Goods charged to have been sold by him to J. S. Abroad, to the Amount of 10,000 l. setting forth that Plaintiss had received an Account of this Transaction since the Award; and suggests that Defendant had concealed all this from the Arbitrators omitting it out of the Account laid before them, and that the Sale of these Goods were entred in a particular Book,

Ec.

&c. The Defendant as to Discovery and Relief pleaded the former Proceedings, Awards, Releafes. &c. Lord Chancellor faid, that the Rule, that Awards cannot be fet alide, unless for Partiality or Corruption, is too narrow; for if there be Fraud made use of by either of the Parties to mislead the Arbitrators, that is a Reason; so in Case of a Judgment at Law, or a Decree here: And the Facts charged amount to this, as suppressing the Book, &c. and omitting Goods out of Account laid before Arbitrators. Defendant denies suppresfing the Book by Answer; but if he did fell, and not enter, or not disclose, that amounts to the same Thing; and the Defendant is affected by this as well as the other. The Plea goes too far, being for Relief as well as for Discovery: For if Defendant be not bound to Discover, yet if Plaintiffs can prove their Case, it is too much to say they are not intitled to Relief. Eq. Caf. Abr. 77. pl. 16, but not S. P.

Bill to fet aside an Award made pursuant to a Rule of Court in B. R. for Misbehaviour in the Arbitrators upon this Cafe. The Plaintiff and Defendant entred into Arbitration Bond, and submitred to make it a Rule of Court, and an Award was made pursuant to the Submission by Rule of Court ; but the Plaintiff not liking the Award, applied to the Court of B. R. to fet aside the Award, and made several Objections to it; and the Court being divided in Opinion, a Rule was made to hear Counsel why the Award should not be set aside, and afterwards that Rule was discharged; but the Court being divided in Opinion, the Plaintiff could not obtain a Rule for an Attachment for Non-performance of the Award, and therefore brought an Action upon the Arbitration Bond, and got Judgment upon it; and then Ward the Defendant at Law brought this Bill to be relieved against the Award.

The

The Question was, whether the Plaintiff at Law. not having purfued the Method prescribed by State 9 W. 3. cap. 15. by Attachment, but has brought an Action upon the Arbitration Bond at Common Law, and has not pleaded the Statute to the Inrifdiction of this Court, whether upon these Circumstances the Court may not proceed to examine the Award, &c. The Counsel for the Defendants infifted, that the Award being made by Rule of Court, pursuant to the Stat. 9 W. 3. cap. 15. and fer out to be so made by Defendant's Answer, and the Defendant ought to have the Benefit of the Statute as well as if he had pleaded it, and the Parties to the Award have no Remedy, but by Application to the Court where the Rule was made; that this Statute was pleaded to the Jurisdiction of this Court tempore Cowper C. and the Plea was allowed. Ordered that the Master should make a State of the Cafe for the Resolution of the Court. 3 Vin. Abr. 134. pl. 18.

When Submission to an Award is by Bond. which is afterwards made a Rule of Court, the Court will allow the same Objection to the Award as they would do when the fame came before them. on an Action on the Bond; o herwise there might be a Contradiction; but when a Submission is only by Rule of Court, that Court will not receive Obrections to it; for it is the same as if the whole had been in the Rule; and the Court will not relieve when the Matter has been examined by another Court that had Jurisdiction, unless the Equity be that some Matter of Fraud in the Award hath come to the Knowledge of the Party fince the former Examination, which did not appear before the other Court; per Lord Chancellor, who had taken formerly the same Distinction in B. R. Ibid.

Dl. 19.

Bill to set aside an Award, and to be relieved against an Action at Law on the Bond of Submission. The Desendant pleaded as to so much of the Bill as sought to set aside the Award, and staying the Desendant's Proceedings at Law, and he set forth the Submission, and Award to be fairly made, &c. But the Plea was over-ruled, because it covered too much; for the Plaintiss, in all Events, is intitled to Relief against the Penalty of the Bond, though the Merits are with the Desendant. 3 Vin. Abr. 135. pl. 7. in Notes. But Quere, if the Money awarded had been equal to or exceeded the Penalty of the Bond, whether then the

Plaintiff is not intitled to any Relief.

Bill was for an Account, and to impeach an Award made between Plaintiff and Defendant Bereber, touching a Partnership in buying and selling Diamonds in Frame in 1719, and the Bill was against the Arbitrators, as well as the Party; Defendant B. (the Party) as to the Account, &c. pleads the Award, &c. and the Arbitrators as to a Discovery of several Particulars prayed by the Bill, and as to any Relief against them, plead the Submission, and that by Consent it was made an Order of this Court, &c. Lord Chancellor allowed the Plea of the Party of the Award to the Account. &c. but over-ruled the Plea of the Arbitrators, as covering too much, (viz.) feveral Particulars which might tend to shew a Partiality, &c. in their Proceedings, &c. Nota, in Debate of the Case it was argued, that an Award made upon a Submission pursuant to the Stat. W. 3. could not be set aside. but for Partiality or Corruption in the Arbitrators complained of within two Terms after the Award made, and in the present Case, though the Act of Parliament was not particularly relied upon, yet it appeared that the Submission was made an Order of this Court, and that was faid to be sufficient to bring

bring it within the Statute; but the Bill was filed within a few Days after, fo that no Advantage could be taken of not complaining according to the Act within two Terms, &c. and it was urged, though the two Terms do elapse before any Complaint, yet that does not oult this Court of Jurifdiction and Power to let the Award aside at any Time for Milbehaviour, &c. And a Cause of Ward and Walker was cited by Mr. Attorney General, of an Award so set aside by Lord Macclesfield, which had been made under a Submission made a Rule of Court. But Lord Chancellor feemed to doubt of it, as thinking the Act of Parliament giving two Terms, &c. concluded all Courts, and all Manner of Equity, &c. 3 Vin. Abr. 129. 11. 28.

Quære the Case of Ward v. Walker, if the Bill there was not brought within the two Months?—Barnard. Rep. in B. R. 152. Pasch. 2 Geo. 2. Alardes v. Cambel and Williams in the Exchequer, says the Opinion of the Chief Baron was, that Courts of Equity are not confined to allow of Exceptions to Awards within the Time prescribed by the Act of W. 3. as Courts of Law are; and that Hale and Comyns Barons agreed, but that Carter Baron differed. Burb. Rep. 265. pl. 342.

Actions of Artison's writing by the Copinion of all that ites, delegated for the Court because and action of Clark Baron Make to send form of characters was careful to Related Court to be the form that make the court to be therefore the make the court to be therefore the Action was those well and the Scheneller to and the action of the court of the Scheneller to and the action of the court of the Scheneller to and the action of the court of the scheneller to the

they reliable to the control and the Park

brought for a Sipple Tangeria, San

CHAP,

bang it wiraid the Statute a but the R.W was Rich

or and to C H A P. VIII.

Of Submissions made a Rule of Court, and Awards made thereupon.

A WARDS have frequently been made on Submissions, made a Rule of Court before the Statute 9 & 10 W. as appears by several Cases.

Sid. 54. T. Raym. 25. &c.

And the Expediency and Usefulness of this Method in procuring the Parties a sure and speedy Remedy, being often experienced, was a Motive which contributed to the making the said Statute; as well as that thereby any Doubt or Dispute arising about the granting Attachments upon Non-permance of the Award, or Revocation of the Submission, should be wholly removed.

For before the making of the faid Statute, the Courts were not fo ready in granting Attachments; and in some Cases doubted whether any could be granted at all, as appears by the following Case.

An Action of Trover and Conversion being brought for a Ship's Tackling, &c. and several Actions of Trespass were, by the Consent of all the Parties, submitted to the Determination of Chief Baron Hale; and such Submission was made a Rule of Court; but before the making the Award; the Party against whom the Action was brought revoked the Submission; and though it was strongly urged that this is such a Contempt, for which the Court has several Times granted Attachments, yet they resuled it in this Case, and said, the Party grieved

grieved may bring his Action on the Cafe. 1 Sid. 452.

But now by the oth and 10th of Will, chap. 15. The Court it is enacted, That it shall and may be lawful for all held that on Merchants and Traders, and others, desiring to end they could not any Controverly, Suit or Quarrel, Controverfies, Suits receive any or Quarrels, for which there is no other Remedy but Complaint to by Personal Action or Suit in Equity, by Arbitration set aside an to agree, that their Submission of the Suit to the Award the Submission or Umpirage of any Person or Persons, should be made was made a a Rule of any of bis Majefly's Courts of Record, which Rule of Court the Parties Shall chuse and to insert such their Agree and that a ment in their Submission, or the Condition of the Bond Consent in the or Promise, whereby they oblige themselves respectively Bond to make to fubmit to the Award or Umpirage of any Person or the Award a Persons ; which Agreement being so made, and infer- Rule of Court ted in their Submission or Promise, or Condition of their instead of the respective Bonds, shall or may, upon producing an Af. Submission fidavit thereof, made by the Witnesses thereunto, or warrant their any one of them, in the Court of which the Same is interpoling. agreed to be made a Rule, and reading and filing the 2 Stra. 1178. faid Affidavit in Court, be entred of Record in fuch Court, and a Rule shall thereupon be made by the faid Court, that the Parties shall submit to, and finally be concluded by the Arbitration or Umpirage which shall be made concerning them by the Arbitrators or Umpire, pursuant to such Submission; and in Case of Disobedience to such Arbitration or Umpirage, the Party negletting or refusing to perform and execute the same, or any Part thereof, shall be subject to all the Penalties of contemning a Rule of Court, where he is a Suitor or Defendant in such Court; and the Court on Motion Shall iffue Process accordingly, which Process shall not be stopped or delayed in its Execution, by any Order, Rule, Command or Process of any other Court, either of Law or Equity, unless it shall be made appear on Oath to such Court, that the Arbitrators or Umpire misbehaved themselves, and that such Award, Arbitration.

the gas

e Compleat Arbitrator.

tration, or Umpirage, was procured by Corruption or other undue Means.

And be it further enacted by the Authority aforelaid, That any Arbitration or Umpirage, procured by Corruption or undue Means, shall be judged and esteemed void and of none Effect, and accordingly be fet aside by any Court of Law or Equity, so as Complaint of such Corruption, or undue Practice, be made in the Court where the Rule is made for Submission to

fuch Arbitration or Umpirage, * before the last Day The Limitation of Time of the next Term, after such Arbitration or Umpiof complain- rage made and published to the Parties: Any Thing in ing against this Ast contained to the contrary notwithstanding. Awards to the

last Day of next Term, extends not to such as are made in Pursuance of a Rule of Nifi Prius, but only where the Submission is by Obligation. Stra. 301. Barnes 41. It was faid by the Court of C. B. that where the Objections arise upon the Face of the Award, they may be made at any Time; but where the Party complains of Corruption or ill Practice, he must do it within the fecond Term. Barnes 43.

> As this Statute has removed any Doubt that might have been, concerning the Power of the Courts in enforcing the Performance of Awards made on Submissions by Rule of Court; and as Awards have fince been most frequently made according to the Directions of the faid Act, I shall in this Chapter confider;

> Sect. I. Bow the Submission is to be made a Rule of Court.

> Sea. II. What hall be a Breach of the Rule.

> Sect. III. The Wethod of enforcing the Performance of Awards, made purfuant to a Rule of Court.

> > Sect.

Sect. IV. What thall excuse the Mon-

G B supernature indifferency confine tells.

how the Submillion is to be made a Rule of Court.

The Parties may fignify their Consent in Court, when the Matters in Controversy are submitted to the Determination of the Foreman, or a certain Number of the Jury; or they may do it by adding a Clause at the end of the Bonds of Submission and their Conditions; or they may signify their Consent by signing a Note or Memorandum in Writing, for that Purpose, in the Presence of one or more Winnesses.

2. When they fignify their Consent by adding it to the Bonds of Submission, the Words may be in

this Manner:

And it is bereby agreed by and between the said Parties, that these Presents, and the Submission hereby made of the said Matters in Controversy, shall be made a Rule of his Majesty's Court of King's Bench, to the End the said Parties in Difference shall be finally concluded by the said Arbitration, by these Presents intended, pursuant to the late Act of Parliament in that Case made and provided.

3. Or if there are no Bonds of Submission, the Parries may signify their Consent thus:

Memorandum this first Day of, &c. A. B. and C. D. being desirous to end and determine divers Controversies, Suits and Quarrels, that have been between them (for which there is no other Remedy but

by Personal Action or Suit in Equity) did agree to submit and did submit and refer all the faid Controverhes, Suits and Quarrels, to the Award of E. F. and G. H. Arbitrators indifferently chosen between them, to be made in Writing, under the Hands and Seals of the faid Arbitrators, before the, &c. Day of, &c. next ensuing; and the faid Parties did mutually promife and oblige themselves respectively, that they will perform and execute fuch Award as the Arbitrators shall make in the Fremisses: And the said Parties did further agree, that their faid Submission should be made a Rule in bis Majefty's Court of Common Pleas (or King's Bench) at Westminster; and that they will finally be concluded by the Arbitration that shall be made concerning the Premisses, by the said Arbitrators, purfuant to fuch Submiffion.

4. The Parties thus confenting, Affidavit must be made thereof; which being moved by Counsel, the Court will of Course grant the Motion, and make it a Rule.

5. A Submission was to an Award by Bond, and in the End of the Condition of the Bond was this Clause: And if the Obligor shall consent that this Submission shall be made a Rule of Court, that then, &c. Upon Motion to make this Submission a Rule of Court, according to the new Act of Parliament, it was opposed, because these Words do not imply his Consent; but if he would forfeit his Bond, he need not let it be made a Rule of Court; yet because this Clause could not be inserted for no other Purpose, the Court took these conditional Words to be a sufficient Indication of Consent, and made the Award a Rule of Court. Between Baily and Cheefely, 13 W. 3. Salk. 72. pl. 8. Ld. Raym. 674. Com. Rep. 114.

6. A Matter being referred by Rule of Court, to the Determination of the Judges of Affize, it

was moved, that the Judges Determination might be made a Rule of Court. Et per Halt, Chief Justice, Where a Matter is referred to Arbitrators by Rule of Court, and they make their Award, we will compel a Performance of it, as much as if the Award were Part of the Rule; so a new Rule is needless. Salk. 71. pl. 6. Nate; The constant Practice is to make the Rule at Niss Prins a Rule of the Court above, which is always granted on Motion. Bac. Abr. 134.

SECT. II.

What hall be a Breach of the Rule.

I. O T only the not performing the Award is a Breach of the Rule, but likewife, if either of the Parties shall do any Act which may prevent the Arbitrators from making the Award; as,

if they revoke the Authority, &c.

edicinions to the

2. A Matter was referred by Consent at Niss Prius, to the three Foremen of the Jury, and before the Award was made, one of the Parties served the Arbitrators with a Subpana out of Chancery, which hindered the Proceeding to make the Award: And the Court held this a Breach of the Rule, and granted an Attachment, niss causa. Between Davila and Almanza, 1 Ann. 1 Salk.

3. Upon a Submission to the Award of the three Foremen of the Jury, who made their Award, the Defendant moved to set it aside, because they went on without giving him Time to be heard, or produce a Witness; and Holt said, That the Arbitrators being Judges of the Parties own chusing, the Party shall not come and say, they have not done him Justice, and put the Cours to examine it; aliter,

WHED

when they exceed their Authority: However, the Award was examined and comfirmed; and the Plaintiff moved for an Attachment for not performing it; and the Court held, That the Non-performance, while the Matter was fub Judice, was no Contempt; and then the Plaintiff moved for his Costs, and that was denied; upon which Powel, Justice, faid, that feeing they could not give the Party any Cofts, he should never be for examining into Awards again. Between Morris and Reynolds. 2 Ann. 1 Salk. 72.

4. Upon a Submission by Order of Court to a Reference, and the Award to be made to be confirmed by the Decree of the Court, without Appeal or Exception; yet upon Debate, Exceptions to the

Award were admitted. 2 Vern. 109.

SECT. III.

The Bethod of inforcing the Performance of Awards, made purfuant to a Rule of Court.

Award by descretionary. Stra. 695.

Enforcing an I. TX7 HEN the Award is made, if one of the Parties refuse to perform it, the other Attachment is must move the Court for an Attachment to compel him; which Motion must be founded on an Affidavit, that the Award was made according to the Terms of the Submission; and must likewise fer forth. That the Party who moves for the Atrachment, has performed what on his Part was awarded to be done, previous to any Thing to be done by the other Party; but the Award it felf need not be annexed to such Affidavir. And Note. That upon the first Application the Court grants a Rule. that the Party shall shew Cause why an Attachment should not be granted by such a Day; which

if he neglects to do, the Rule upon a fecond Mo-

tion for that Purpose will be made absolute.

2. When the Defendant is taken on an Attachment, he gives a Bail-Bond to the Sheriff, and at the Return of the Writ, appears not by Attorney, but Personally in Court; and then enters into a Recognizance to appear there de die in diem, till the Court shall otherwise determine them; upon Motion by Counfel the Court Orders, that unless his Adversary exhibits Interrogatories against him within four Days after Notice, he shall be discharged; the Interrogatories must be filed with the Secondary of the Office, and by him the Defendant must be examined, being first sworn before a Judge to deposite the Truth; after his Examination the Profecutor takes Copies of his Depositions, and if he finds all denied, he brings up his Witneffes to prove the Contempt viva voce in Court where the Defendant must appear to confront them, and answer the Questions the Court shall demand; and if the Court judge him guilty of the Contempt, they commit him; if not, he is discharged: If he neglects to appear before the Secondary to be examined, or afterwards before the Court upon his Purgation, the Court, on Motion, will order his Recognizance to be estreated: If he confesses any Thing material in his Depositions, it is not necesfary to fend for Witnesses, but move on his Confeslion, and pray the Court's Order therein.

2. A. having bound himself to stand to the Cas. Temp. Award of F. S. which Submission was made a Rule Hardw. 106. of Court, according to the Act of Parliament; the Cunningh. Party for whose Benefit the Award was made, Rep. 160. moved the Court for an' Attachment for Non-per-nard. B. R. formance; which was granted: Pending that, he 227. See 10 brought an Action of Debt upon the Bond, and it Mod. 333. was moved that he might not be allowed to proceed both ways; and it was endeavoured to be likened

to the Ceses where the Court stay Actions on Attornies Bills, while the Matter is under Reference before the Master; but the Motion was denied; and this Diversity taken, where the Court relieves the Party by way of Amends in a summary Way; as in the Case cited there it is reasonable; otherwise here, where the Plaintiff has no Satisfaction upon the Attachment; and the Desendant was put to

answer Interrogatories. 1 Salk. 73, 74.

Motion was made for an Attachment for Non-performance of an Award; and per Cur there must be a positive Assidavit of personal Notice of Award and Demand of the Money all at one Time, because it brings the Party into Contempt; but if the Party keeps out of the way on Purpose, there must be an Assidavit thereof, and of endeavour used to find him out and serve him; and it is but of late that Attachments have been the Means to compel the Performance of Awards; but the old Remedy was Case. 12 Mod. 257. 2 Barnes 55. S. P.

Award made by Rule of Court that Money should be paid on one side, and nothing awarded on the other; the Court will not grant Attachment

till a Release be tendered. 12 Mod. 234.

A Submission to an Award being by Rule of Court, an Attachment was moved for Non-performance; per Cur' there ought to be Affidavit of Award demanded, and we never grant an Attachment for Non-payment of Money upon an Award the first Day, though the Defendant be to do the A& first. 12 Mod. 317.

The Court was moved to make a Submission a Rule of Court; but denied, because the Affidavit set forth that the Award was made pursuant to the Submission, and the Award was then produced; and Trevor Chief Justice said, that since the Award was made they would not make the Submission a Rule of Court wirhout seeing the Award, whether

it was a good and legal one. 3 Vin. Abr. 133.

pl. 12.

When it is moved to have Submission to an Award made a Rule of Court, there ought always to be an Affidavit of Notice, because the Act gives the Court Power to examine the Justice of the Award. 12 Mod. 525.

The Court will not make a Submission to Arbitration a Rule of Court, upon a Consent only that the Award should be made a Rule of Court; and it was faid that the like had been often refused.

2 Barnard. K. B. 162.

Upon Affidavit that the Original Award was lost by coming up in the Bristol Mail, which was robbed; Motion upon Copy, and Rule for an At-

tachment Nifi, &c. Stra. 526.

4. If a Rule be made at Nisi Prius, to refer a Matter to the three Foremen of the Jury, and that the Plaintiff shall have a Verdict for his Security; after the Award made, the Plaintiff may either enter up Judgment on the Verdict, or have an Attachment for not obeying the Rule of Court, it being in his Election which Way he will execute the Award: and this was affirmed by Mr. Northey, and at the Bar, to be the constant Practice: Tourton and Gould (in the Absence of the Chief Justice) doubted of it, because the Verdict stood still on Record: To which Northey answered, There could not be a Judgment entered on fuch Verdict without Leave of the Court, and the Attachment was granted. Between Hale and Mifter, 11 W. 3. 1 Salk. 84.

5. A Matter in Difference having upon the Hearing been referred by the Court to Gentlemen in the Country, who had made an Award therein, the Cause was set down to be heard upon the Matter of the Award, but was thrown off, as coming on irregularly, for that the Plaintiff ought first to have

1 2

moved

moved to confirm the Award, as is done upon a Master's Report, and either Side may except to it, if they find Occasion; and then the Matter will properly come before the Court on these Exceptions. I Vern. 469. Note, This was before the Act of Parliament.

6. A. and B. having submitted to an Award, which was made a Rule of Court, and an Attachment issued out against A. for not performing the Award; A. was afterwards found a Lunatick, and B. took out a Subpana Scire Facias against the executrix and Heir, to carry on the Rule of Court to an Execution; and it was held by the Court, That the Act of Parliament directing it to be carried on by Attachment, as is done in other Courts, for disobeying a Rule of Court, by the Death of the Party the Attachment is gone, and the Remedy lost. 2 Vern. 444.

A parol Award held good, and an Attachment granted for Non-payment of Money pursuant there-

to. Barnes 40.

Motion on Behalf of Rudd, that a Submission between the Parties contained in the Condition of Arbitration Bonds might be made a Rule of Court, and produced the Bond Executed by Coe. Per Cur²: Be it so, Coe²s Consent is shewn by the Bond Executed by him, and the Motion is made on Behalf of Rudd. Barnes 40.

Motion to make a Submission between the Parties a Rule of Court pursuant to the Statute 9 & 10 Will. 3. Objected that the Agreement to make the Submission a Rule of Court was no Part of the Condition of the Bond, but was thereunder-written, and not signed; but it appearing by Assidavit that the Subscription was made before the Execution of the Bond, it was taken by the Court to be Part of the Submission, as an Indorsement by way of De-

feazance

feazance is Part of the Deed; and the Submission was made a Rule of Court. Barnes 40.

SECT. IV.

What thall excuse the Mon-performance of the Award.

I. THE Arbitrators being Judges of the Parties own chusing, the Law will not countenance any Complaints by Reason of Severity or Inequality in the Award; neither will the Court require such Exactness as to the Formality of the Award when the Submission is made a Rule of Court, as if it were by Bond or otherwise, and therefore there must be some notorious Desect in the Award it self, or some Fault in the Arbitrators, such as Partiality, &c. which will excuse the Party from not performing it.

2. However, it is necessary that the Arbitrators, as in other Cases, enter on their Duty, and make their Award within the Time allotted them by the

Submission.

3. And that if there be an Umpire, that he makes not his Umpirage till the Time allowed the

Arbitrators be expired.

4. As where the Submission to an Award was, so that the Arbitrators make their Award at or upon the 27th of March then next ensuing; and if they make no Award, then if the Umpire make his Umpirage on the same Day; it was resolved, that the Umpire could not make his Umpirage on the said 27th of March, the Arbitrators having all that Day to make their Award. 2 Vern. 100.

Joseph But herein a Diversity is taken, when the Umpire is named in the Submission, and when the Arbitrators have a Power of nominating him; for

make his Umpirage, by Reason of the Inconveniency of having a concurrent Jurisdiction. 1 Salk. 71.

6. But if the Arbitrators are to name him, he may make his Umpirage on the same Day limited to the Arbitrators, and it will be good, for they had determined their Power before, by chusing an

Umpire. 1 Lev. 174. 1 Salk. 72.

7. The Umpire must be chosen upon Condition that he does accept the Umpirage; for it is said, that if the Arbitrators chuse one, their Authority is executed, and they cannot revoke or chuse again, though the Person elect resuse to accept. 1 Salk. 70.

But of this fee the 6th Chapter.

8. It is held by my Lord Chief Justice Holt, That where an Award is made by Rule of Court, it shall not be set aside, unless there was Practice with the Arbitra ors, or some Irregularity; as want of Notice of the Meeting; also you shall not take Exceptions to the Formality of it, but shall perform

it. 1 Salk. 71.

9. It was likewise held by Holt, That an Attachment lies not for not performing an Award made upon a Rule of Court, without a Personal Demand; and he said, he remembred the first Attachment of this Kind, which was in Sir John Humble's Case, in Kelyng's Time; in which, and ever since, a Personal Demand has been thought necessary; and said surther, that in such Cases of Awards, though they be not legally good, attachment lies for Non-personance; aliter is impossible; but the Party is excused as to that Part which is impossible only. Between Forster and Brunetti, 1 Salk. 83.

Day, at a certain Place, between the Hours of Twelve and Two, it is sufficient for the Party to

fhew,

fhew, that he attended at the Time and Place aforefaid, and that there was no Body to pay him.

fhall be excused, whether the Terms of the Submission required it or nor, the Judges thinking it improper to allow of a Matter which lays so great

a Foundation for Perjury.

12: An Award was set aside, the Arbitrators appearing to have an Interest in the Cargo, touching which the Award was made, and therefore put too great a Value thereon; and in five Days after the Award made, the Money awarded was attached by the Arbitrators, for Debts owing to them. 2 Vern. 251.

13. And in this Case a Precedent was quoted, where an Award was set aside, because the Arbitrators had promised to hear Witnesses, but made

their Award before they had so done.

14. And likewise another Precedent, where the Arbitrator promised not to make his Award till one of the Parties (who was not well) should come abroad; but notwithstanding proceeded, and my Lord Nottingham, for that Reason, inclined to set it aside.

15. When a Submission is unto three, or any two of them, if two by Fraud or Force will exclude the other, that alone is sufficient to vitiate the Award.

2 Vern. 515.

16. If it appears that the Arbitrators went upon a plain Mistake, either as to the Law, or in a Matter of Fact, the same is an Error appearing in the Body of the Award, and sufficient to set it aside. 2 Vern. 705.

CHAP. IX.

Pleadings in Awards and Ar= bitraments.

S Pleading in general is allowed to be the most nice and difficult Part of our Law, and as an infinite Number of Causes of all Kinds have been lost or delayed for want of a right Observance of the Rules of Pleading, it is necessary in the Case of Awards, (which has partaked of these Difficulties as much as any other Branch whatsoever) to be cautious and exact in all the Proceedings, particularly in setting forth the Award, and assigning a Breach; otherwise, let it be in other Respects ever so conformable to the Rules of Law, the Party will be disappointed of his End, if he fail in this Particular; it is therefore necessary to consider the following several Parts of the Pleadings, distinctly and separately.

Sect. I. Concerning the Declaration, and the Manner of letting forth the Award therein.

Sect. II. Concerning the Plea of the Defendant, whether relating to the Performance of the Award, or when, and in what Wanner he may plead the Award in Bar of any other Action.

Sect. III. Of the Replication and Banner of letting forth the Award, and aligning a Breach.

Sect. IV. Of the Rejoinder, when it shall be a Departure oz not.

Sect. V. Df Demurrers, either to the Declaration, Plea, Replication, &c.

Sect. VI. Precedents of Pleadings in Awards.

SECT. I.

Concerning the Declaration, and the Banner of setting forth the Award therein.

A Declaration is a Complaint of the Party grieved, fetting forth the Cause of his Action against the Person he supposes to have done him wrong, or by whom he has received some Damage.

2. The Declaration ought to be true, clear and certain, and this Certainty ought to be sufficient in three respects: As 1st, Where on an Issue being joined the Jury may give a Verdict without being inveigled. 2dly, Sufficient Certainty to which the Desendant may answer directly. And 3dly,

Whereon the Court may judge certainly and truly.

3. The Plaintiff generally declares on the Bond of Submission, in which Case he is not obliged therein to set forth the Award; and for which Reason

Reason we have but few Cases relating to the Manner of setting forth the Award, when the Submission is by Bond before the Plea or Replication.

4. But if an Action be brought on the Award it self, the Party must be very careful how he sets it forth in his Declaration; at least he must shew the Substance of it, and especially that Part which intitles him to his Action.

5. If in an Action of Debt upon an Award, the Plaintiff declares, that the Arbitrators did make an Award, that the Defendant should pay unto the Plaintiff 10 l. &c. this is a good Declaration, though nothing is shewn to have been awarded on the other Side; for it is sufficient for the Plaintiff to set forth that Part of the Award which entitles him to his Action. 1 Lean. 72.

6. In the Declaration it is not necessary to lay the Time or Place where the Award or Submission were made; but if the Defendant denies either, the Plaintiff may reply, that the Award or Submission was made at such a Place, 2 Browns, 127.

7. But when an Award is pleaded in Bar of a Trespass, a Plea must be laid where the Submission was made. Cro. Eliz. 66.

8. It has been adjudged, that the Plaintiff need not fet forth a *Profert* thereof in Caria, because it is no deed. Style 459.

9. If there be a Submiffion to the Award of J. S. fo as the said Award be made under his Hand and Seal, at or before the 5th Day of September sollowing, ready to be delivered at the Shop of J. N. in the Exchange, London; and in an Action of Debt upon an Award made thereupon, the Plaintiff declares that the said J. S. under his Hand and Seal the 4th Day of September sollowing, apud Castrum Eborum, did make an Award ad tunc & Ibidem parat to be delivered at the Shop of the said J. N. in the Exchange, London; this is no good Decla-

2 L. Raym. 762, 763. S. P.

ration:

ration; for the Parties are not bound to take Consulance of the Delivery elsewhere than at the Place appointed; by Dod. and Houghton, contra Mountague, Chief Justice, who held the Publication there, and Allegation, that it was ready to be delivered at the said Shop in London, was well enough. Cro.

Fac. 577.

Performance of an Award, ita quod it be made, and ready to be delivered to the Parties, at a certain Day and Place; the Defendant pleads Nullum fecerunt Arbitrium, and the Plaintiff replies and fets forth an Award made and delivered to the Parties at another Day and Place. Adjudged that this is good, being delivered to the Parties themselves, though at another Day and Place: But per Hale, the Replication is not good, for being but the Execution of an Authority, it ought to be done at the fame Day and Place. 2 Lev. 68.

11. There is a Diversity taken, when the Plaintiff sets forth the Award in his Declaration, and when the Desendant pleads it; in the former Case, it is sufficient for the Plaintiff to shew, that interalia it was awarded; but when the Desendant pleads it, he must set forth the whole Award. Lit.

Rep. 312, 313.

that if two Things be awarded, the one within, and the other not within the Submission, the later is void, and the Breach must be assigned only upon

the first. 2 Mad. 209.

An Award was, that Defendant pay the Plaintiff for much Money at such a Time and Place. In Debt upon the Bond the Plaintiff did not aver that he was ready at the Place to receive the Money; and exception being taken thereto; Holt Chief Justice held that it needed not, because the Defendant ought to do the first Act, and therefore if he does

not come and tender the Money, though the Plaintiff be not there to receive it, the Bond will be forfeited. Ld. Raym. 533, 534.

In Debt on an Award, a mutual Submission must be shewn in the Declaration. 2 Stra. 923.

SECT. II.

Concerning the Plea of the Defendant, whether relating to the Performance of the Award, or when, or in what Manner he may plead the Award in Bar of any other Axion.

1. I F an Action of Debt be brought on the Bond of Submission, the Defendant is estopped by the Obligation, and cannot plead Non submission, but he may plead Nullum fecerunt Arbitrium, or he may plead the Award Specially; to which the Plaintiff may Demur or Reply. 1 Rol. Abr. 873.

2. In Debt upon a Bond for Performance, &c. the Defendant cannot plead Performance generally, but must shew the Award, and how he has per-

formed it. Moor 3.

3. If in Debt upon an Obligation, conditioned for the Performance of the Award of J. S. the Defendant pleads, That whereas there was a Suit in Chancery by the now Defendant, against the now Plaintiff, for such a Cause, &c. the said J. S. did award, that the said Suit should cease, and that the now Plaintiff should stand acquitted de qualibet materia in eadem contenta, and avers that he did not any further prosecute the said Suir, but that the now Plaintiff always after Stetit inde Quietus; this is a good Plea without shewing any Discharge in Fasto; and the Award being, that he Staret acquietatus,

quietatus, it is no more than that by that Award he

should be acquitted. Cro. Jac. 339.

4. If an Award be, to pay the Rent mentioned in such an Indenture, in Debt upon a Bond conditioned for Performance thereof, the Desendant in pleading Performance need not set forth the Indenture, but refer generally to it; but if it be to be paid in such Manner and at such Times as is expressed in the Indenture, then it must be set forth at large; so if an Award be to pay Money given by a Will. 1 Vent. 87.

5. It must be strictly observed, that where the Defendant pleads Performance, he must regularly plead it according to the Words of the Condition.

6. As where the Condition of the Bond of Submission was for Performance of the Award, so that it be made de Premissis vel aliqua Parte inde, and the Desendant pleads, that the Arbitrators made no Award de Premissis, without saying nec de aliqua Parte inde, and it seemed not to be good. 2 Mod. 27.

7. But of Matter of Excuse, as that it became impossible by the Act of God, &c. it is otherwise.

2 Mod. 27, 28. 2 Salk. 520.

8. The Condition of a Bond of Submission was, fo that the said Award be given up in Writing, &c. and the Defendant pleaded non Deliberaverunt in Scriptis; and it was adjudged naught, and that it ought to have been non reddiderunt in Scriptis.

N. Bendl. 97.

9. Concerning the Defendant's pleading Tender and Refusal, it must be observed in general, that where a Man is bound in an Obligation conditioned to pay a certain Sum of Money at such a Day, Tender and Resusal at the Day, without saying uncore Prist, is not a good Plea, but he ought to say adduce paratus, and tender the Money in Court. But

Bond be to do a collateral Act, as to deliver Timber, stand to an Award, &c. Co. Lis. 207. 9 Co.

Defendant and two others were to perform an A-ward, made betwixt them and the Plaintiff; the Defendant pleaded the Award, which was, That the Defendant should pay the Plaintiff 20 s. and each of the others 20 s. a-piece, and that he tended the 20 s. accordingly, which the Plaintiff refused to accept.

the Refusal he demanded the said 20 s. of the Defendant, which the Defendant then refused to pay him; and the Defendant demurred; and it was held that the Replication was idle, for the 20 s. being a Sum collareral to the Obligation, was lost for ever by the first Refusal.

ill, as answering only to Part, scil. the 20s. to be paid by himself, and not to the other Sums to be paid by others, and he is answerable for all; and then the Defendant pleading a collateral Matter, which is insufficient in Law, the Plaintiff needs not assign a Breach; and so Judgment was given for the Plaintiff. Between Genne and Tinker, 3 Lev. 24.

14. But Tender of a Sum of Money awarded to be paid in an Action of Debt on the Award, is not sufficient without pleading uncore Prist; but Tender of an Award to the Defendant, and that none came on his Part to receive it, is good. Lev. Entr. 44.

If an Award be made by the Arbitrators, and figned and fealed by them, and by it they award a Sum of Money to be paid, the Defendant cannot plead

plead the Statute of Limitations to an Action brought on the faid Award; adjudged, 2 Sand. 65.

15. As to the pleading of an Award to an Action, it is laid down as an uncontroverted Rule, that where Accord may be pleaded to any Action,

there an Award may. 6 Co. 44.

16. It has been held formerly, that an Accord is not any any Bar of an Action, unless it be executed, because the Plaintiff hath not any Means to recover that which he ought to have by the Accord.

1 Rol. Abr. 129.

17. And therefore it has been held the safest and best way of pleading an Accord, to plead it by way of Satisfaction, and not by way of Accord; for if it be pleaded by way of Accord, a precise Execution thereof in every Part must be pleaded; and if there be a Failure in any Part, the Plea is insufficient; but if it be pleaded by way of Satisfaction, the Defendant need plead no more, but that he paid the Plaintiff 10 1. in full Satisfaction of the Action which he received. 9 Co. 80.

18. Of late, indeed, it hath been held, that upon mutual Promises an Action lies, and consequently there being equal Remedy on both Sides, an Accord may be pleaded without Execution, as well as an Arbitrament. Raym. 450. 2 Jon. 158.

19. It has been formerly held, that an Award could not, except in certain Cases, be pleaded to an Action, unless it were executed; as where in Trespass the Desendant pleaded an Arbitrament in Bar, that the Desendant should pay to the Plaintiff twenty Shillings, &c. to which the Plaintiff demurred, because he did not alledge Performance of the Award, and the Demurrer was allowed. Cro. Eliz. 66.

20. So if an Award be to pay Money at a certain Day, in Satisfaction of an Action, if the Money be not paid at the Day, this Award is no good

bar

Bar of an Action, though he may have Debt on the Award, because it was his Fault that the Money was not paid, and therefore he shall not compel the Plaintiff to bring an Action on the Award, and

bar the first Action. 49 E. 3. 3.

21. But if an Award be, that one shall pay 10 l. to the other in Satisfaction of all Trespasses, &c. if he who ought to pay it tenders it at the Day, and he resules, and after brings an Action for the Trespass aforesaid, this Award shall be a good Bar of the Action, because it was his own Fault that it was not paid, and he hath his Remedy for the

Money. I Rol. Abr. 267.

the Award of J. S. of all Actions; who awards that A. shall make an Obligation which shall be labelled with Wax, and shall bring it to B. and B. shall seal it to A. in Satisfaction, &c. if A. does never bring the Obligation to B. to-seal, yet this Award shall be a Bar of Actions brought by A. which are within the Award, though he hath no Means to compel B. to enter into an Obligation, because the Default was in himself. 5 E.

4. 7. 23. In Trespass (says my Lord Chief Justice Holt, it was held heretofore, that an Award of a collateral Thing in Satisfaction, was no good Plea. unless the Defendant shewed a Performance; for they likened this to an Accord and Satisfaction. which is no Plea, unless it be executed; yet they held, that where the Award was not of a collateral Thing, but of a Sum of Money, that fuch an Award was a good Plea; and the Reason of the Difference which they went upon was, that there was a Remedy to be had upon the Arbitrament in the latter Case, not in the former: But now the Law is held otherwise, and an Arbitrament is a good Plea, whether it be of Money or a collateral Thing

Thing, as a Hat or a Horse; and the Reason is, because the Submission is a mutual Promise, upon which an Action lies, and Performance need not be averred in either Case, for the Remedy is alike: But Powel held, that it must be averred where the

Award is of a collateral Thing. 1 Salk. 76.

24. So when the Plaintiff declared upon three Affumpsits, whereof two were Special, scilicet, that the Defendant being indebted to the Plaintiff in such a Sum, he in consideratione inde promised to Ship such a Quantity of Cotton Wool at Antegoe, and consign it to the Plaintiff; and also, whereas he was indebted to the Plaintiff in such other Sum, for Wares and Merchandizes sold and delivered, he in consideratione inde promised to pay the Money to the Plaintiff, if he (the Defendant) did not Ship and consign so much Cotton Wool to the Plaintiff; and the third Promise was upon a general Indebitatus Assumpsit for Wares, &c. sold and delivered.

25. The Defendant pleaded generally as to all (viz.) that fince the said Premisses, he and the Plaintiff had submitted themselves and all Matters, &c. to Arbitrators, who made their Award, which he set forth in his Plea, and concluded in Bar, without alledging that he (the Desendant) had performed the Award on his Part.

26. And upon a Demurrer to the Bar, it appeared that the Award was particular as to the Cotton Wool, and not of any other Matter, and as to that likewise it was but conditional, and therefore void: And in arguing this Case the following Diversities were taken by the Court to be

Law.

27. 1st, That an Award without Performance is a good Bar to an Action on the Case, if the Parties have mutual Remedies against each other to compel the Execution of the Matters awarded, but

it is otherwise where there are not mutual Remerdies to enforce the Performance, &c.

28. 2dly, That the Award now pleaded, being but conditional, and therefore void, and by Confequence the Plaintiff having no Remedy to enforce

the Performance, 'tis no Bar to his Action.

29. 3dly, This Award being particular, and only concerning the Cotton Wool, the Defendant should have pleaded it in Bar only of those Promisses concerning the said Wool, and not in Bar of a general Indebitatus Assumpsit for Wares sold, but he should have pleaded non Assumpsit as to that Promise, because the Award did not extend to it.

30. But if this Award had been general, and a good Award, the Defendant might have pleaded it in Bar of all the Promises generally, as here he had done; and that such Plea would not have amounted to the general Issue, because the Defendant, by pleading the Award after the Promises made, had admitted the Plaintiff to have Cause of Action upon the Promises; but that he was barred by the Award, and an Admission even of a Colour of Action, is sufficient to prevent the Pleading to amount to the general Issue, and the Plaintiff had

Judgment. Carth. 187, 188.

31. And in Salk. 69. it has been held, that an Award may be a good Plea in Bar though it be not performed, wherever the Award does give a new Duty in lieu of the former; for a Submission implies a Promise to perform; so that the Party has a Remedy for that which is awarded; but where the Intent of the Award is not to discharge the old Duty of it self, and give a new one, but barely to cause a Discharge of the old Duty, not by the Award it self, but by a Release, as in the principal Case, the Award is no Plea in Bar of the old Duty. But a Quere is added, Whether an Award

unper-

formed, though it gives a new Duty, can be a good Plea after the Time of Performance is elapted.

In Indebitatus Assumpsit and Quantum Meruit for Work done and Goods fold and delivered, the Defendant pleaded an Award that the Plaintiff for the Work done, &c. should accept a Bill of Sale before made of the eighth Part of such a Ship, and that the Plaintiff and Defendant should give to each other general Releases. Holt Chief Justice said that this is the same with the Case of Freeman v. Barnard; for as to the Goods fold and delivered, there is nothing awarded but a general Release; that if the Bill of Sale had been awarded in full of all Demands. it had been good; but this Release awarded here is not a perfect Bar till it be executed. And it having been objected that the Goods fold and delivered is the same Demand with that for Work done, yet Gould Justice said that the Court cannot take Notice of that upon fuch a Generality; but that if the Defendant had shewn it by particular Averment, it might have been construed to be within that Part of the Award. Judgment for the Plaintiff. Ld. Raym. Rep. 611.

Case upon three several Promises, and an Award ordering mutual Releases was pleaded in Bar. Per Cur?: It is no good Plea, because nothing is awarded that bears an Action; but if there were any Thing awarded, for the which an Action would lie, it would be a good Plea, though it were not performed; and Judgment pro Quer?. 12 Mod.

423.

Anciently if an Award was made to pay a Sum, this might have been pleaded in Bar though without Satisfaction, because the Law gave an Action of Debt for the Money upon the Award, and so a Remedy; and though that Law be now alrered, yet now when two Persons submit to an Award,

Q2

this amounts to mutual Promifes. Per Holt Chief

Justice. 11 Mod. 171.

An Award to pay Money in Satisfaction is pleadable in Bar, though the other Party be not awarded to accept it; for the Award of Payment of Money vests a Duty in the Party, and is a Bar in Debt, or Trespass, or Assumpsit. Per Holt Chief Justice.

2 Ld. Raym. 965.

This Diversity is to be observed where an Award consists of divers Things, and one of them is void, and it be expresly said that upon Performance of that void Thing, the other Party shall do such a Thing, there the doing of the void Thing is a Condition precedent, and must be averred before Action against the other for not doing his Part. But where there be several Things in an Award, and some are good, and others not, and it is further said that upon Performance pramisforum the other shall release for the Purpose, there it suffices to make Averment of Performance of what is well awarded, without more. 12 Mod. 588.

If it appear that a void Part of an Award was intended as a Confideration of Things being done on the other fide, it must be done, or else here is not that Advantage for the other fide which was defigned for it, and he has a wrong done him by being forced to pay for a Confideration which he has not. Per Trevor Chief Justice. 12 Mod.

599.

BUILD AS SVETCHAS

District to be district.

ocaline transfer

totals wenter who call

SECT. III.

Of the Replication and Manner of letting forth the Award, and aligning a Breach.

1. It is necessary for the Plaintiff in his Replication, if the Defendant pleads Nullum fecerunt Arbitrium, to set forth the whole Award, or at least so much of it, as that the Court thereby may be able to judge whether he is intitled to his Action, or not; for want of which the Plaintiff has been often delayed, if not disappointed in his Action.

2. As where in an Action of Debt upon a Bond. conditioned for the Performance of an Award, the Defendant pleaded, that the Arbitrators made an Award, That the Defendant should pay to the Plaintiff 2100 l. and should give to the Plaintiff a general Release; and the Defendant pleaded that he had paid the Money, and given a Release accordingly, but did not shew what on the Part of the Plaintiff was awarded to be done; and the Plaintiff replied without shewing the other Part of the Award in his Replication, and took Issue, that the Defendant had not paid the Money; and the Defendant put in an insufficient Rejoinder; upon which the Plaintiff demurred; and it was held by the Court, that the Plaintiff could not have Judgment, because the Award, as set forth and agreed in Pleading, is void; but if the Plaintiff would have helped himself, he ought to have shewn the other Part of the Award before he had taken Issue; but the Court would not give Judgment for the Defendant, but suffered the Plaintiff to discontinue. because they apprehended it to be only a Trick in Pleading:

Pleading; for which the Chief Justice reprehended Sanders, who excused himself by reason of the Severity of the Award. Between Veal and Warner,

I Sand, 326, 327.

3. If in Debt upon an Obligation, conditioned for the Performance of an Award, the Defendant pleads Nullum fecerunt Arbitrium, and the Plaintiff replies, and shews the Award, he must also shew the Breach, without which he hath no Cause of Action; for the Obligation is guided by the Condition; and though the Defendant can make no Answer to the Breach, yet it ought to appear to the Court that the Plaintiff hath a Cause of Action. Yelv. 152.

4. And the Reason hereof, as said arguendo, 1 Sand. 102. is, because an Award may be good in Part and void in Part, and perhaps the Action may

be brought upon the void Part.

5. If in Debt upon an Obligation conditioned for the Performance of an Award, the Defendant shews that the Arbitrators did make an Award, that the Defendant before such a Day should pay to the Plaintiff 100 l. or otherwise should procure one A. being a Stranger, to be bound to the Plaintiff for the Payment of 12 l. per Annum to the Plaintiff for his Life; and the Defendant pleads, that he hath performed the said Award; and the Plaintiff replies, that the Defendant hath not paid the said 100 l. without saying, nor hath procured A. &c. yet this is a good Replication, for the Award as to that Part is meerly void, and therefore the Plaintiff need not take Notice thereof. 1 Leon. 304.

6. So if the Award be, that the Defendant, together with a Stranger, shall enter into a Bond in the Assignment of a Breach, the Plaintiff must not say that the Defendant and Saranger did not enter

into a Bond, for though both did not, yet the Defendant alone might enter into Bond. 1 Godb. 165.

7. If the Plaintiff alledges that the Award was made of four Things, and the Defendant pleads that it was but of three only, the Plaintiff cannot reply that the Award was of three Things and another, but he ought to reply, that the Award was made of four Things, and traverse that it was

of three Things only. Plowd. 95.

8. If in Debt upon a Bond conditioned for the Performance of an Award, so as it be made, &c. and ready to be delivered to the Parties, or to such of them as shall desire the same; the Defendant pleads Nullum fecerunt Arbitrium, and the Plaintiff replies and sets forth the Award, and shews a Breach, but doth not say that it was ready to be delivered to the Desendant; yet this is a good Replication; for when the Award is made, it is ready to be delivered to the Parties, or to such of them who desire it; so that it must be desired, and if denied, the Party may plead that Matter specially. Between Rowsley and Manning, 3 Mod. 330.

9. If in Debt upon a Bond, conditioned for the Performance of an Award in Writing, or by Word of Mouth, the Defendant pleads no Award made, and the Plaintiff replies, That at the Time of the Bond and Award, he had an Action against the Defendant for Scandalous Words, and that the Arbitrators, ore Tenus, did declare and publish his Award in Manner following, viz. that the Defendant should pay to the Plaintiff twelve Guineas, and all such Money as he had expended circa Profecutionem Placit pred, &c. this is a good Award, and well set forth; although the Award doth not mention any Suit before; for he that sets forth a Parol Award, is not tied to the very Words, but it is sufficient to shew the Effect and Substance of

Q4

what was awarded by Word of Mouth. 2 Vent.

242.

the Defendant pleads no Award made, and the Plaintiff replied, and fet forth an Award with a Profert in Curia, and there were material Omissions; the Defendant craved Oyer, and demurred for the Variance between the Award set forth in the Re-

plication, and the Oyer.

tr. And in the Argument it was insisted for the Plaintiff, that in Debt on an Award, the Plaintiff need not set forth more of the Award than makes for him; to which it was answered, that true it is, in Debt upon an Award the Plaintiff need not set forth more than makes for him; but it is otherwise in Debt upon a Bond, for then the

Plaintiff must reply the whole Award.

a Bond to perform an Award, if nul agard fait be pleaded, and the Plaintiff replies an Award, &c. and Issue is thereupon, in such Case, if there is a material Variance between the Award given in Evidence, and the Award set forth in the Replication, it is against the Plaintiff; but if the Variance be only by Omission of that which is void, that is not a material Variance, being no material Part of the Award; here the Variances are by Omissions that are material, therefore Judgment must be for the Desendant. A Note is added by the Reporter, That if the Plaintiff had not made a Profert, the Desendant's Way had been to have pleaded Nul tiel agard.

13. In Debt upon an Obligation conditioned to perform an Award, the Defendant pleaded no Award, and the Plaintiff replied and fet forth an Award, whereby it was awarded, that the Defendant should enjoy a Wharf, and that certain Erections should be pulled down within the Space of 58

Days

Days from the Date of the Award; to which the Defendant demurred; and it was objected, that the Award was pleaded without any Date; but yet the Replication was held good, for the Day of the Delivery of a Deed, is the Day of the Date, though there is no Date set forth; as if a Deed bear Date one Day, and be delivered at another, it was really dated when delivered, though the Clause of Geren' Dat' be otherwise; so it is in the Case of the Award, the making is the Date. I Salk. 76.

14. In Debt upon a Bond for Performance of an Award, ita quod the Award be made and ready to be delivered to the Parties in Difference, or to fuch of them who should desire the same, by such a Time, the Defendant pleaded no Award

made.

within the Time, &c. made their Award in Writing, which he set forth at large, and assigned the Breach, &c. but did not say that the Award was ready to be delivered to the Parties, according to

the Words of the Submission supra.

16. And it was objected upon a Demurrer for this Fault, that the Submission being conditional, it should appear that this Condition was performed by the Arbitrators; otherwise their Award would not oblige the Parties; and therefore the Plaintiff ought to shew, that all the Condition was performed; otherwise he hath no Cause of Action.

17. But it was held in this Case, that it shall necessarily be intended, that the Award which was in Writing, was ready to be delivered to the Parties, and therefore good, and the rather, because the Condition is, that it ought to be ready to be delivered when any of the Parties required it; and it doth not appear that it was required by any of them.

them, and therefore it is not requisite it should be delivered before Request. Carth. 158, 159.

Where the Matters awarded are distinct, and not the one depending on the other, there the Award may be good as to one Parr, and void against the other; and in that Case the Breach must be assigned in that Part that is good; per Cur. 12 Mod. 585.

An Award was that all Suits should cease between A. and B. Resolved that the Prosecution of a Suit by A. against B. and C. is no Breach. 10 Mod. 205.

SECT. IV.

Of the Rejoinder, when it hall be a Departure, og not.

1. A Rejoinder is, when the Defendant fortifies his Bar with new Matter, but pursuant to the Bar. Co. Lit. 304.

2. And herein great Care must be taken that the Rejoinder be not a Departure from the Defendant's Plea, introducing new Matter, which had no Relation to his Plea.

3. And for this Reason it is said, that the Defendant is not to rejoin in such Words as are not contained in the Replication or Plea, for that is to begin a new Discourse of his own, and not to answer the Plaintiff.

4. Also, if the Defendant in his Rejoinder pleads new Matter, he must conclude, & boc Paratus est verificare; for he ought to give the Plaintist Liberty to come in with a Surrejoinder and Answer to it.

5. Yet it is faid, that in many Cases, if new Matter be averred in the Replication, if the Desendant alledges

ledges a new Answer in the Rejoinder, it is no De-

parture. 1 Med. 43, 44.

6. As where the Condition of an Obligation was, that the Defendant should stand to the Award of J. S. between the Defendant and J. D. Tenants, and the Defendant pleads Nallum fecerunt Arbitrium; and the Plaintiff replies, that the Award was made between the Defendant and Tenants of J. S. and names them; and the Defendant rejoins, that they were not Tenants; this was said to be no Departure, but good; and the Reason seems to be, for the Plaintiff alledged Matter, which gave Occasion

for fuch Plea. 39 H. 6. 16.

7. In Debt upon a Bond conditioned for the Performance of an Award, the Defendant pleaded an Award to pay fuch a Sum to the Plaintiff at fuch a Time and Place, and that he tendred it accordingly, and the Plaintiff refused to accept it; and the Plaintiff replied, that it was awarded in Satisfaction of all Controversies, and that the Defendant non obtulit folvere, & boc petit quod inquiratur, &c. and the Defendant demurred; and it was held by two Judges, that the Plaintiff having alledged new Matters, ought to have concluded to the Country, by which Means the Defendant is deprived of his Opportunity of traverling it; but the other two held, that the Defendant having admitted the Award good, and taken upon himfelf to plead Performance, he shall not be admitted to traverse the new Matter, so as to make the Award void; but if in Truth the Money was not awarded in Satisfaction of all Controversies, he should at first have pleaded Nullum fecerunt Arbitrium; for if he should now be admitted to prove it no Award, it would be a Departure. 3 Lev. 164.

8. If in Debt upon an Obligation, conditioned to perform an Award, the Defendant pleads no Award, and the Plaintiff replies, and sets forth an

Award made and tendered, & pursuant to the Condition, and the Defendant rejoins, and alledges that the Award was not tendered modo & Forma Prout, and concludes boc Paratus est verificare; this Rejoinder is naught on a double Account. First, Because it is a Departure from his Plea in Bar, for in his Plea he denies that any Award was made, and now he implicitly acknowledges it, but pretends that it was not made according to the Condition. 2dly, As his Plea is a direct Negative, he should have concluded to the Country, and not have traversed; for by this Means the Matter can never be put in Issue to be tried. 2 Saund, 190.

9. So in Debt on an Obligation to stand to an Award, and the Defendant pleads no Award, and the Plaintiff replies, and shews an Award and a Breach; to which the Defendant rejoins, that a new Cause of Action arose after the Submission and before the Award, and they awarded mutual Releases to be made at the Time of the Award made, and nothing further is awarded on one Side but the Release, and so the Award was void; on which the Plaintiff demurred, and it was adjudged for him, for the Rejoinder is a Departure; for when he pleads no Award, it shall be intended no Award at all; and then to shew and confess an Award in Fact, but faying that it is void in Law, is a Departure. Between House and Launder, I Lev. 85. The same Point was afterwards adjudged between Morgan and Man, 1 Lev. 127. and likewise between Garret and Weeden, 1 Lev. 133.

de englanded

SECT. V.

Of Demuerer, either to the Declaration, Plea, Replication, &c.

Demurrer may be upon a Declaration, Replication, Rejoinder, &c. as well as on a Plea; for all the Parts of pleading to Issue, ought to be according to the Rules of Law, or else the Party may demur thereto; for though by the Statute 4 & 5 Ann. for Amendment of the Law, Want of Form is not sufficient upon a general Demurrer; yet when such Want of Form is made a Cause of the Demurrer, it may prevail; and if there be Want of Substance, a general Demurrer will suffice.

2. The fafest Way for the Defendant in most Cases, if he imagines that the Award was not made according to Law, is to demur to the Plaintiff's Declaration, if he fets forth the Award therein, or to his Replication; for when the Submission is by Bond, he cannot plead nibil Debet to it; neither can plead non submissit, though he may plead non est fastum, or that the Arbitrators made no Award; which last is the usual Plea, and then it is incumbent on the Plaintiff to fet forth the Award in his Replication; to which the Defendant may demur either generally or specially; in which Case the Court will give Judgment for him, if the Award be not made according to Law and the Terms of the Submission; and this will prevent any Danger of having his Rejoinder construed a Departure.

And the same Rules, relating to general and special Demurrers, will be applied to awards as well as to other Cases.

3. As if in Debt upon an Obligation, conditioned for the Performance of an Award, so as, &c. the Defendant pleads no Award, and the Plaintiff replies, that ante exhibitionem Billa, scilicet, the 24th of June, which was a Day within the Submission, the Arbitrators made an Award, viz. &c. and the Defendant demurs generally, the Plaintiff shall have Judgment; for though the Plaintiff ought to have replied, that the Arbitrators made their Award before the Day limited to them; yet this is Form only, and helped by a general De-

murrer. 2 Sid. 370.

4. In Debt upon an Obligation, conditioned to perform an Award, the Defendant pleads no Award, and the Plaintiff replies an Award, that the Defendant should pay to the Plaintiff 15 l. at of before the first of December next following, and affigns a Breach that the Defendant did not pay the 15 l. upon the said first of December; whereunto the Defendant demurs generally, and Judgment was for him; for although he did not pay it upon the faid first of December, he might have paid it before the faid first of December; and although Payment before the Day is a good Payment at the Day, where Payment at the Day is pleaded, yet in Pleading the Parties ought to pursue the Words of the Condition. 1 Lev. 293. It would be needless to set down all Causes of Demurrer, which in Effect would be but shewing for what Causes Awards have been held void; which see Chap. VI.

or famile to bear sprake

SECT. VI.

Precedents of Pleadings in Awards.

J. S. of, &c. complains of W. N. being in the Declaration Custody of the Marshal of the Marshalsea of upon an Arbiour Sovereign Lord the King, before the King tration Bond. himself, of a Plea that he render to him one hundred Pounds of lawful Money of England, which he owes to and unjustly detains from him; for that, to wit, that whereas the faid W. N. on the roth Day of August in the Thirteenth Year, &c. ar, &c. by his certain Writing obligatory, sealed with the Seal of the faid W. N. and now shewn to the Court of our faid Lord the King here, the Date whereof is the same Day and Year abovesaid, acknowledged himself to be held and firmly bound to the said J. S. in the said Sum of one hundred Pounds, to be paid to the faid J. S. when he should be thereunto afterwards required ; but yet the said Not in the W. N. the faid Sum of one bundred Pounds, or any Originat. Part thereof, to the said J. S. (although often required) hath not yet paid, but to pay him the same hath hitherto abfolutely refused, and still refuseth, to the Damage of the faid J. S. ten Pounds, and therefore he bringeth Suit, &c. Pledges to prosecute. John Doe and Richard Roe.

And now here at this Day, to wir, Monday next Pleas after eight Days of St. Hilary this fame Term, until which Day the faid W. N. had Leave to imparl, and then to answer before our Lord the King at West-minster, comes as well the faid J. S. by his Attorney aforesaid, as the said W. N. by T. B. his Attorney, and the said W. N. defends the Force and Injury, when, &c. and prays Oyer of the Writing obligatory

obligatory aforesaid; and it is read to him, &c. he likewise prays Over of the Condition of the faid writing; and it is read to him in these Words, (to wit) The Condition of this Obligation is fuch, That if the above-bounden W. N. his Heirs. Executors and Administrators, for his and their Parts and Behalfs, do and shall, in all Things well and truly stand to, obey, abide, perform, fulfil and keep the Award, Order, Arbitrament, final End and Determination of John Hind, of the, &c. and John Cooper, of the faid, &c. Arbitrators indifferently named, elected and chosen, as well on the Part and Behalf of the above-bounden W. N. as of the above-named 7. S. to arbitrate, award, order, judge and determine, of and concerning all and all Manner of Action and Actions. Cause and Caufes of Actions, Suits, Bills, Bonds, Specialties, Judgments, Executions, Extents, Quarrels, Controversies, Trespasses, Damages and Demands whatfoever, at any Time or Times heretofore had, made, moved, brought, confented, profecuted, done, fuffered, committed or depending by and between the faid Parties, or either of them, fo as the faid Award be made in Writing, and ready to be delivered to either of the faid Parties requiring the same, on or before the eighth Hour in the Afternoon of this present Day; but if the said Arbitrators do not make such their Award, of and concerning the Premisses, by the Time aforesaid; that then if the faid W. N. his Executors and Administrators, for his and their Parts and Behalf, do in all Things well and truly stand to, obey, abide, perform, fulfil and keep the Award, Order, Arbitrament, Umpirage, final End and Determination of P. C. Gent. of and concerning the Premisses. fo as the faid Umpire do make his Award or Umpirage of and concerning the Premisses in Writing. and ready to be delivered to either of the faid Parties requiring the same, on or before the eighth Hour

Hour in the Afternoon of the Day next enfuing the Date of these Presents; then this Obligation to be void, or elfe to remain in full Force, Strength and Virtue. Which being read and heard, the faid W. N. faith, That the faid J. S. ought no tto have or maintain his aforefaid Action thereof against him, because he faith that the said John Hind and John Gooper in the faid Condition named made no Award, Order, Arbitrament, final End or Determination, before the eighth Hour in the Afternoon of the faid 19th Day of August in the Year aforesaid. being the Date of the faid Writing obligatory. But the faid W. N. further faith, that the faid P. C. the Umpire in the fame Condition likewise named. having taken upon himself the Burthen of making an Award, of and concerning the Premisses in the faid Condition above specified, afterwards, and before the eighth Hour in the Afternoon of the Day next enfuing the Date of the faid Writing obligatory in the same Condition specified, to wit, at the eighth Hour in the Forenoon of the same Day, at, &c. made his Umpirage in Writing, of and concerning the faid Premisses, then and there ready to be delivered to the Parties aforefaid in Manner and Form following, &c.

London, st. JOHN EYRE of Sheffield Manor Debt on Bond in the County of York, Gent. other-to perform an wise called Johannes Eyre de Sheffield Maner' in Com' Award.

Eborum Gen', was summoned to answer Burrowes Trippet, Gent. of a Plea that he render to him three hundred Pounds of lawful Money of Great Britain, which he owes to, and unjustly detains from him, &c. And whereupon the said Burrowes by Richard Milward his Attorney complains, that whereas the said John on the 9th Day of March in the Year of our Lord 1739 at London, in the Parish of St. Mary le Bow in the Ward of Cheap, by his certain Wri-

ting obligatory acknowledged himself to be held and firmly bound to the said Burrowes in the said three hundred Pounds, to be paid to the said Burrowes when he should be thereunto afterwards required: Nevertheless the said John (although often required) the said three hundred Pounds to the said Burrowes hath not yet rendered, but to render him the same hath hitherto resuled, and still resuleth; wherefore he saith he is prejudiced and hath Damage to the Value of one hundred Pounds; and therefore he brings Suit, &c. And the said Burrowes brings here into Court the Writing aforesaid, which testifies the Debt aforesaid in Form aforesaid, the Date whereof is the Day and Year above mentioned, &c.

Plea.
Defendant
crawes Oyer of
the Bond.

And the faid John by John Gatacre his Attorney comes and defends the Force and Injury, when, &c. and craves Over of the Writing aforesaid; and it is read to him, &c. he likewise craves Oyer of the Condition of the faid Writing; and it is read to him in these Words, (to wit) The Condition of this Obligation is fuch, That if the above bounden John Eyre his Executors and Administrators, for his and their Parts and Behalfs, do in all Things well and truly stand to, obey, abide, perform, fulfil and keep the Award, Order, Arbitrament, final End and Determination of Francis Barlow of Sheffield in the faid County, Gent. and Robert Sorefby of Sheffield aforesaid, Gent. Arbitrators indifferently named, elected and chosen, as well on the Part and Behalf of the above bounden John Eyre. as of the above named Burrowes Trippet, to arbitrate, award, order, judge and determine, of and concerning all and all Manner of Action and Actions, Cause and Causes of Actions, Suits, Bills, Bonds, Specialties, Judgments, Executions, Extents, Quarrels, Controversies, Trespasses, Damages

and Demands whatfoever, at any Time or Times heretofore had, made, moved, brought, commenced, sued, prosecuted, done, suffered, committed, or depending by or between the faid Parties, or either of them, so as the faid Award be made and put in Writing, or by Word of Mouth, on or before the Ninth Day of April now next enfuing; but if the faid Arbitrators do not make fuch their Award, of and concerning the Premisses, by the Time aforesaid, that then if the faid John Eyre, his Executors and Administrators, for his and their Parts and Behalfs, do in all Things well and truly fland to, obey, abide, perform, fulfil and keep the Award, Order, Arbitrament, Umpirage, final End and Determination of such Umpire, as the faid Francis Barlow and Robert Sorefby shall nominate, between the faid Parties, of and concerning the Premisses, so as the said Umpire do make his Award or Umpirage, of and concerning the Premiffes, by Writing or Word of Mouth, on or before the Sixteenth Day of April aforesaid, then this Obligation to be void, or elfe to remain in full Force, Strength and Virtue. Which being read and heard, the faid John Eyre faith, that the faid Burrowes Trippet ought not to have his faid Action against him by Virtue of the Writing obligatory asoresaid produced here in Court, because he saith that the faid Francis Barlow and Robert Sorefby in Defendant the said Condition above mentioned, after the pleads that making of the faid Writing obligatory as produced neither the here in Court, and within the Time aforesaid in Arbitrators the faid Condition in that Behalf limited, made no made any A-Award, Order, Arbitrament, final End or Deter- ward or Um. mination in Writing, or by Word of Mouth, of pirage. and concerning the Premisses in the said Condition. above mentioned, between the faid Burrowes Trippet and the faid John Eyre: And the faid John . Eyre further faith, that the faid Francis Barlow and

R 2

Robert Soresby, after the making of the faid Writing obligatory produced here in Court, and within the Time in the faid Condition in that Behalf limited, to wit, on the Tenth Day of April in the Year abovefaid, at London aforesaid, in the Parish and Ward aforefaid, nominated one Francis Jessop, Efg; to be Umpire between the faid Burrowes Trippet and the faid John Eyre, of and concerning the Premisses aforesaid, and that the said Francis Jessop fo nominated Umpire as aforefaid within the Time by the Condition aforesaid in that Behalf to him limited, made no Award, Umpirage or Determination, of and concerning the Premisses aforesaid by Writing, or by Word of Mouth; and this he is ready to certify; wherefore he prays Judgment whether the faid Burrowes Trippet ought to have his faid Action by Virtue of the faid Writing obligatory thereof against him, &c.

And the faid Burrowes faith, that he ought not by any Thing before alledged to be precluded from

faith, that the faid Francis Jeffop then and there absolutely refused to be Umpire between the same Burrowes and the faid John, of and concerning the Premisses; and thereupon the said Francis Barlow and Robert Sorefby afterwards, then and there, to wit, on the faid Tenth Day of April in the Year aforesaid, at London aforesaid, in the Parish and Ward aforefaid, nominated one Cornelius Clark. Esq; to be Umpire between the said Burrowes and the faid John, of and concerning the faid Premisses; and the faid Burrowes further faith, that the faid Cornelius afterwards, and before the faid Sixteenth Day of April in the Year aforesaid, at London aforefaid, in the Parish and Ward aforesaid, having taken upon himself the Burthen of the said Umpirage, by Word of Mouth did award and order, that the faid John should pay to the faid Burrowes Seventy Pounds upon the Ninteenth Day of May then next enfuing, at the House of John Ellison in Sheffield in the County of Tork, between Twelve There of the Clark in the Afternoon of the

ting obligatory acknowledged himself to be held and firmly bound to the said Burrowes in the said three hundred Pounds, to be paid to the said Burrowes when he should be thereunto afterwards required: Nevertheless the said John (although often required) the said three hundred Pounds to the said Burrowes hath not yet rendered, but to render him the same hath hitherto resuled, and still resuleth; wherefore he saith he is prejudiced and hath Damage to the Value of one hundred Pounds; and therefore he brings Suit, &c. And the said Burrowes brings here into Court the Writing aforesaid, which testifies the Debt aforesaid in Form aforesaid, the Date whereof is the Day and Year above mentioned, &c.

Plea.
Defendant
crawes Oyer of
the Bond.

And the faid John by John Gatacre his Attorney comes and defends the Force and Injury, when, &c. and craves Over of the Writing aforesaid; and it is read to him, &c. he likewise craves Over of the Condition of the faid Writing; and it is read to him in these Words, (to wit) The Condition of this Obligation is fuch, That if the above bounden John Eyre his Executors and Administrators, for his and their Parts and Behalfs, do in all Things well and truly stand to, obey, abide, perform, fulfil and keep the Award, Order, Arbitrament, final End and Determination of Francis Barlow of Sheffield in the faid County, Gent. and Robert Sorefby of Sheffield aforesaid, Gent. Arbitrators indifferently named, elected and chosen, as well on the Part and Behalf of the above bounden John Eyre, as of the above named Burrowes Trippet, to arbitrate, award, order, judge and determine, of and concerning all and all Manner of Action and Actions, Cause and Causes of Actions, Suits, Bills, Bonds, Specialties, Judgments, Executions, Extents, Quarrels, Controversies, Trespasses, Damages and

and Demands whatfoever, at any Time or Times heretofore had, made, moved, brought, commenced, sued, prosecuted, done, suffered, committed. or depending by or between the faid Parties, or either of them, so as the said Award be made and put in Writing, or by Word of Mouth, on or before the Ninth Day of April now next enfuing; but if the faid Arbitrators do not make such their Award, of and concerning the Premisses, by the Time aforesaid, that then if the faid John Eyre, his Executors and Administrators, for his and their Parts and Behalfs, do in all Things well and truly fland to, obey, abide, perform, fulfil and keep the Award, Order, Arbitrament, Umpirage, final End and Determination of fuch Umpire, as the faid Francis Barlow and Robert Sorefby shall nominate, between the faid Parties, of and concerning the Premisses, so as the said Umpire do make his Award or Umpirage, of and concerning the Premisses, by Writing or Word of Mouth, on or before the Sixteenth Day of April aforesaid, then this Obligation to be void, or elfe to remain in full Force, Strength and Virtue. Which being read and heard, the faid John Eyre faith, that the faid Burrowes Trippet ought not to have his faid Action against him by Virtue of the Writing obligatory asoresaid produced here in Court, because he saith that the faid Francis Barlow and Robert Sorefby in Defendant the said Condition above mentioned, after the pleads that making of the faid Writing obligatory as produced neither the here in Court, and within the Time aforesaid in Arbitrators the faid Condition in that Behalf limited, made no made any A-Award, Order, Arbitrament, final End or Deter- ward or Ummination in Writing, or by Word of Mouth, of pirage. and concerning the Premisses in the said Condition above mentioned, between the faid Burrowes Trippet and the faid John Eyre: And the faid John. Eyre further faith, that the faid Francis Barlow and

Robert

Robert Soresby, after the making of the said Writing obligatory produced here in Court, and within the Time in the faid Condition in that Behalf limited. to wit, on the Tenth Day of April in the Year abovefaid, at London aforesaid, in the Parish and Ward aforesaid, nominated one Francis Jessop, Efg; to be Umpire between the faid Burrowes Trippet and the faid John Eyre, of and concerning the Premisses aforesaid, and that the said Francis Tessop fo nominated Umpire as aforefaid within the Time by the Condition aforesaid in that Behalf to him limited, made no Award, Umpirage or Determination, of and concerning the Premisses aforesaid by Writing, or by Word of Mouth; and this he is ready to certify; wherefore he prays Judgmene whether the faid Burrowes Trippet ought to have his faid Action by Virtue of the faid Writing obligatory thereof against him, &c.

The Plaintiff replies.

And the faid Burrowes faith, that he ought not by any Thing before alledged to be precluded from having his faid Action against the faid Fohn, because he saith that well and true it is, that the said Francis and Robert in the faid Condition above named, after the making of the faid Writing obligatory, and within the Time aforesaid in the said Condition in that Behalf limited, did make no Award, Order, Arbitrament, final End or Determination in Writing, or by Word of Mouth, of and concerning the Premisses in the said Condition above mentioned, between the faid Burrowes and the faid John Eyre, and that the faid Francis Barlow and Robert Sorefby before the Sixteenth Day of April in the faid Condition mentioned, to wit, on the Day and at the Place in the Plea aforefaid mentioned, did nominate the faid Francis Jeffop, Esq; to be Umpire between the said Burrowes and the faid John: But the faid Burrowes further faith,

faith, that the faid Francis Jeffop then and there absolutely refused to be Umpire between the same Burrowes and the faid John, of and concerning the Premisses; and thereupon the said Francis Barlow and Robert Sorefby afterwards, then and there, to wit, on the faid Tenth Day of April in the Year aforesaid, at London aforesaid, in the Parish and Ward aforesaid, nominated one Cornelius Clark, Efg; to be Umpire between the faid Burrowes and the faid John, of and concerning the faid Premisses; and the faid Burrowes further faith, that the faid Cornelius afterwards, and before the faid Sixteenth Day of April in the Year aforesaid, at London aforefaid, in the Parish and Ward aforesaid, having taken upon himself the Burthen of the said Umpirage, by Word of Mouth did award and order. that the faid John should pay to the faid Burrowes Seventy Pounds upon the Ninteenth Day of May then next enfuing, at the House of John Ellison in Sheffield in the County of York, between Twelve and Three of the Clock in the Afternoon of the same Day, and that after such Payment upon the same Day, and at the same Place the said Burrowes and John Eyre, Esq; should mutually seal to each other General Releases; but yet the said John Eyre, although often required, hath not paid to the faid Burrowes the faid Seventy Pounds according to the Form and Effect of the faid Umpirage; and this he is ready to certify; wherefore he prays Judgment, and that his Debt and Damages may be adjudged to him, &c.

And the said John saith, that the aforesaid Plea The Defindof the said Burrowes above pleaded in Reply, and ant's Special the Matter therein contained, are insufficient in Demurrer. Law for the said Burrowes to have and maintain his said Action against him the said John, and that he is under no Necessity, nor is he obliged by the R 2 Law

Law of the Land, to give any Answer to the said Plea in Manner and Form as the same is above pleaded; and this he is ready to certify; wherefore for want of a sufficient Replication of the said Burrowes in this Behalf, the faid John prays Judgment, and that the faid Burrowes be precluded from having his faid Action against him, &c. And for Causes of Demurrer in Law of him the faid John in this Behalf, the faid John according to the Form of the Statute in fuch Case lately made and provided, shews and demonstrates to the Court here these Causes following, to wit, That it does not appear by the faid Replication that the faid John had Notice that the faid Arbitrators had named the faid Cornelius Clarke to be an Umpire berween the Parties aforesaid, or that the said Cornelius had any Authority to make any Umpirage, or to be Umpire between the same Parties of the Premisses aforesaid, &c.

Plaintiff's Joinder in Demurrer. And the said Burrowes, for that he hath alledged sufficient Matter above in Reply to maintain his said Action, which said Matter the said John hath not denied, nor in any wise answered the same, but to admit the said Verification altogether resuses, he the said Burrowes prays Judgment, and that his said Debt, together with his Damages on Account of the detaining of such Debt, be adjudged to him, &c. And because the Justices here are willing to advise of and concerning the Premisses before they give Judgment thereupon, a Day is given to the Parties aforesaid until three Weeks from the Day of St. Michael to hear their Judgment thereon, for that the Justices here are not yet advised, &c.

London, ff. B E it remembred that heretofore, to Debt on A-wir, in Easter Term last past before ward.

our Lord the King at Westminster came 7. H. by C. B. his Attorney, and brought here into the Court of our Lord the King then and there a certain Bill of his against R. H. in the Custody of the Marshal, &c. of a Plea of Debt, and there are Pledges of profecuting, to wit, John Roe and Richard Roe, which faid Bill follows in these Words. to wit, London to wit, J. H. complains of R. H. being in the Custody of the Marshal of the Marshalfea of our Sovereign Lord the King, before the King himself, of a Plea that he render to him Fifteen Pounds of lawful Money of England, which he owes to and unjustly detains from him; for that to wit, that whereas certain Suits and Differences were had and moved between him the faid 7. and the faid R. touching and concerning certain Sums of Money due to the faid 7. by the faid R. for Brass by him the said J. to the said R. before that Time fold and delivered. And whereas for the appeafing such Suits and Differences, as well the faid 7. as the faid R. on the twenty-fourth Day of October in the fourteenth Year of the Reign of our Sovereign Lord George the Second, King, &c. at London aforesaid, to wit, in the Parish of St. Dunfan in the East, in the Ward of Farrington without, submitted and put themselves to the Award, Order, Determination and Judgment of one T.W. Box maker, and R. L. Citizen and Stationer of London, Arbitrators indifferently elected, as well on the Behalf of the faid J. as on the Behalf of the faid R. to award, order, determine and adjudge of and concerning all Actions, Suits, Quarrels, Controversies, Trespasses, Variances, Claims and Demands whatfoever then depending and being be-R 4 tween

tween the faid Parties from the Beginning of the World until the faid twenty-fourth Day of October in the Year aforesaid, so that the said Arbitrators made and declared their Award touching the Premisses in Writing under their Hands and Seals, ready to be delivered to the faid 7, and the faid R. on or before the seventh Day of November then next following; and if the faid Arbitrators should not agree upon their faid Award at and by the Time aforesaid, then as well the said R. as the said 7. on the faid twenty-fourth Day of October in the Year abovesaid, at London aforesaid, in the Parish and Ward aforesaid, would put themselves under the Award and Umpirage of fuch Person as the faid Arbitrators should nominate and elect to finish and determine the Premisses, so that the Umpirage and Award of such Umpire should be made in Writing under his Hand and Seal before the twentieth Day of the faid Month of November. whereas the faid Arbitrators at any Time after the faid tweny-fourth Day of October in the Year abovefaid, and at or before the faid seventh Day of November then next following, did not agree, make or declare any Award touching the Premisses aforefaid in Writing under their Hands and Seals ready to be delivered to the faid 7, and R. according to the Submission aforesaid; but the said Arbitrators afterwards, to wit, on the seventh Day of November in the Year abovefaid, at London aforefaid, in the Parish and Ward aforesaid, one Richard Weekes of the Parish of St. Giles without Cripplegate in the County of Middlesex, Brewer, an Umpire, to finish and determine the Premisses aforesaid, nominated and elected; which faid Richard Weekes afterwards, and before the twentieth Day of November in the Year abovesaid, at London aforesaid, in the Parish and Ward aforesaid, having taken upon himself the Burthen of the faid Umpirage

and Award, made his certain Umpirage of and concerning the Premisses, under the Hand and Seal of the said Richard Weekes, and by his said Umpirage awarded and ordered that the said R. H. should pay or cause to be paid to the said J. H. the Sum of Fisteen Pounds of lawful Money, within sourteen Days then next following, in sull of all Dues, Accounts and Sums of Money due to the said J. whereby an Action accrued to the said J. to demand and have of the said R. the said Fisteen Pounds; but yet the said R. although often required, &c. the said Fisteen Pounds hath not paid, but to pay the same hath hitherto denied, and still denies, to the Damage of the said J. Twenty Pounds; and therefore he brings Suit, &c.

And now here at this Day, to wit, Friday next Plea. after three Weeks of St. Michael this same Term, until which Day the faid R. H. had Leave to imparl to the Bill aforesaid, and then to answer, &c. before our Lord the King at Westminster, comes as well the faid 7. by his Attorney aforesaid, as the faid R. by J. H. his Attorney, and the faid R. defends the Force and Inquiry, when, &c. and faith that the faid J. H. pught not to have or maintain his faid Action thereof against him, because he faith that the faid Bill of the faid J. was exhibited on the Eighth Day of April in the Year, &c. and not before, and that the Cause of Action on which the faid 7. hath declared against the faid R. did not accrew within fix Years next before the Day of exhibiting the faid Bill; and this he is ready to verify; wherefore he prays Judgment whether the faid J. ought to have or maintain his faid Action thereof against him, &c.

And the faid J. H. faith, that he ought not, by Demurrer. any Thing alledged by the faid R. above in his Plea,

Plea, to be precluded from having his said Action thereof against him, because he saith that the said Plea by him the said R. in Manner and Form above pleaded, &c. and the Matter therein contained are not sufficient in Law to preclude the said J. from having his said Action against the said R. to which said Plea the said J. is under no Necessity, nor is be bound by the Law of the Land in any wise to answer; wherefore for want of a sufficient Plea in this Behalf the said J. prays Judgment, and his said Debt, together with his Damages on Account of the detaining of such Debt, to be adjudged to him, &c.

Joinder in . Demurrer .

And the faid R. faith, that the faid Plea by him the faid R. in Manner and Form aforefaid above pleaded, and the Matter therein contained, are good and sufficient in Law to preclude the said J. from having his faid Action thereof against him the said R. which faid Plea and the Matter he the faid R. is ready to verify and prove as the Court, &c. and because the said J. hath not answered the said Plea, nor in any wife denied the fame, the fame R. as before prays Judgment, and that the faid 7. be precluded from having his faid Action thereof against him, &c. but because the Court of our said Lord the King here is not yet advised, &c. at which Day before our faid Lord the King at Westminster come the Parties aforesaid by their Attornies aforesaid; whereupon all and singular the Premisses being seen by the Court of our said Lord the King, and fully understood, and mature Deliberation thereof had, for that it appears to the Court of our faid Lord the King here, that the Plea of the faid R. in Manner and Form above pleaded, is not sufficient in the Law to preclude the faid 7. from having his faid Action against the faid R. it is confidered that the faid 7. do recover against

Judgment.

against the said R. his said Debt, and also ten Pounds for his Damages, which he sustained as well on Account of the detaining of such Debt, as for his Costs and Charges by him about his Suit in this Behalf laid out, to the said J. by the Court of our said Lord the King here from his own Assent adjudged; and that the said R. be in Mercy, &c.

Suff. ff. DE it remembred that heretofore, to wit. in the Term of St. Michael last past, Debt upon an before our Lord the King at Westminster came T. C. Award, in by E. N. his Attorney, and brought here into the which the A-Cours of our faid Lord the King then and there a ward is fet certain Bill of his against W. H. Gent. in the Cuf-forth. tody of the Marshal, &c. of a Plea of Debt; and there are Pledges to profecute, to wit, John Doe and Richard Roe; which faid Bill follows in thefe Words, to wit, Suff. to wit, T. C. complains of W. H. Genr. being in the Custody of the Marshal of the Marshalfea of our Sovereign Lord the King, before the King himself, of a Plea that he render to him nine Pounds of lawful Money, &c. which he owes to him and unjustly detains, for that to wit, that whereas on the twelfth Day of September in the Year, &c. certain Suits and Controversies were moved, had and depending between them the faid T. and W. for the appealing and determining of which faid Suits and Controversies the faid T. and W. on the twelfth Day of September in the Year aforefiid, at Hallesworth in the County aforesaid, fubmitted themselves to stand to the Award, Order and Judgment of one W. and E. Arbitrators between them indifferently elected, fo that the faid Award should be made by the faid Arbitrators, touching and concerning the Premisses, before the last Day of Michaelmas Term then next following, if they could; and if they could not,

then to the Award and final Arbitration of one F.dward Cooke, Esq; the Umpire between the said T. and W. indifferently elected, so that such Award should be made touching and concerning the Premiffes before the last Day of Michaelmas Term aforesaid; and the said T. in fact saith, that the faid W. C. and E. N. made no Award, nor were they able to make any Award between the Parties aforefaid touching and concerning the Premisses, before the last Day of Michaelmas Term, whereof the faid Edward Cooke having Notice, and having taken upon himself the Burthen of the said Award, afterwards and before the faid last Day of Michaelmas Term aforesaid, to wit, on the twenty-seventh Day of November in the Year, &c. at Hallesworth aforesaid, it was awarded between the said T. and W. of and concerning the Premisses in Form following, to wit, That the faid W. upon the twentyfourth Day of December then next following should pay to the faid T. nine Pounds, as well for Money due by the faid W. to the faid T. as for the Costs and Charges of the faid T. in and about the Profecution and Defence of the feveral Suits aforesaid. and that upon Payment of the faid nine Pounds the faid T. and W. should give to each other general Acquittances, whereby an Action accrued to the faid T. to demand and have of the faid W. the faid nine Pounds; but yet the faid W. (although often required, &c.) the faid nine Pounds to the faid Thomas hath not paid, but to pay him the fame hath hitherto absolutely refused, and still refuseth, to the Damage of the said Thomas ten Pounds; and therefore he bringeth Suit, &c.

Demurrer.

And now here at this Day, to wit, Saturday next after eight Days of St. Hilary this fame Term, until which Day the faid W. had Leave to impart to the faid Bill, and then to answer, &c. before

our Lord the King at Westminster, comes as well the faid T. by his Attorney aforefaid, as the faid W. by W. C. his Attorney, and the faid W. defends, the Force and Inquiry, when, &c. and faith that the faid T. ought not to have or maintain his faid Action against him, because he saith that the Declaration and the Matter therein contained are not fufficient in Law for the faid T. to have or maintain the faid Action of the faid T. against him the faid W. to which faid Declaration he the faid W. has no Necessity, nor is he obliged by the Law of the Land in any wife to answer; and this he is ready to verify: wherefore for want of a sufficient Declaration in this Behalf, he the faid W. prays Judgment, and that the faid T. be precluded from having his faid Action against him the faid W. &c.

And the faid T. faith that he ought not, by any Joinder. Thing above alledged by the faid W. in his Plea, to be precluded from having his faid Action against him, because he faith that the Declaration aforesaid and the Matter therein contained are good and fufficient in Law for him the faid T. to have and maintain his faid Action against the faid W. which faid Declaration, and the Matter therein contained. he the faid T. is ready to verify and prove as the Court, &c. and because the said W. hath not anfwered the faid Declaration, nor hath hitherto in any wife denied the fame, prays Judgment and his Debt aforesaid, together with his Damages, on Account of the detaining of fuch Debt, to be adjudged to him, &c. but because the Court of our faid Low the King is not yet advised to give Judgment of and upon the Premisses, &c.

Debt upon a
Bond of Submission.

London, ff. DE it remembred, that heretofore to wit, in the Term of St. Hilary last past, before our Lord the King at Westminster came M. R. Widow, by T. S. her Attorney, and brought here into the Court of our faid Lord the King then and there a certain Bill of her's against T. M. of Ascatt in the County of Gloucester, Esq; in the Custody of the Marshal, &c. of a Plea of Debt; and there are Pledges to profecute, to wit, John Doe and Richard Rot; which faid Bill follows in these Words, to wit, London to wit, M. R. Widow, complains of T. M. Esq; being in the Custody of the Marshal of the Marshalfea of our Lord the King, of a Plea that he render to her two hundred Pounds of lawful Money of England, which he owes to and unjustly detains from her, for that to wit, that whereas the faid T. on the twenty-fifth Day of January in the Year of our Lord, &c. at-London, to wit, in the Parish of St. Mary le Bow in the Ward of Cheap, by his certain Writing obligatory, fealed with the Seal of the faid T. and now shewn to the Court of our faid Lord the King, the Date whereof is the Day and Year abovefaid, acknowledged himself to be held and firmly bound to the faid M. in the faid two hundred Pounds, to be paid to the faid M. when he should be thereunto required; but yet the faid T. (although often requested) hath not yet paid the said two hundred Pounds to the faid M. but to pay the same hath Hitherto refused, and still refuseth, to the Damage of the faid M. twenty Pounds, and therefore the bringeth Suit, &c.

Plea.

And now at this Day, to wit, Friday next after the Morrow of the Holy Trinity this same Term, till which Day the said T. M. had Leave to imparl

to the faid Bill, and then to answer, &c. before our Lord the King at Westminster comes as well the faid M. by her Attorney aforesaid, as the said T. M. by J. S. his Attorney, and the faid T. M. defends the Force and Inquiry, when, &c. and prays Oyer of the faid Writing obligatory; and it is read to him, &c. he likewise prays Oyer of the Condition of the faid Writing obligatory; and it is read to him in these Words, to wit, The Condition of this Obligation is fuch, That if the above bounden T. M. his Heirs, Executors, Administrators and Assigns, and every of them, for his and their Part and Behalf, shall and do in all Things stand to, abide, observe, perform, fulfil and keep the Award, Determination, final End and Judgment of H. K. and C. G. Prebendaries of Westminster, and Doctors of Divinity, Arbitrators indifferently nominated, elected and chosen, as well on the Part and Behalf of the above named M. R. to award, arbitrate, judge of, and determine of, for and upon, and concerning all and all Manner of Causes of Actions, Suit, Trouble, Debts, Reckonings, Accounts, Sums of Money, Claims and Demands whatfoever, had, made, stirred, moved or depending between the said Parties, at any Time before the Date of the above written, fo always as that the faid Award, Judgment and Determination of the faid Arbitrators, of, for and concerning the Premisses, be made and put in Writing indented, under their Hands and Seals, on this Side, and before the first of May now next ensuing, and one Part there delivered, or tendered to be delivered to the faid T. M. at or within the now Hall of the Dean and Chapter of Westminster asoresaid, situate in Westminster aforesaid, between the Hours of Two and Five in the Afternoon of the same Day; then this Obligation to be void, or elfe to be and stand in

The Compleat Arbittatoi:

full Force and Virtue; which being read and heard, the said T. saith that the said M. ought not to have or maintain his said Action against him, because he saith that the said H. K. and C. G. the Arbitrators in the said Condition above mentioned, did not make any Award between the said T. and M. in the said Condition named, according to the Form and Effect of the said Condition; and this he is ready to verify; wherefore he prays Judgment whether the said M. ought to have or maintain her said Action against him, &c.

Replication.

And the faid M. R. faith, that the by any Thing by the faid T. M. above in his Plea alledged ought not to be precluded from having her faid Action against him, because she faith that the said H. K. and C. G. the Arbitrators in the faid Condition named, after the making of the faid Writing obligatory, and before the first Day of May in the faid Condition likewise mentioned, to wir, on the first Day of February in the Year of our Lord, &c. at London aforesaid, in the Parish and Ward aforefaid, having taken open themselves the Burthen of awarding, ordering and adjudging of and upon the Premisses in the said Condition above specified, between the faid M. R. and the faid T. M. and then and there made a certain Award in Writing indented under their Hands and Seals, touching and concerning the Premiffes in the faid Condition above specified, and by the said Award then and there awarded and ordered in Manner and Form Bllowing, (that is to fay) That the faid T. M. his Executors or Administrators, should pay to the faid M. R. her Executors or Administrators, the Sum of one hundred Pounds of lawful Money of Great Britain, upon the tenth Day of June then next following, at or in the common Dining-Hall of the Middle Temple, London, between the Hours

of Two and Five in the Afternoon of the fame Day; and as foon as the faid T. M. his Executors or Administrators should have paid the said Sum of one hundred Pounds to the faid M. her Executors or Administrators as aforesaid, that she the faid M. her Executors or Administrators, by her or their sufficient Deed in Writing, should remife and release to the said T. M. his Heirs, Executors or Administrators, all and all Manner of Actions, Causes and Cause of Actions, Suits, Bills, Bonds, Specialties, Judgments, Executions, Extents, Quarrels, Controversies, Trespasses, Damages and Demands whatsoever, at any Time before the said 25th Day of January then last past, before making of the said Award, had, made, moved, brought, commenced, fued, profecuted, committed or depending between the faid M. R. and the faid T. M. and upon the fealing and executing such Release by the faid M. R. her Executors or Administrators, to the faid T. M. his Heirs, Executors or Administrators as aforesaid, he the said T. M. his Executors or Administrators, by his or their sufficient Deed in Writing, should remise and release to the faid M. her Heirs, Executors or Administrators, all and all Manner of Action and Actions, Cause and Causes of Actions, Suits, Bills, Bonds, Specialties, Judgments, Executions, Extents, Quarrels, Controversies, Trespasses, Damages and Demands whatfoever, at any Time had, made, moved, brought, commenced, fued, profecuted, committed or depending by or between the faid Parties, or either of them, before the faid twenty-fifth Day of January then last past before the making of the faid Award; and the faid M. R. further faith, that the faid Award fo indented in Writing under the Hands and Seals of the faid Arbitrators, afterwards, to wit, for the whole Time, between Two and Five of the Clock in the Afternoon of

the faid first Day of February, in the faid Dining-Hall of the Dean and Chapter of Westminster, situated at Westminster in the County of Middlesex, was ready and tendred to be delivered to the faid I. M. but neither he nor any other Person on his Behalf came there to receive the faid Award; and the faid M. further faith, that the faid Award fo indented in Writing under the Hands and Seals of the faid Arbitrators, during the whole Time, between the Hours of Two and Five in the Afternoon of the faid first Day of May, in the faid Condition above specified, in the said Dining-Hall of the Dean and Chapter of Westminster aforesaid, was in like Manner ready and tendred to be delivered to the faid T. M. but neither he nor any Person on his Behalf came to receive the fame; and the faid M. further faith, that although the the faid M. from the Time of the making of the faid Award hitherto hath performed, fulfilled and kept all and fingular the Things in the faid Award contained on her Part to be performed, fulfilled and kept, according to the Form and Effect of the faid written Award, protesting that the said T. hath not performed or kept any Thing in the faid Award above specified on his Part to be performed, fulfilled and kept, the faid M. faith that the faid T. before or upon the said tenth Day of June, in the faid Award specified, hath not paid to the said M. the faid one hundred Pounds according to the Form and Effect of the faid Award; and this he is ready to verify; wherefore the prays Judgment and her said Debt, together with her Damages on Account of the detaining of such Debt to be adjudged to her, &c.

Rejoinder.

And the said T. M. saith that the said Award so indented in Writing under the Hands and Seals of the said Arbitrators, during the whole Time afore-said,

faid, between the Hours of Two and Five in the Afternoon of the faid first Day of February, in the faid Dining-Hall of the Dean and Chapter of Westminster, fituated at Westminster in the faid County of Middlesex, was not ready or tendered to to be delivered to the faid T. M. and that the faid Award fo indented in Writing under the Hands and Seals of the faid Arbitrators, during the whole Time aforesaid, between the Hours of Two and Five in the Afternoon of the said first Day of May in the faid Condition above specified, in the faid Dining-Hall of the faid Dean and Chapter, of Westminster aforesaid, was not ready nor tendered to be delivered to the faid T. as the faid M. hath above in Reply alledged; and this he is ready to verify; wherefore as before he prays Judgment, and that the faid M. be precluded from having his said Action thereof against him, &c.

And the faid M. faith, that fhe by any Thing Demurrer. by the faid T. in his Rejoinder above alledged ought not to be precluded from having her faid Action thereof against him, because she saith that the faid Plea of the faid T. in Manner and Form above pleaded in rejoining, and the Matter therein contained, are not fufficient in Law to preclude the faid M. from having her faid Action against the faid T. to which faid Plea she the faid M. is under no Necessity, nor is she obliged by the Law of the Land in any wife to answer; and this she is ready to verify; wherefore, for want of a fufficient Rejoinder in this Behalf, the faid M. prays Judgment and her Debt aforesaid, together with her Damages on Account of the detaining of fuch Debt, to be adjudged to her, &c.

And the said T. saith, that the aforesaid Plea by Joinder in Dehim the said T. in Manner and Form above plead-muzzer.

ed in Rejoinder, and the Matter therein contained, are good and sufficient in the Law to preclude the faid M. from having her said Action against him the faid T. which faid Plea and the Matter therein contained the faid T. is ready to verify and prove as the Court, Etc. And because the said M. to the faid Plea hath not answered, nor hath hitherto in any wife denied the fame, as before, prays Judgment, and that the faid M. be precluded from having her faid Action against him; but because the Court of our faid Lord the King here is not yet advised about giving their Judgment of and upon the Premisses, therefore a Day is given to the faid Parties before our Lord the King at Westminster, until Monday next after three Weeks of St. Michael, to hear their Judgment of and concerning the Premisses; at which Day before our Lord the King at Westminster come the Parties aforesaid by their Attornies aforesaid; whereupon all and fingular the Premisses being seen, and by the Court of our faid Lord the King here fully understood, and mature Deliberation had thereupon, for that it appears to the Court of our faid Lord the King here, that the Plea aforefaid by the faid T. in Manner and Form aforesaid above pleaded in Rejoinder, and the Matter therein contained, are not sufficient in Law to preclude her the said M. from having her said Action against him, It is considered that the said M. do recover against the faid T. her Debt aforesaid, and also ten Pounds for her Damages which she hath sustained, as well on Account of detaining of such Debt, as for her Costs and Charges by her about her Suit in this Behalf laid out, to the faid M. by the Court of our faid Lord the King from her own Affent adjudged, and the faid T. is in Mercy, &c.

London, ff. BE it remembred, that on Wednesday Debt on a next after fifteen Days of Easter this Bond of Sub-

fame Term, before our Lord the King at Westmin- mission. fter came T. V. Eig; by D. S. his Attorney, and brought here into the Court of our faid Lord the King, then and there a certain Bill of his against W. W. of. &c. in the County of Gloucester, Gent. being in the Custody of the Marshal, &c. of a Plea of Debt; and there are Pledges to profecutes to wit, John Doe and Richard Roe; which faid Bill follows in these Words, to wit, London, to wit, T. V. Efg; complains of W. W. otherwise called W. W. of, &c. in the County of Gloucester, Gent, being in the Cuftody of the Marshal of the Marshalsea of our Sovereign Lord the King, before the King himself, of a Plea that he tender to him two thousand Pounds of lawful Money of Great Britain, which he owes to and unjustly detains, for that, to wit, that whereas the faid W. on the fixteenth Day of April in the Year, &c. at London, to wir, in the Parish of St. Mary le Bow, in the Ward of Cheap, by his certain Writing obligatory fealed with the Seal of the faid W. and now shewn to the Court of our faid Lord the King, the Date whereof is the same Day and Year, acknowledged himself to be held and firmly bound to the said T. V. in the faid two thousand Pounds, to be paid to the faid T. when he should be thereunto afterwards requested; but yet the faid W. although often requested, the said two thousand Pounds to the said T. hath not paid, but to pay the same hath hitherto absolutely refused, and still refuseth, to the Damage of the faid T. one hundred Pounds; and therefore he brings Suit, &c.

Plea and Performance pleaded.

And the W. W. by W. S. his Attorney, comes and defends the Force and Injury, when, &c, and prays Over of the faid Writing obligatory; and it is read to him, &c. he likewise prays Oyer of the Condition of the faid Writing, &c. and it is read to him in these Words, to wit, The Condition of this Obligation is fuch, That if the above bounden W. W. and J. D. their Heirs, Executors and Administrators, for their Parts and Behalfs, shall and do in all Things well and truly stand to, obey, abide, perform, fulfil and keep the Award, Order, Arbitrament, final End and Determination of 7. C. Gent. and 7. E. Gent. Arbitrators indifferently elected and named, as well on the Part and Behalf of the above bounden W. W. as of the above named T. V. to arbitrate, award, order, judge and determine, of and concerning all and all Manner of Action and Actions, Cause and Causes of Action, Suits, Bills, Bonds, Specialties, Judgments, Executions, Extents, Quarrels, Controversies, Trespasses, Damages and Demands whatsoever, at any Time heretofore had, made, moved, brought, commenced, fued, profecuted, done, fuffered, committed or depending, by or between the faid Parties, or any of them, so as the faid Award be made by the faid Arbitrators, by the thirteenth Day of May next ensuing the Date hereof; and in Case the said Arbitrators do not end the Differences between the said Parties, then all Things shall be referred to Sir B. T. Knight and Baroner, as Umpire; who is to make his Umpirage in Writing the twentieth Day of May next ensuing; then this Obligation to be void and of none Effect, or else to remain in full Force and Virtue; Which being read and heard, the faid W. faith that the faid T. V. ought not to have his faid Action against him, because he saith that the faid J. C. and J. E. the Arbitrators in the faid Condition

dition named, afterwards, to wit, the eleventh Day of May in the Year, &c. at London aforesaid, in the Parish and Ward aforesaid, made their Award in Writing, touching and concerning the Premisses aforesaid in the said Condition above specified, and by the faid Award the said 7. C. and 7. E. awarded that on Wednesday the thirteenth Day of May then instant, the said W. W. his Heirs, Executors and Administrators, should fatisfy, content and pay to the faid T. V. his Executors or Assigns, the full Sum of three thousand one hundred and fixty-nine Pounds fixteen Shillings and Three-pence of lawful Money of Great Britain; and they further awarded that he the faid W. W. his Executors or Administrators, upon the thirteenth Day of May should seal, and as his Deed deliver to the faid T. V. his Heirs, Executors and Administrators, a full and general Release and Discharge of all and all Manner of Actions and Caufes of Actions, Suits, Bills, Bonds, Specialties, Judgments, Executions, Extents, Quarrels, Controverfies, Trespasses, Damages and Demands whatsoever, at any Time before the Date of the faid Obligation here in Court produced, had, made, moved, commenced, fued, profecuted, committed or depending by or between the faid Parties; and the faid W. further faith, that he the faid W. on the faid tenth Day of May in the Year, &c. at London aforesaid, in the Parish and Ward aforesaid, paid to the faid T. V. the faid Sum of three thousand one hundred and fixty-nine Pounds fixteen Shillings and Three-pence, according to the Form and Effect of the faid Award, and also then and there sealed, and as his Deed delivered to the faid T. V. a full Release of all and all Manner of. Actions and Causes of Actions, Suits, Bills, Bonds, Specialties, Judgments, Executions, Extents, Quara rels, Controversies, Trespasses and Demands; and this he is ready to verify; wherefore he prays S 4 Judgment,

Judgment, whether the said T. ought to have or maintain his said Action against him, &c.

Debt upon the Yorksh. ff. S. L. late of, &c. in the County aforesaid, Bond. Yeoman, otherwise called, &c. was

O. Yeoman, otherwise called, &c. was fummoned to answer J. H. Widow, of a Plea that he render to her one hundred Pounds which he owes to and unjustly detains from her, &c. and whereupon the faid J. by T. B. her Attorney complains. that whereas the faid S. on the twenty-fifth Day of July in the Year, &c. at W. by his certain Writing obligatory acknowledged himself to be held and firmly bound to the faid 7. in the faid one hundred Pounds, to be paid to the faid 7. when he should be thereunto required; but yet the said S. although often requested, the said one hundred Pounds to the said J. hath not rendred, but to render him the same hath hitherto absolutely refused, and still refuseth; wherefore she faith she is prejudiced and hath Damage to the Value of ten Pounds, and therefore the bringeth Suit, &c. and the brings here into Court the faid Writing, which testifies the said Debt in Form aforesaid, the Date whereof is the Day and Year aforesaid. &c.

Plea.

And the said S. by J. E. his Attorney, comes and defends the Force and Injury, when, &c. and prays Oyer of the said Writing; and it is read to him, &c. he likewise prays Oyer of the Condition of the said Writing; and it is read to him in these Words. The Condition of this Obligation is such, That if the above bounden S. L. his Heirs, Executors and Administrators, for their Parts and Behalfs, shall and do in all Things, well and truly stand to, obey, abide, perform, sulfil and keep the Award, Order, Arbitrament, final end and Determination of E. D. of, &c. in the County of York, Clerk,

Clerk, and R. R. of, &c. in the faid County, Gent. Arbitrators indifferently elected and named, as well on the Part and Behalf of the above named S. L. as of the above named J. H. to arbitrate, award, order, judge and determine, of and concerning all and all Manner of Action and Actions. Cause and Causes of Actions, Suits, Bills, Bonds, Specialties, Judgments, Executions, Extents, Quarrels, Controversies, Trespasses, Damages and Demands whatfoever, at any Time heretofore had, made, moved, brought, commenced, fued, profecuted, done, fuffered, committed or depending by or between the faid Parties, or either of them, fo as the faid Award be made either in Writing or by Word of Mouth, and ready to be delivered to the Parties in Difference, or such of them as shall defire the same, on or before Seven of the Clock in the Afternoon of this present Day; then this Obligation to be void, or elfe to remain in full Force and Virtue. Which being read and heard, the faid S. faith that the faid 7. ought not to have her faid Action against him, because he saith that the Arbitrators aforesaid, after the making of the said Writing, and before Seven of the Clock in the Afternoon of the faid twenty-fifth Day of July in the Year, &c. made no Award between the faid S. and the faid 7. touching and concerning the Premisses in the said Condition specified; and this he is ready to verify; wherefore he prays Judgment whether the faid 7. ought to have his faid Action againft him, &c.

And the faid J. faith, that the by any Thing Plaintiff sets before alledged ought not to be precluded from forth an A-having her said Action, because the saith that long ward made before the making of the said Writing, to wit, in ore tenus. the Term of the Holy Trinity in the Year, &c. in his Majesty's Court of the Bench here, to wit,

at Westminster in the County of Middlesex, the the faid 7. impleaded the faid S. in a certain Plea of Trespass upon the Case, for that the said S. had spoken of the said 7. certain scandalous English Words, which at the Time of the making of the faid Writing was depending and undetermined, and that the faid Arbitrators having taken upon themselves the Burthen of the said Award immediately after the making of the faid Writing, to wit, on the faid twenty-fifth Day of July in the Year. &c. and before Seven of the Clock in the Afternoon of the same Day at W. aforesaid, their Award touching and concerning the Premisses in the said Condition above mentioned, by Word of Mouth, made and published, and to the faid Parties before the faid Hour there declared in Manner and Form following, (that is to fav) That the faid S. should pay to the faid 7. twelve Guineas, and all fuch Sums as the faid 7. had laid out or expended in and about the Profetion of the Plea aforesaid, and that immediately after such Payment sas well the said 7. as the said S. should give to each other in Writing a general Release of all Actions, Causes of Actions and Demands whatfoever, until the Time of making the faid Writing between them depending; and the faid 7. further faith, that at the Time of making the faid Writing obligatory and Award, she the faid 7. had laid out and expended in and about the Profecution of the Plea aforesaid, the Sum of eleven Pounds feven Shillings and Seven-pence, to wit, at Westminster aforesaid, whereof the said S. afterwards, to wit, on the first Day of August in the Year, &c. at W. had Notice; and afterwards, to wit, on the twentieth Day of the same August at W. aforesaid, the said 7. requested the said S. to pay to the faid J. as well the faid twelve Guineas as the faid eleven Pounds seven Shillings and Seven pence;

pence; but the faid 7. in fact faith that the faid S. hath not paid to the faid 7. the faid twelve Guineas according to the Form and Effect of the faid Award; and this she is ready to verify; wherefore fhe prays Judgment and her Debt aforesaid, together with her Dantages on Account of the detaining of fuch Debr, to be adjudged to her. E3c.

And the faid S. faith, that the aforesaid Plea of Demurrer to the faid J. above pleaded in Reply, and the Mat-tie Replicater therein contained, are not sufficient in the Law for the faid 7. to have and maintain her aforesaid Action against him the said S, and that he has no need, nor is he obliged by the Law of the Land to give any Answer to the faid Plea in Manner and Form above pleaded; and this he is ready to verify; wherefore for want of a sufficient Replication in this Behalf, the faid S. as before prays Judgment, and that the faid J. may be barred from having her said Action against him, &c.

And the faid 7. for that she has above in her Joinder in Replication alledged sufficient Matter in the Law Demurrer. to have and maintain her faid Action against the faid S. which she is ready to verify, which said Matter the said S. doth not deny, nor in any wise answer thereto, but altogether refuses to admit the Verification thereof, prays Judgment as before, and her Debt aforesaid, together with her Damages on Account of the detaining that Debt to be adjudged to her, &c. And because the Justices here are willing to advise themselves of and concerning the Premisses before they give their Judgment thereon, a Day is given to the Parties aforesaid here until from the Day of Easter in fifteen Days, to hear thereon their Judgment, &c.

Debt upon a Bond.

W. H. late of. Gr. was summoned to answer R. S. R. D. and W. S. of a Plea that he render to them forty Pounds, which he owes to and unjustly detains from them, and whereupon the faid R. W. R. D. and W. S. by R. S. their Actornev complain, that whereas the faid W. H. on the fecond Day of August in the Year. &c. at G. by his certain Writing obligatory acknowledged himfelf to be bound to the faid R. R. and W. in the faid forty Pounds, to be paid to the faid R. R. and W. when he should be thereunto required; but nevertheless the said W. H. although often requested, the faid forty Pounds to the faid R. R. and W. hath not rendered, but to render them the same hath hitherto refused, and still refuseth; wherefore they fay they are prejudiced and have Damage to the Value of twenty Pounds. And they bring here into Court the faid Writing, which teftifies the faid Debt in Form aforesaid, the Date whereof is the Day and Year above mentioned, &c.

Plea.

And the faid W. H. by J. M. his Attorney comes and defends the Force and Injury, when, &c. and prays Over of the Writing aforesaid; and it is read to him, &c. he likewise prays Over of the Condition of the faid Writing; and it is read to him in these Words, to wit, The Condition of this Obligation is such. That if the above bounden W. H. his Heirs, Executors and Administrators, for his and their Parts and Behalfs, shall and do in all Things well and truly stand to, obey, abide, perform, fulfil and keep the Award, Order, Arbitrament, final End and Determination of Ambrofe Pudjey, of, &c. Efq; and Thomas Parker, of, &c. Esq. Arbitrators indifferently elected and named, as well on the Part and Behalf of the above bounden W. H. as of the above named R. R. and W. to arbitrate, award, order, judge and determine

of and concerning all and all Manner of Action and Actions, Caufe and Caufes of Actions, Suits, Bills, Bonds, Specialties, Judgments, Executions, Extents, Quarrels, Controversies, Trespasses, Damages and Demands whatfoever, at any Time heretofore had, made, moved, brought, commenced, fued, prosecuted, done, suffered, committed or depending by or between the faid Parties, fo as the faid Award be made and put into Writing, and ready to be delivered to the faid Parties in Difference, or such of them as shall defire the same, on or before the eleventh Day of November next: then this Obligation to be void, or else to stand in full Force and Virtue. Which being read and heard, the faid W. H. faith that the faid R. R. and W. ought not to have their faid Action against him, because he saith that the said A. P. and T. P. the Arbitrators aforesaid after the making of the faid Writing, at or before the faid eleventh Day of November, in the Condition of the faid Writing above mentioned, made no Award between the faid Parties in the faid Condition above specified: and this he is ready to verify; wherefore he prays Judgment whether the faid R. R. and W. ought to have their said Action against him, &c.

And the said R. R. and W. say that they ought The Replicanot, by any Thing by the said W. H. above al-tion, setting
sedged in his Plea, to be precluded from having forth the Atheir said Acton against him, because they say that
the said A. P. and T. P. the Arbitrators in the
said Condition above named having taken upon
themselves the Burthen of making their Award between the said Parties aforesaid, of and upon the
Premisses in the said Condition above mentioned,
after the making of the said Writing, and before
the said eleventh Day of November in the Year,
Soc. at G. the said Arbitrators made their Award

in Writing under their Hands and Seals, touching and concerning the Premisses aforesaid, then and there ready to be delivered to the faid Parties, by which Award the faid Arbitrators awarded and ordered touching and concerning the Premisses in the faid Condition above specified, in Manner and Form following, (that is to fay) That the faid W. H. should well and truly pay or cause to be paid to them the faid R. W. R. D. and W. S. or to any of them, the Sum of fifteen Pounds of lawful Money, &c. on or before the first Day of December then next following, which the faid Arbitrators judged the said R. R. and W. to have sustained in Costs and Damages, by Reason of a certain Suit profecuted without any Cause by the said W. H. against them the said R. R. and W. and further the faid Arbitrators ordered that all Suits and Differences between the faid W. H. of the one Part, and the faid R. R. and W. of the other Part, which were moved, had or depending before the Day of the Date of the faid Writing obligatory, should absolutely cease and be void and determined, as by the faid Award (among other Things) may more fully appear; and the faid R. R. and W. S. protesting that the said W. H. hath not observed, performed, fulfilled and kept any Thing in the faid Award above specified on the Part of the said W. H. to be observed, performed, fulfilled or kept, they the said R. R. and W. in fact say that the said W. H. hath not paid to the faid R. R. and W. or to any of them, the Sum of fifteen Pounds on the faid first Day of December then next following the Date of the faid Award, and which he ought to have paid to them or some of them, according to the Form and Effect of the faid Award; and this they are ready to verify; wherefore they pray Judgment and their Debt aforesaid, together with their

their Damages on Account of the detaining of such Debt, to be adjudged to them, &c.

And the said W. H. saith that the aforesaid Plea The Defenof the said R. R. and W. above pleaded in Reply, dant's Demura
and the Matter therein contained, are not sufficient
in the Law for the said R. R. and W. to have and
maintain their aforesaid Action against him the
said W. H. and that he has no need, nor is he obliged by the Law of the Land to give any Answer
to the said Plea in Manner and Form above pleaded; and this he is ready to verify; wherefore for
want of a sufficient Replication in this Behalf, the
said W. H. as before prays Judgment, and that
the said R. R. and W. may be barred from having
their said Action against him, &c.

And the faid R. R. and W. for that they have Joinder in above in their Replication alledged sufficient Mat-Demurrer. ter in the Law to have and maintain their faid Action against the said W. H. which they are ready to verify; which said Matter the said W. H. doth not deny nor in any wife answer thereto, but altogether refuses to admit the Verification thereof. pray Judgment as before, and their Debt aforesaid, together with their Damages on Account of the detaining that Debt, to be adjudged to them, &c. And because the Justices here are willing to advise themselves of and concerning the Premisses before they give their Judgment thereon, a Day is given to the Parties aforefaid here until from the Day of Easter in fifteen Days, to hear thereon their Judgment, &c.

London, sf. JOHN CROWE, late of, &c. in Debt on Bond the County aforesaid, &c. was sum- of Submission. moned to answer William Seal of a Plea that he render

render to him forty Pounds, which he owes to and unjustly detains from him, &c. and whereupon the faid William by John Harris his Attorney complains, that whereas the faid John Crows on the twenty-third Day of January in the Year, &c. at B. by his certain Writing obligatory acknowledged himself to be held to the said William in the faid forty Pounds, to be paid to the faid William when he should be thereunto requested; but yet the faid John Crowe (although often required) the faid forty Pounds to the faid William hath not rendered, but to render him the fame hath hitherto refused, and still refuseth; wherefore he saith he is prejudiced and hath Damage to the Value of twenty Pounds, and therefore brings Suic, &c, and he brings here into Court the faid Writing, which testifies the said Debt in Form aforesaid, the Date whereof is the Day and Year above mentioned. 1363

Tender and Refusal plead-

And the faid John Crowe by William Bele his Attorney comes and defends the Force and Injury, when, &c. and prays Over of the faid Writing; and it is read to him; he likewise prays Over of the Condition of the faid Writing; and it is read to him in these Words. The Condition of this Obligation is fuch, That if the above bounden John Crowe, his Heirs and Executors, and every of them, for his and their Parts and Behalfs, shall and do in all Things well and truly stand to, abide, observe and perform, fulfil and keep the Award, Order, Rule, Judgment and final Determination of O. B. of, &c. and T. H. of, &c. Arbitrators indifferently elected and chosen, as well on the Part and Behalf of the above bounden 7. C. as on the Part and Behalf of the above named William Seal, to arbitrate, award, order, judge and finally determine, of, in, and upon all and all Manner of Action

Action and Actions, Caufe and Caufes of Action, Suits, Bonds, Bills, Quarrels, Variances, Trespaffes, Batteries, Dues, Debts, Reckonings, Accounts and Demands whatfoever, at any Time heretofore had, moved, committed and depending between the faid Parties, or either of them, so as the faid Arbitrators do make and declare their Award in the Premisses, on this Side, or before the tenth Day of February next ensuing the Date hereof; and if the faid Arbitrators cannot agree, nor no Award shall make in the Premisses, then if the above bounden John Crowe, his Heirs, Executors and Administrators, and every of them, do well and truly stand to, abide, perform, fulfil and keep the Award, Umpirage and final Determination of William Smith, of, &c. an Umpire indifferently elected and chosen by the mutual Consent of both Parties, to arbitrate, award and finally determine, of, in, and upon all and all Manner of Actions. Suits, Bonds, Bills, Quarrels, Differences, Trefpasses, Batteries, Dues, Debts, Reckonings, Accounts and Demands whatfoever, at any Time heretofore had, moved, flirred or depending between the faid Parties, or either of them, so as the faid Umpire do make or declare his Award in the Premisses, on this Side or before the eighteenth Day of February next ensuing the Date hereof; that then this present Obligation to be void and of none Effect, or else to stand and abide in full Force and Virtue. Which being read and heard, the faid John Crowe faith that the faid William Seal ought not to have his faid Action against him, because he saith that the said Arbitrators in the faid Condition mentioned, after the making of the faid Writing obligatory, and upon or before the faid tenth Day of February, in the said Condition above specified, did not make any Award, Order, Rule.

Rule, Judgment or final Determination between the faid Fobn Crowe and the faid William Seal touching and concerning the Premisses in the said Condition above specified, according to the Form and Effect of the faid Condition; and the faid John further faith that the faid William, the Umpire in the faid Condition specified, after the faid tenth Day of February in the faid Condition mentioned, and before the eighteenth Day of February therein also mentioned, to wit, the fixteenth Day of February in the Year, &c. at B. aforesaid, having taken upon himself the Burthen of the said Umpirage then and there awarded, ordered and adjudged between the Parties aforesaid, touching and concerning the Premisses in the aforesaid Condition specified, to wit, that he the faid John Crowe, his Executors, Administrators or Affigns should pay to the said William Seal, his Executors, Adminstrators or Affigns, the Sum of twelve Pounds ten Shillings of good and lawful Money, &c. upon or before the thirteenth Day of March then next following; and the faid John Crowe in fact faith that he the faid Folm after the making of the faid Umpirage, to wit, on the faid thirteenth Day of March in the faid Umpirage mencioned, at B. aforesaid, tendred to the faid William Seal the faid twelve Pounds ten Shillings, which the faid William to receive of the faid John then and there refused; and this he is ready to verify; wherefore he prays Judgment whether the faid William Seal ought to have his faid Action against him, &c.

Replication.

And the faid William faith that he ought not, by any Thing before alledged by the faid John Crowe, to be precluded from having his faid Action against him the said John, because he saith that well and true it is, that the said William Smith awarded,

awarded, ordered and adjudged that the faid Jobn Crowe, his Executors, Administrators or Assigns should pay to the said William Seal, his Executors, Administrators or Assigns, the Sum of twelve Pounds ten Shillings of good and lawful Money, &c. upon or before the thirteenth Day of March then next following, in full Satisfaction of all Differences between the faid William Seal and the faid John Crowe; but the faid William Seal further faith that the faid John Crowe never tendered to the faid William Seal the faid twelve Pounds ten Shillings in Manner and Form as the faid John Crowe above in Reply hath alledged; and he prays that this may be inquired of by the Country, &c.

And the faid J. faith that the aforesaid Plea of Demurrer. the faid W. above pleaded in Reply, and the Matter therein contained, are not sufficient in the Law for the faid W. to have and maintain his aforefaid Action against him the faid 7. and that he has no need, nor is he obliged by the Law of the Land to give any Answer to the faid Plea in Manner and Form above pleaded; and this he is ready to verify; wherefore for want of a sufficient Replication in this Behalf, the faid J. as before prays Judgment, and that the faid W. may be barred from having his faid Action against him. &c.

And the faid W. for that he has above in his Repli- Joinder in cation alledged fufficient Matter in the Law to have Demurrer. and maintain his faid Action against the said 3. which he is ready to verify; which faid Matter the faid J. dorh not deny, nor in any wife answer thereto, but alrogether refuses to admit the Verification thereof, prays Judgment as before and his Debt aforesaid, rogether with his Damages on Account of the detaining that Debt, to be adjudged

willing to advise themselves of and concerning the Premisses before they give their Judgment thereon, a Day is given to the Parties aforesaid here until from the Day of Easter in fisteen Days, to hear thereon their Judgment, &c.

Assumptit on Award.

Yorksb. ff. DE it remembred, that heretofore, to D wit, in Easter Term last past, before our Lord the King at Westminster came John Birks by Emanuel Scorab his Accorney, and brought here into the Court of our Lord the King then and there a certain Bill of his against Burrowes Trippet, Gent. one of the Clerks of R. H. Knight, Chief Clerk of our Lord the King, affigned to inroll Pleas in the Court of our faid Lord the King, before the King himself, present here in Court in his own proper Person, of a Plea of Trespass upon the Case; and there are Pledges to prosecute, to wir, John Doe and Richard Roe; which faid Bill follows in these Words, to wit, Yorksbire, to wit, John Birks complains of Burrowes Trippet, Gent. one of the Clerks of Sir R. H. Knight, Chief Clerk of our Lord the King, affigned to inroll Pleas in the Court of our faid Lord the King, before the King himelf, present here in Court in his own proper Perfon, for that, to wit, That whereas divers Quarrels, Differences and Suits were had and depending between him the faid John and the faid Burrowes, for the appealing of which Suits and Differences, and all other Causes of Action depending between them, as well the faid John as the faid Burrowes, on the fourteenth Day of April in the Year of our Lord, &c. at Doncaster in the said County, submitted themselves to stand to the Award, Order and final Judgment of one Francis Barker, the Arbitrator indiffer-

indifferently elected between them, to award, order and finally adjudge of and concerning the Premisses. And the faid Burrowes afterwards, to wit, the same Day and Year abovesaid, at Doncaster aforesaid in the County aforesaid, in Consideration of the faid Submission, and in Consideration that the faid Jahn had then and there faithfully promifed to pay to the faid Burrowes forty Pounds of lawful Money, &c. whenever he should be by the said Burrowes required thereunto; if the faid John should not perform and fulfil all and every Thing which the said Arbitrator should award and adjudge touching and concerning the faid Premisses on his Part to be performed and fulfilled, took upon himself, and to the said John then and there faithfully promised, that if he the said Burrowes should not perform and fulfil all and every Thing which the faid Arbitrator should award and adjudge touching and concerning the faid Premisses on his Part to be performed and fulfilled, that then the faid Burrowes the faid forty Pounds of lawful Money, &c. to the faid John when he should be thereunto required, would well and faithfully pay and content; and the faid John in fact faith that the faid Arbitrator having taken upon himself the Burthen of awarding, ordering and adjudging between him the said John and the said Burrowes, touching and concerning the Premisses in the said Award so as aforesaid mentioned, afterwards, to wit, on the twenty-first Day of the said Month of April in the Year of our Lord, &c. at Doncaster aforesaid in the County aforefaid, did thereupon award, order and adjudge in Manner and Form following. that is to fay, In the first Place, that the faid Burrowes should pay to the said John the Sum of ten Shillings for and in Confideration of the Damages of the said John sustained in a certain Action of Trespass

Trespass and Assault, and also twenty Shillings more for the Care and depasturing of a certain Mare of the said Burrowes, by the said John taken Care of and depastured; and the faid Arbitrator further awarded and adjudged that the faid Burrowes should pay to the said John for the Care of a certain Ox, and Medicines for two Heifers of the faid Burrowes, other ten Shillings; and also the further Sum of four Pounds towards the Costs of the faid John Birks expended in Law upon divers Differences moved between them, which faid Sums in the whole amount to fix Pounds, the faid Arbitrator awarded and adjudged the faid Burrowes to pay to the said John upon the twenty-third Day of the faid Month of April, at the Dwelling-House of Henry Jenkinson in the Parish of Hansworth in the County of York, and upon Receipt of the said fix Pounds general Releases under the Hands and Seals of each Party should be mutually given to the other from the Beginning of the World until the faid twenty-third Day of April in the Year of our Lord, &c. and also that the said Burrowes should deliver to the said John a certain Fine concerning and belonging to the faid John Birks and one John Merriot, late of the Parish of Hansbury aforesaid in the County aforesaid; and the said Arbitrator further awarded, ordered and adjudged for the appealing of the Differences aforefaid, and for the Sake of Quiet, that the said John from the Day of Date of the said Release should cease and desist from all further Profecution at the general Session of the Peace against the said Burrowes; and the said John in fact faith, that although he the faid John well and truly performed and fulfilled all and every Thing in the faid Award above specified on the Part of the said John to be performed and fulfilled according to the Form and Effect of the faid Award,

ward, yet the faid Burrowes the faid fix Pounds to the faid John Birks on the faid twenty-third Day of April in the Year of our Lord, &c. at or in the faid Dwelling-House of the faid Henry Jenkinson hath not paid nor hath delivered the faid Fine according to the Form and Effect of the faid Award, although the faid Barrowes was required thereunto by the faid John afterwards, to wir, on the twenty-fourth Day of April in the Year of our Lord, &c. at Doncaster aforesaid in the County aforesaid; but nevertheless the said Burrowes his Promises and Undertakings aforesaid, in Form aforesaid made, in no wife regarding, but contriving and fraudulently intending the faid John Birks in this Behalf craftly and subilely to deceive and defraud, the faid forty Pounds to the faid John according to his Promifes and Undertaking hath not yet paid, although often requested, nor in any wife fatisfied him for the fame; wherefore the said John saith that he is prejudiced, and hath Damage to the Value of one hundred Pounds; and therefore he bringeth Suit, &c.

And now here at this Day, to wit, Tuesday The Desennext after three Weeks of St. Michael, this same dant's special Term, until which Day the said Burrowes had Plea.

Leave to impart to the said Bill, and then to answer, &c. before our Lord the King, comes as well the said John by his Attorney aforesaid as the said Burrowes in his proper Person, and the said Burrowes defends the Force and Injury, when, &c. and saith that the said John Birks ought not to have or maintain his said Action against him, because he saith that well and true it is, that he the said Burrowes and the said John did submit themselves to stand to the Award, Order and final Judgment of the said Francis Barker, the Arbitrator between

them indifferently elected to award, order and finally adjudge touching and concerning the Premisses, and that he the faid Burrowes did thereupon undertake to perform and fulfil all and every Thing which the faid Arbitrator should adjudge and award on the Part of the faid Burrowes to be performed and fulfilled as the faid John hash above in his Declaration alledged; but the faid Burrowes further faith, that after the faid Submission, and also the faid Promise and Undertaking of the said Burrowes made, and before the faid Arbitrator made any Award or final Judgment between him the faid Barrowes and the faid John Birks, to wit, on the twentieth Day of April in the Year, &c. at Doncafter aforesaid in the said County of York, the faid John was indebted to the faid Burrowes in four Pounds of lawful Money as well for Fees by the faid John to the faid Burrowes, as the Attorney of the faid John before that Time due and then remaining unpaid, as for feveral Sums by the faid Burrowes for the said John, at the Instance and Request of the said John before that Time laid out and expended, of which faid Debt of four Pounds to the said Burrowes by the said John so as aforefaid due, the faid Arbitrator afterwards, to wir, the Day and Year last abovesaid at Doncaster aforefaid had Notice, and the faid Rurrowes then and there made it appear to the faid Arbitrator, that the faid four Pounds were justly due to the faid Burrowes and ought to be paid to the faid John, and then and there requested the said Arbitrator to make an Allowance thereof to the faid Burrowes by the Award of him the faid Arbitrator, to be ended between him the said Burrowes and the said John; and the faid Burrowes further faith, that the faid Arbitrator afterwards, to wit, on the twientieth Day of April in the Year, &c. at Dencoster aforefaid.

faid, made his Award between him the faid Burrowes and the laid John, without any Allowance or Consideration had to the said Burrowes touching or concerning the said Debt of sour Pounds to the said Burrowes by the said John so as aforesaid due and unpaid, notwithstanding the said Notice and Proof thereof to the said Arbitrator by him the said Burrowes so as aforesaid given and made; and this the said Burrowes is ready to verify; wherefore he prays Judgment whether the said John ought to have or maintain his said Action upon the said Wriving of Award, made in Manner and Form aforesaid, against him the said Burrowes, &c.

BHT

THE

TABLE.

Of Arbitrators.

A RBITRATORS ought to have Com-
A mon Sense, and Honesty, Erc. Page 8, 65
Must not be an interested Party. 8, 66,
104
To give one and intire Judgment at the
fame Time, and not in Part.
Cannot affign their Power. 8, 71
Not agreeing or delivering their Award
in Writing in due Time to the Umpire, such
Umpire may then make an Award. 34, 35
May award Part, and the Umpire the
Refidue, or remained a langua sounds 2 85
If they err in Point of Law or Matter of
Fact, a Court of Equity will reverfe their Award.
4. 마른 바른 10 H :
65, 194, 215
Bare Suggestion of their Inability or Dif-
hone try, without strong Proof thereof, infusti-
cient. 65
If proved an Infant, or that the Mat-
an man hand as his The And Para diff
ter was not level to his Understanding, dif-
qualified. 66
Arbi-

Arbitrators may make an Award the Day the Bonds of Submission bear Date; or before the Execution thereof, if published afterwards. Page 6
make an Umpire. Cannot make an Award by Parcels, no
Times, but their Judgment must be one and
not several. 69, 100
Cannot referve any Thing for future Judg
ment when the Time is expired. 69, 70, 102
When their Power extends to a Matter
not submitted to, such Power is void, and the
Award good. 70 In a Diversity, where to do a Ministeria
and where a Judicial Act, the first is held good
and the latter void. 70, 144
Cannot award Lands in Debate to
be measured by others in their Presence.
11 B newo'l or do again conne - 71
May award a Ministerial Act to be done
by a Stranger.
When they may make an Award of Parcel,
and the Umpire the Residue.
dicial Act.
E fortiori cannot award the Parties to
stand to the Award of another. 102
May award the Parties to stand to the
Arbitrament of a Stranger, if the same had
been before made by him between the said Par-
ties. selt tade to trasfell na havong il102
To deliver their Award to all the Parties
concerned.

The TTE A BTL E

Arbitrators to make the Award within the Time limited by the Submiffion. Page 213

Of the Award.

	An Award must be made in Writing and deliver-
	ed to the Parties or either of them by such a
	Day, as the Words of the Submission direct.
	II, 31, 121, 122
5	Made generally upon Submission, by
4	Consent or Bonds.
1	Directing one Party to do an Act
	depending upon another first to be done of
	the other Party, he must have Notice thereof.
	11
	Should be made beneficial to each Party
	and possible, lawful, certain and final. 11, 14,
	112, 141, 146, 148, 152
-	To be expounded as intended by the
8	Arbitrators, and not to be unravelled in Equity,
	unless by Corruption in the Arbitrators. 11
•	For one to pay the whole Money, two
	being jointly bound, is good.
-	For one to pay Money to the other's Ser-
	vant, good. 12, 151
-	May be that one Party beg the other's
	Pardon before such a Mayor, &c. 13
4	For Releases to be given to the Time of the Submission most adviseable.
	the Submillion most adviseable. 13 Must be figured and fealed by the Arbi-
-	trators, or their Marks fet if they cannot write.
2	13, 120, 121
	Is good the neither Submission nor Award
	be in Writing. 18

The TE A BLE.

An Award upon Parol (Submiffion being to pay
the Party a certain Sum) an Action may be
brought for the same. Page 18
May be made in an Appeal of Maybem,
&c
May be made of Arrears of Rent referved
in a Lease for Years.
May be to release all Bonds, Judgments,
Executions and Extents, &c.
Seldom inforced by the Judges when the
Submission or Award is by Parol. 20
Cannot be made of a Freehold. 21
Must not be of a Lease for Years.
to roun to or This calling attending of the 21
For Expences laid for the other, good.
23
Made in the Party's Favour, unreasonable
in him to find Fault therewith. 24
Is to be made conformable to the Sub-
mission with respect to Persons and Things.
Action The Police County of the State of State County 36
- For any Thing to be done merely out of
, the Submission, void.
- Of any Thing depending on the Ppincipal,
valid.
That A. shall make a Lease to B. for
which he shall pay to A. a certain Sum yearly,
good.
When the Submission is absolute, the
Award for such Part thereof as settled is valid.
39
— Upon a Submission conditional, so as the
fame Award be reduced and delivered in Wri-
ting before the faid Day, is valid. 39
the ma pay, is think,

An Award wherein for Part of the Premisses, woid,
Upon a Submission by Covenant, good.
Upon a Submission ingaging for two
Strangers, good. Ex parte, and not to the Defendant him-
felf, is void. Wherein the Father and Son jointly sub-
mit, nothing being awarded as to the Son, void. 57, 74, 93, 94
——— Should be made between the Parties chief-
ly interested in the Controversy. Upon Submission of an Infant for Battery
or Trespass done to himself in his Land, invalid.
Upon the Submission of an Infant, void,
Binding on the other Side, tho' not on the
Infant. Against two Persons, the bound in se-
parate Bonds, held good. Upon a Submission taken distributively,
walid. 61, 93 Where two Persons are jointly concerned,
the Money paid by one can be no Satisfaction for the other; adjudged Nist. 61, 93
C. are to make Satisfaction to D. and the Award
is only made between A and B and not between C and D is void as to A .
Where Differences arise between several
Parties on both Sides, and that the Award may be made between them or any of them, an
Award

Award made between any one of the Parries
on both Sides is held good, Page 62, 150, 151
May be made between feveral Perfons
if they are all present, and
whe Arbitrators have Knowledge of the whole
62, 192
Made where the Party injured fubmit
with one of them (tho' divers are concerned) is
an Excinguishment of the Trespass on the Behalf
of all of them. a bland guist guillon the 62
Made upon the Submission of the Pre-
decessor prior is binding on the Successor.
For a Person to pay a certain Sum, when
· binding on his Executors, &c. in Case of Death.
63
Made in the Disjunctive binding on Ex-
ecutors, &c. 63, 140
May be fet afide thro' Partiality or Un-
fairness. 66, 194
Wherein any one of the Arbitrators is
interested, void.
Made for a Debt upon Bond, tho' in fuch Award there be a Mifrecital of the Date
of the faid Bond, good.
Directed to be made before the fixth Day
of January then next following, and the made
upon the fifth between Eight and Nine in the
Eve, held good.
Being not made final, void. 69, 70
- For a Party to pay a Penalty; not
paying a certain Sum on a Day certain, good.
community and to a bar assort died to seem 70
- For one to enjoy Lands for three Years,
rendring to the other five Pounds yearly, good.
70
An

A A A Salah Salah Salah A A
An Award, that in Default of Payment there- of, as to the Enjoyment of the Lands, void,
Page 70
For one to give a general Release to the
other on Paymene of a certain Sum, good.
other on rayment of a certain 30m, goods
For one of the Parties to release all
Actions, &c. ut talis advisaret, void. 71,
TOI
Made by the Arbitrators without the Con-
currence of the Umpire chosen by both Parties,
good. 75
Made upon Submission to four, and to
the Umpirage of J. S. fuch Award made by them
jointly, good. 75
Made where their Power is pivided in the
大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大
Made by an Umpire, averring that the
Arbitrators non potuerunt, &c. or the Death of
one of them, or their Difagreement, or that
they would meddle no more, good, 76
Its several Incidents belonging thereto:
81
Ought regularly to be agreeable to the
Submission in Matter and Words, and to all
Instances therein. 83, 86
If made agreeable thereto in Substance
fufficient. 84
Of Things not in Variance when the Sub-
of Things not in Variance when the Sub-
mission is of all Things in Variance betwixt the
Parties, void.
Made concerning the Profits only of Land,
upon a Submission of the Right and Interest
thereof, void.

Ü,

An Award made of the Manor of S. when Sub- mission is of the Manor of D. void. Page 84, 85
have only Cause of Action, if the Submission be of all Actions, void.
Upon the Submission of all Actions and Quarrels, good.
May be made of all Matters concerning the Title of Land upon a Submission of all De-
mands. 85 ——— For the Husband to pay a certain Sum
of Money (laid out for the Wife at her Request being more than submitted to) void.
Made for the Payment of Tithes to the Parson, upon a Submission of all Matters as well
Spiritual as Temporal, good. 85 ——— Cannot be made of Land for which an
Action in Ejestione firmæ is brought. 86 ———— For Payment of Rent upon Submission
only of a Term for Years, and all thereupon depending, void.
paying yearly a certain Rent, which if not paid is good, the Condition being broke.
For making a Lease and paying Rent for the same, good.
on the Principal, the not expressed in the Submission. 86, 87
May be made of the Evidences and Charters of Land, if the Title and Possession thereof
be submitted to.

The TABLE:

An Award may be for Releases of all Bonds,
Indoments for upon a Submission of all
Debts, as also of the Debts themselves, good. Page 87
Deots, as allo of the Deots memeries, good.
Page 87
Held good by Construction though not
made agreeable to the Things submitted to.
made agreeable to the Things submitted to.
Made of all and an Obligation and
Made of all, præter one Obligation, and
of this the Award is, it shall stand, is a good
of this the Award is, it shall stand, is a good Award of the whole upon a Submission of all
Matters between the Parties. 87
That A. shall pay B. fifty Pounds and
that all Manner of Actions, Quarrels, &c. be-
that all Wanner of Actions, Quarters, Ct. De-
tween them shall cease, though no Mention is
made of Words, &c. according to the Submif-
fion, good.
fion, good. That a certain Sum be paid for all Arrears
of Rent due for a House and divers Elms, upon
which the Difference arose, held good. 88,
89
Of a Thing not mentioned in the Submif-
fion, how formerly it was held void. 89
For a Person to give his Horse in Satis-
faction, &c. (being contrary to the Submission)
so void. We a short the state of the 189
For one Obliges to deliver to an Obli
Tor one Congec to deriver up an Con-
gation to the other in full Satisfaction of all Dif-
For one Obligee to deliver up an Obligation to the other in full Satisfaction of all Differences, held good, the not directed by the
Submiffion. 89, 90
That a Horse or Money may be given in
full Satisfaction, quære.
Whether it be of Money or a Collateral
Thing, as a Hat or Horse, held a good Plea.
90
. U 2 An

An Award upon a Submission conditional whe void. Page 92, 9
Upon a Submission of three or more Par
ties may be made between any two of them,
the Submission directs it.
the like Submission, though no Mention of the
Wife, good.
Where A. and B. submit, and the Awar
be made in the Name of A. on the Behalf of
another, void.
Between A. and B. Merchants, of a Shi
of the one Part, and C. D. Part-Owners there
of on the other Part, that A. and B. the Mer
chants shall pay one thousand Pounds to C. and
D. for their Use, and the Residue of the other
Part-Owners and Mariners, good.
For one Parener, on the Behalf of himsel
and the other, to pay a certain Sum, held good
75, 95, 90
That one Person on the Behalf of two
Strangers pay a certain Sum, having ingager
for them, good.
Where several Persons enter into separate
Bonds of one thousand Pounds a-piece, ye
binding upon all of them to make a Genera
Release pursuant to the Award.
fon on whose Behalf the Submission was made
[2] [1] [1] [1] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [3] [3] [3] [3] [3] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4
Upon the Submission of A. for himself
of the one Part, and B. of the other Part, on
the Behalf of C. nothing being awarded
on serial of o. nothing being awaited

on C.'s Behalf, the principal Person concerned,
was void. Page 97
Made and appointing a Thing to be done
by a Stranger, how far binding, and how other-
wise in Law.
For one of the Parties to procure a Stran-
ger to do a Thing not compellable by Law,
void.
When compellable by the Common Law
or Chancery, valid.
For one to pay fifteen Pounds to the
other, and a Stranger shall enter into a Bond
to pay it, void.
Between A. and B. that A. and his Wife
and Son, by the Procurement of A. shall affure
Land to B. this Award is void as to Wife and
Son98
That one shall acquit the other of an Ob-
ligation of two hundred Pounds, in which they
are bound to B. for Payment of one hundred
and five Pounds, good.
Where Part thereof appointing any
Thing to be done by a Stranger not compellable
by Law to do is void, yet binding on himself.
For the Defendant, together with a
Stranger, to enter into a Bond in the Affign-
ment of a Breach, how resolved by different
For one to be bound with Sureties is void
as to the Sureties.
For the Defendant to be bound with
Sureties in an Assumpfit, void as to finding the
Sureties, yet binding on the Defendant. 100
U ₂ An
H. C. P. C. P. C.

An Award for Defendant to pay the Plainti
thirty Pounds in Satisfaction, &c. out of the
Estate of one Wolley, Judgment upon the A
sumpsit was staid. Page 10
For a Stranger to do a Ministerial Act
valid; if a Judicial one, void. 100, 10
For one to be bound by the Advice of
his Counsel to pay ten Pounds to the other
good.
- For one Party paying ten Pounds to the
other, fuch Party to execute a General Release as
Counsel shall advise, good.
To pay twenty Pounds for a Horse
if J. S. shall fay 'tis worth so much, good
101
For one of the Parties to leave fo many
Trees for House-boot, &c. as the Arbitrators
by Advice of Counfel at the next Affizes shall
appoint, void.
To pay fuch Costs as a Prothonotory
shall tax, good.
For a Person to surrender his Copyhold,
Gc. good. 102 For a Person to make a Deed of Feoff-
ment, with a Letter of Attorney to make Livery, good.
To levy a Fine before the Justices de
Banco, &c. good. 12, 102
To command the Justices de Banco to
oblige any Person to levy a Fine, &c. void.
1708 30 01 102
To pay a certain Sum of Money to
a Stranger not mentioned therein, void. 104

An Award to affure Lands to a Man and his Wife
who is a Stranger, not binding on the Party
in respect to the Wise. Page 104
For the Servant of A. to pay Money to
the Servant of B. void. Quare. 104
That J. shall pay one Moiety of the
Money with the Use, and W. N. the other
Moiety to the Obligee, though a Stranger, good.
104
For A. to pay Money to B. and his Wife,
the Controversy arising between them, good.
105
To pay Money to one of the Parties, held
an Advantage.
To make an Estate of certain Lands for
Life of the Plaintiff, with Remainder to J. S.
was held good as to the particular Estate, but
void as to the Remainder.
To make an Acknowledgment of an In-
jury done to another before the Mayor of T. and
three others, void.
To make fuch Acknowledgment before
the Mayor of T. only, good. 105
For A. to pay B. fifty Pounds, and for
A. to beg B.'s Pardon in such Manner and Place
as B. should appoint, void.
May be made by two of the Arbitrators
only though four are appointed, if the Sub-
mission runs to them or any two of them. 106,
107
May be made in like Manner by three of
them without the rest.
그 생님은 사람들이 얼마나 되었다면 하는 것이 되었다면 하는데 얼마를 살아내려면 하는데 그렇게 되었다면 다른데 얼마나 되었다면 살아 없었다면 살아 싶었다면 살아 없었다면 살아 싶었다면 살아 없었다면 살아 싶었다면 살아 없었다면 살아 없었다면 살아 없었다면 싶었다면 살아 없었다면 살아 없었다면 살아 없었다면 살아 싶었다면 살아 싶었다면 싶었다면 싶었다면 싶었다면 싶었다면 싶었다면 싶었다면 싶었다면

An Award, if made on any Part of the Day of Night, whereon the Submission bears Date, good. Rage 100
Parties from being present.
Meeting, and no Notice thereof proved to have been given to the Parties, not good. 109, 194
passes at another Day, and of other Things at another Day, void as to the two last.
Wood, and feveral other Matters for other Things before Michaelmas, so much as is proved
fhall not be paid at the faid Feaft. 110, 159 To pay nineteen Pounds and twelve Shil-
lings before the first Day of January, after sullum ulterius Arbitrium sieret, before the said time, void.
to the 28th of January, though the Submission
was to the 29th of January, good. ——————————————————————————————————
fourth of May, good. To release a Title to a Copyhold, &c. Super pradicto primo die Maii, omitting the Word
vicesimo, void. For Payment of a Sum of Money in like
Manner, void. Should mention the Time and Place when and where made.
그는 이번 이번 얼마나 있는데 내가 되었다. 그는 그는 그는 그는 그를 모르는데 없는데 없다.

An Award, the Time thereof when made, or of
the Submission, need not be specified in the
the Submission, need not be specified in the Plaintiff's Declaration, Nist, &c. Page 112
If pleaded in Bar of Trespass, a Place
must then be laid where the Submission was
For General Releases to be given to the
Time of the Award, good upon a double Ac-
count.
But if the same be made after the Submis-
Gon, void. 113, 114
- Made, though comprehending more
Time than submitted to, when good, 114,
ii s
For a Horse to be delivered to the Plain-
tiff in Controversy, and for each Party to execute
a General Release to the other of all Matters,
&c. betwirt St. Bartbolomew and St. Michael,
being contrary to the Time submitted to, void.
115, 116
To execute General Releases till the A-
ward, and to pay ten Pounds præter the Release,
being on a Day after the Submission, void.
116
To release all Actions till the Award which
was made to the 27th of January, though the
Time of the Submission was to February follow-
ing, good.
Upon a Submission, ita quod de Pramissis,
Ge. for Payment of twenty Pounds two Months
after the Award, and to execute General Re-
To pay a Sum of Money at two feveral
Days, and prefently to give one another mutual
Releases, void,
ı An

An Award to pay a certain Sum of Money at two feveral Days, and that mutual Releases shall be
given, good. Page 117
Made upon the Premisses, and Releases
to be given till the Award, if proved there were
no other Matters in the mean Time, good.
- If made de et super Præmissis, and the Sub-
mission is ita quod fiat de Præmiss, good.
General without any limited Time de et
Super Præmissis, shall be intended to the Time
of the Submission, and Releases of all Demands
till then shall be good.
- Of a General Release of all Demands till
the Time of the Award, good.
For A. to pay B. ten Pounds, and that B.
shall pay to A. the Expences at the making of the Award, and on performing the whole to
execute General Releases to each other, good.
For a Person to pay seven Pounds and
ten Shillings, and also all the Expences of the
Suit, &c. good as to the feven Pounds and ten
Shillings, but void as to the Expences. 120
- Parties not bound to take Conusance of the
Delivery thereof elsewhere than at the Place ap-
pointed.
Delivery thereof, (being to the Parties
themselves) tho' at another Day and Place than
appointed, good.
If directed to be delivered to such of the
Parties who shall desireit, such Party may upon Refusal plead, &c. 123
[10] [2] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4

An

An Award by Parol for Payment of ten Pounds within fix Months after the Date thereof, good. Page 124
By Parol the Party not tied to fet forth the
very Words, &c.
Parol capable of Delivery, fo it is ready to
be delivered from the Time it is agreed upon,
a good of a state of the last agreed appears
Though without Date good. 124
——— Generally requifite for the Parties to attend the Delivery thereof from Sun-rife to Sun-fet.
Any Place appointed therein must be well
Leaven and accordable
known and accessable. 125, 126 The Time may be limited thereby for an
Hour or two.
To pay Money at the House of a Stranger,
or at the House of F. S. good.
To pay Money in the House, or at the
House where the Owner thereof hath Lands ad-
joining, &c. Quere. 126
To pay Money at the House of J. S. in
C. being intended a common Inn, good. 127
To pay Money in or at the House of J. S.
in Bury, the Person may go to the Door of the
House without being a Trespasser. 127
Personal Demand must be made of the
Thing awarded before Attachment can iffue, if
Submission is by Rule of Court. 127
- A Tender and Refusal of any Thing award-
ed hath the same effect as in other Cases. 127

An Award shall be a good Bar of an Action
brought after tender and Refusal. Page 127 — Upon Tender and Refusal of what is to be
done by one Person, he is intituled to what was
awarded on his Behalf.
Parties; and that both shall give Releases for the
fame, and he who is to receive the Money upon
a Tender and Refusal, is obliged to sign a Re-
leafe as if he actually received it. 128
Controversy, and the other to enter into a Bond
to him, but for no Sum, void. 129
- To give Security for the Payment of fix-
teen Pounds without shewing what Kind of Se-
curity, void. To give Bond for the Payment of a certain
Sum of Money without ascertaining the same,
void.
growing on waste Lands, without giving any
Name to the Lands, void.
To pay so much Money for every Quarter
of Malr, as one Quarter had been before fold for, but not mentioning the Sum, void. 131
To pay the Arrears of Rent void for Un-
certainty. To pay another fo much as is due in Con-
fcience, void.
To pay Quit-Rents and other small Things,
void.

An Award to pay for certain Talk-work and Day-
work, void. Page 132
To pay two hundred Pounds aut eo circiter,
the Party being bound for one hundred Pounds
aut eo circiter, good. 132 — Upon an Assumpsit de et super Præmissis for
Desendant to my Plaintiff thirty Pounds out of
Defendant to pay Plaintiff thirty Pounds out of
the Estate of W. void.
To pay Part of the Charge of a Voyage,
and to allow a proportionable Part of the Loss
that shall happen to the Ship by such Voyage
upon Account, good.
For the Parties to execute Releases to each
other within four Days after the Award, which
was upon the last Day of April according to the
Submission to the Time, notwithstanding a cer-
tain Proviso therein, good. 133, 134
If said Proviso therein had been to be per-
formed within the faid four Days, then the Award
would have been void. 134, 145
For the whole to be void upon any subse-
quent Act, what is awarded in prasenti not good,
but destroys the whole Award. 134
To the pay Charges of fuch a Suit, not-
withstanding objected to, good. 134
To pay such Costs of Suit as a Master should
tax, good.
To pay the Plaintiff the Charges of a Suit
now depending between them, &c. altho' Ex-
ceptions arose thereupon, good. 135

An Award for Defendant to pay the Plaintiff twelve Guineas and all Sums of Money, &c. in and about the profecution of a Plea in an Action of the Case, good. Page 136, 137 ———————————————————————————————————
one Pounds and ten Shillings, et omnes rationabile.
Expensas circa sectam prædict', the latter Par
void.
and the Costs of a Suit now depending in an in- ferior Court, and then to give mutual Releases,
uncertain. Quare.
For A to make a Lease to B. and for B. to
pay A. a certain yearly Rent, good. 138
To hold and enjoy certain Goods, paying
fo much Money, good,
For A. to pay twenty Pounds to B. upon
Condition that each of the Parties shall acquit
the other all Things submitted to. 138 ——— To pay Costs of Suit in an Action of De-
famation, good. Reciting a Case of Joint-tenancy, &c. and
and that one should have unam dimidiam partem
five medietatem, the Court held dimidia pars five
medietas to be the same with a Moiety. 139
——— For the Removal of certain Scaffolds, but
not faying by whom was held void, being in-
definite. To pay fo much Money as Land is worth,
[1] : [1] :
void.

An Award that an Obligee should not sue the Obligor upon a certain Bond, objected to that it was not final, yet held to be good. Page 141
against the other, not good, being not final.
against each other, though not final, good. 142,
For the Plaintiff to make a Retraxit of his Action, and that all Suits shall cease, good.
Not to profecute or proceed in the same
Term in such an Action, good.
That all Suits now depending shall cease,
&c. allowed to be final.
That all Suits should cease, not allowed to
to be final. That a Suit in Chancery should be dismiss-
ed, void.
ed, void. That a Suit shall be dismissed is thereby
meant it must cease for ever. 143, 144
For A. to pay B. thirty Pounds at three
fundry Payments, and if it should appear before
the last Payment that A. was engaged for the said
B. in any Debt unsatisfied, that then B. should
repay to A. fo much Money as the faid Debt a-
mounting to, void. That if A. shews any Bill of Debt to any
certain Sum, then such Sum shall be repaid.
For a Person to pay one thousand Pounds.
shough only one hundred Pounds due, yet if there

there be any Thing awarded to be done unto him,
as giving him a Penny, or a General Release,
will be held advantageous. Page 146
For nothing to be done by a Party com-
mitting a Trespass, void.
To wage the law that he is Not guilty,
and that he shall be quit without Satisfaction,
void.
For the Owner to have his Goods again
withour other Satisfaction for the Action of Tref-
pass in taking away his Cattle, void. 147
For one to make a Release to the other
of Land in Satisfaction of an Action, if he to
whom the Release is given had no Land at that
Time, void.
To deliver to me all the Evidences touch-
ing the Land he released to me in Satisfaction of
an Action, good.
Appearing to be made on one Side only
the Court allowed, not to be good. 147, 148
To pay Parcel of a Debt due, not good.
148
For the Owner to have only Parcel of his
own Goods, not good.
To pay feven Pounds and ten Shillings in
Part of Arrears of Rent, amounting to fifteen
Pounds, and also for the Affigument of a Wine
To pay four Pounds, and to make a final
End of all Differences concerning a House, di-
vers Elms, &c. good. 149

An Award for A. to pay B. fifteen Pounds for Costs, &c. without Cause commenced by A. against B. and that all Suits, &c. shall cease, good. Page
other, each Party being indebted forty Shillings,
That all Controversies shall cease, and that one shall give one Shilling to the other,
good. For Releases to be given on both Sides to
the Time of the Award, and to be Friends ut in priori tempore, good.
done on the one Side, good. Where there are feveral Parties fomething
beneficial ought to be awarded to each. 150 Upon a Submission of four Persons being made between three of them only, not good.
Upon the Submission of one Person on the Behalf of another, tomething advantageous ought to be awarded on whose Behalf the Submission was made.
B. shall approve of for Payment of one hundred Pounds to B. and shall thereupon seal mutual Releases to each other, void.
May be beneficial to the Party although fomething is awarded to a Stranger. Where J. S. and J. D. are at my Request, as also that of W. N. and the Award is that I
shall pay one Moiety of the Money with the Use thereof,

thereof, and W. N. the other Moiety to the Ob- ligee, good. Page 151
For the Plaintiff and Defendant to pay
each a certain Sum yearly to A. for the Use of
Mrs. Bird their Mother, good. 152
For one Person to serve another two Years
in Satisfaction of an Action, not binding. 153 For the Parishioners to give Notice to
their Parson of a Sheep-shearing. Quere 153
Though it appears extremely difficult, yet
the Party is bound to perform it, if possible.
"Supplied the second of the second of 154
For a Person to pay twenty Pounds where
he hath not twenty Pence, &c. good. 154
To make an Obligation to another imme-
diately after the Award, void. 154
For one to make a Feoffment to the other
of one Acre, or to make an Obligation, and
immediately after to pay the Money, good.
To pay Money without mentioning Time
or Place, good.
To kill, steal, forge a Deed or the like,
void.
To pay Money to an Infant, and for him
Of fuch Matters only whereof the Arbi-
trators had Notice, although in Part, yet award-
ing General Releases, held good, 156

THE THE TANK THE	SO S
An Award more or less comprehensive in its Nature	
how expounded. Page 150	Ś
Held often good in Part, and void in Part	
156, 15	
Upon a Submission of all Suits concerning	
Tithes only, and the Award directs that A. shall	ì
pay B. fuch a Sum of Money, and thereupon B. shall	ī
fuffer all Suits to be discontinued against A. where	
he hath other Suits which do not concern the	
faid Tithes, void in Part.	
Where mean Actions are depending, how	
the same is void, and good for those which are	e
fubmitted,	The .
Upon Payment of one thousand Pounds a	
fuch Days, &c. all Actions, &c. shall cease, and	1
that each Party shall release all Matters and De	-
mands between them till fuch a Day, good	
15	
Where nothing therein is well awarded	i
but on the one Part only, yet allowed to be good	
158	3
In an Assumpsit for Defendant to pay fir	
Pounds, and each Party to release the other not	
withstanding the Submission was conditional, or	
with an ita quod, &c. good.	
For A. to pay B. ten Pounds, and that B	•
pay to A. all the Expences at the making of the	=
Award, and no Performance of the whole to re	
lease each other, good.	
For an Obligor and a Stranger to pay the	2
other Party ten Pounds, is void as to the Stranger	-
yet binding on the Obligor.)

1	An Award when void, as being against Law, not
	binding the' Submiffion be by Bond. Page 164
	If after such Avoidance there be an Af-
	fumpfit to perform it, binding. 164
	For the Delivery of a Horse before such a
	Day, if he dies in the mean Time the Party is
	excused.
-	For any Thing to be done by a Stranger
	if impossible, Quare. 164

Precedents of Awards.

Award in common Form when Submiffion	is by
Bond.	166
Another.	167
Made by two Arbitrators.	169
By one Arbitrator or Umpire.	170
Between Executors at Controverfy	
Testator's Goods by four Arbitrators.	170
In a Controverly arising by means	
Copartnership.	174
To pay Money and fign Releases.	177
To pay the Balance of an Account, a	
Part of the Money received with an Appre and to deliver up his Indentures to be canc	ntice,
and to fign Releases.	179
Ordering a Master of a Ship to	
oath before a Magistrate of the Delivery	of the
Goods beyond Sea, and for what fold, to pr	
a Testimony thereof from the Person deli-	vered
to, and upon so doing Money to be paid	him,

and a Rond for the Sale of the Goods to be deli-Page 181 vered up. - Made by three Arbitrators, wherein is a special Recital of the Matters to be performed. - Made by Order of Chancery to be confirmed by a Decree. Df Awards.

Award, though extrajudicial and not good in Smctness of Law, yet the Lord Chancellor decreed it to be performed in Specie. If made pursuant to an Order of Court, the Party ought to move the Court to confirm it. - If made a Rule of Court, and Attachment iffues thereupon against the Party, and then dies, the Act of Parliament is of no Effect. 190 - May be confirmed in Part, and made void in Part. - Where it was to be confirmed without Appeal or Exceptions, yet upon Debate Exceptions to the Award were admitted. Made of Part only upon a Submission of Controversies, so that the Award is void in Law, shall not be good in a Court of Equity. If differing from the Submission, is as well void in Equity as at Law. 193

The T A, B L E.

Of Pleas, &c.

Award is no Plea in Actions mixed. Page 24
Arbitrament nor Accord with Satisfaction are no
good Pleas in Bar when the Action is grounded
on a Deed.
Award is a good Plea in an Action to recover
Damages.
No good Plea in an Action of Covenan
for Non-payment of a Sum of Money.
May be pleaded in Bar between Plaintif
and Stranger.
for Life.
그리고 얼마를 하면 하면 가게 하는 사람이 보면 없다. 김 나라는 눈에 가장이 되는 것이 없는 사람이 되었다. 그는 사람이 가장 나라고 있다고 하는 것이 없는데 이렇게 되었다.
an Account before Auditors.
an Account before Auditors. A good Plea in Bar to an Action upon the
Statute of Labourers. 25
In an Action of Debt sufficient for the
Plaintiff to plead that Part of the Award only
which intitles him to his Action. 159, 218
Defendant pleading the Delivery of a Re-
lease to another Person for the Plaintiff's Use is a
good Plea for the Performance of the Award
164, 165
Defendant pleading that by the Award
he should stand acquitted de qualibet Materia in
eadem contenta, is a good Plea of Discharge.
165, 220
Upon Conveyance of Lands, the Word
Heirs being omitted in the Award, was decreed
to be amended.
It appearing the Arbitrators to have an
Interest in the Cargo, touching which the Award
2 Was

was made, and putting too great a Value there- on, was fet aside. Page 195 For a Tenant for Life committing Waste to the Value of three hundred and eighty Pounds,
though his Estate but seventy Pounds, &c. held good.
An Attachment may iffue for Non-per- formance of an Award, &c. 30, 214
Refused upon Party's revoking his Sub-
For a Person to be paid a Sum of Money
at a certain Time and Place, sufficient for him to shew that he attended accordingly, and that there
was no Body to pay him. 214 ———————————————————————————————————
cused. Defendant cannot plead Performance of an
Award generally. Of two Things, the one within and the other
without the Submission, the latter is void, and the Breach must be assigned only upon the first.
Unless executed, formerly held could not, except in some Cases, be pleaded to an Action.
In Debt upon Bond for Performance of an
Indenture, Defendant need not fet forth the Indenture, but refer to it generally. 221
But if it be to be paid in such a Manner, and at such Times as expressed in the Indenture, then it must be set forth at large. 221

So if the Award be to pay Money by a Will Page 22
Where Defendant pleads Performance he
ought regularly to plead it according to the Word
of the Condition.
Upon condition, fo that the Award be
given up in Writing, &e. and the Defendan
pleaded Non deliberaverunt, &c. instead of Non
reddiderunt, &c. void. 221
Where Defendant cannot plead the Statute
of Limitations to an Action brought on an A-
ward. 222, 223
Where Accord may be a Plea in any Ac-
tion, fo an Award may. 21, 22, 223
Accord formerly no Bar to an Action unless
The best way to plead it is by Way of
Satisfaction, and not by Accord. 223
When it may be pleaded without Execu-
tion as well as an Arbitrament. 223
Upon Tender and Refusal a good Bar of
Action. 224
(in Trespass) of a collateral Thing in Sa-
tisfaction, formerly held no good Plea. Helt.
224
Non-performance thereof, when a good
Bar in an Action on the Case, and when other-
wife. 226
By Parol, the Party is not tied to plead
the very Words, but only the Effect, &c.
221

Bargain and Sale having no Date may be within fix Months after the Delivery.	inrolled
Bond, if one be obliged that he shall not fuch a Suit, if he continues it by his A is a Breach of the Obligation.	continue ttorney,
without his Privity, is no Breach thereof	tinuance
Bonds or Judgments are not properly are but made so by the Parties entering into I Submission.	bitrable,
Contract or Covenant for the Payment of a Sum of Money, without shewing what Sum of Obligation shall be for, is good.	Sum the
Clause of the Statute relating to Submissions wards.	and A-
Deed. The Delivery thereof is the Day Date, though no Date set forth, held goo	d. 125,
Declaration ought to be sufficient in three R	
Declaration; if the Plaintiff generally decl	217
the Bond of Submission, he is not	
in his Declaration to fet forth the Award.	
If on the Award itself, to decla	
Part thereof as intitles him to the Action.	
It is not necessary therein to specify	
the Award or Submission were made.	210

Declaration. But when a Plea of an Award is therein in Bar of a Trespass, it must be laid where
the Submission was made. Page 218
The Plaintiff need not set forth a Profest
thereof in Curia, because it is no Deed. 218
- When the Plaintiff fets forth an Award
in his Declaration, fufficient for him to declare
fuch Part thereof as intitles him to the Action.
219
in his Declaration he must fet forth the whole
Award. 219

Precedents of Pleadings in Award.

Declaration upon an Arbitration Bond,	239
Plea.	240
Declaration in a Debt upon a Bond to perfo	
. Award.	241
Defendant craves Oyer of the Bond.	242
Defendant pleading that neither the Arbitrate	rs nor
Umpire made any Award or Umpirage.	243
The Plaintiff's Reply thereto.	244
The Defendant's Special Demurrer:	245
Joinder in Demurrer.	246
Declaration in a Debt on the Award.	247
Plea.	249
Demurrer.	249
Joinder in Demurrer.	230
Judgment.	250
Declaration in Debt upon an Award, in whi	
Award is fet forth.	251

Declaration in Debt upon the Bond of Submission.

The second secon	Page 254
Plea.	254
Replication.	256
Rejoinder.	258
Demurrer.	259
Joinder in Demurrer.	259
Declaration in an Action of Debt on the	Bond of
Submiffion.	261
Plea and Performance pleaded.	262
Declaration in Debt upon the Bond.	264
Plea, Oyer of the Condition.	264
Plaintiff pleads an Award made ore tenus.	265
Demurrer to the Republication.	267
Joinder in Demurrer.	267
Declaration in a Debt upon Bond,	268
Plea.	268
The Replication fetting forth the Award.	269
The Defendant's Demurrer.	27.1
Joinder in Demurrer.	271
Debt on the Bond of Submission,	
Tender and Refusal pleaded.	272
Replication:	274
Demurrer.	275
Joinder in Demurrer.	275
Declaration in an Assumpsit on an Award.	276
The Defendant's Special Plea.	
\$ 114 storengant a obecim r 1ed	279

Demurrers.

Of Pleadings.

Demurrer upon the Plaintiff pleading an Arb ment in Bar of an Action without alledging Performance of the Award, good. Page —— For the Variance between the Award	223
forth in the Replication, and the Oyer allo	
Form not sufficient upon a general Demu	t of
Yet when fuch want of Form is ma	7382
If there be want of Substance a general	
murrer will fuffice. Where an Award is supposed to be not according to Law, the best Way is to de	
to the Plaintiff's Declaration, if he pleads Award, or to his Replication, &c. which	the
murrer may be either General of Special.	

Releases.

Releases general to each Party, where there are
mutual Demands, necessary to be given. 112
- Given to the Time of the Award, how at
first held void. 113, 116
Made without specifying any Time, shall
be taken to the Time of the Submission only,
113

The TABLE:

Releases given to the Time of the Submission, good.

Page 114

To be given of all Matters till the Award, if made de es super Pramiss, and the Condition is ita quod stat, &c. good.

Not to be given till after the Money is paid.

May be awarded of all Bonds, Judgments, &c. upon a Submission of all Debts.

37

Of the Replication.

Pleadings, &c.

Replication: If the Defendant denies the Time and Place pleaded in the Declaration where the Award or Submission was made, the Plaintiff may reply, that the same were made at such a Place, Gr. 218 Of the Plaintiff upon the Defendant pleading Nullum fecerunt Arbitrium, with Judge Hale's Opinion thereon, Wherein the Plaintiff alledges that at a Day after his Refusal he then demanded the said twenty Shillings, which the Defendant then refused to pay him, whereupon a Demurrer arose and the Replication was held idle. Replication necessary for the Plaintiff therein to plead the whole Award, where the Defendant alledges Nullum fecerunt Arbitrium, &c. 229 When the Plaintiff replies and shews the Award, he must also shew the Breach. 230

	o' he doth not fay that it	olica-
, tion. who has anyth		
In Debt upo	on an Award the Plaintiff	need
But in a D	than makes for him. ebt upon Bond otherwise,	, for
then the Plaintiff	must reply the whole Av	vard.
Temanifel " cash.		232
	dant pleading no Award m	
	d and set forth an Award,	with
a Profert in Curia,	€.	232
Held good t	tho' an Award be pleaded w	vith-
out a Date.	232,	

Rejoinder.

Pleadings, &c.

Defendant pleading no Award, the Plaintiff replies and fets forth one made and tendered, but that it was not tendered modo et forma, &c. this Rejoinder was void on a double Account. Page 235, 236

Rejoinder made by Defendant, first pleading no Award, and then afterwards shewing and confessing an Award in Fact, but that it was void in Law, is a Departure, and the Rejoinder is void.

236

De the Submission.

Submission may be of all Matters whatsoever (ex-
cept Criminal Matters) as Treasons, Murders,
cept Criminal Matters) as Treatons, Murders,
Felonies, Matrimonial Causes, &c. 3, 7, 25,
58
Cannot be of Matters of Freehold or of
Title to Lands without the Parties binding them-
felves by mutual Obligations.
- Of any Thing certain must regularly be in
Writing.
- May be of Actions personal and uncertain
without Writing, but more prudent by Bond, 4,
[HTM] : 100 THE STATE OF THE S
Marks assert 6 - 1 161 - 5, 31
May be general, special, absolute or con-
ditional, but best general. 4, 38, 39
- May be by Rule of Court, the Parties fig-
nifying their Consent in Writing.
By Parol or Covenant almost disused. 6,
42
If obtained by Threats or Imprisonment,
void. 6. 58

Submiffion of Persons civilly dead void. Page 58 Of an Infant not binding, &c. 6
Of Fame Coverts mishout their II-County
Of Feme Coverts without their Husbands,
&s. not good. 6, 58
Cannot be fingly where Societies have a
joint Power. 7, 58
By two jointly interested and a third Per-
fon, the two may enter into separate Bonds.
By divers, the Arbitrators may award
Matters jointly or separately.
- May be by one Man for another.
— May be countermanded.
- By Bond, if revoked is forfeited, if by
Rule of Court an Attachment may iffue, if by
Word without Forfeituse may be revoked. 9,
10, 72, 193
- Upon Detainer of a Charter of Feoffment
void, but an Action of Trespass for taking it
may be submitted to. 22
Of both Parties to a certain Debt, no A-
ward can be made thereupon. 23
Cannot be of Matters grounded upon Re-
cord or Statute.
May be of Damages sustained upon a Pro-
mife of Marriage. 26
Cannot be of Things not in Being. 27
28
May be of all Actions only, doth not take in
the Cause of Actions.
Of all Demands include all Matters rela-
tive to the Title of Land.
- Of all Actions and Quarrels, an Award
cannot be made of Lands or Tenements. 33
Sub-

The T A B L E.

May be fometimes general, as of all Suit	3 d
Precedents of different Kinds of Submission by Bond.	9
Submission to stand to the Award of two Arbitrators in common Form. To stand to the Award of three Arbitrators, or any two of them, and an Umpire, with a Clause to signify their Consent in Writing	3 a- :h
To an Arbitration according to the Statute &c. Special wherein the Matters are recited	6 d.
Between the Master and Owners an	8
Bankrupt, and a Master of a Ship belonging to the Bankrupt. Submission for the Part-Owners and Master to be made a Rule of Court, and another Kind of Penalty. To Arbitration with Covenants to perform	of ope of in
the fame, with Exceptions in the Submiffior Y Sub	2

Submission may be made by all Persons capable disposing of their Properties, may bind the	e of
felves, their Heirs, &c. and may engage	for
Strangers. Page	
By one Partner on Behalf of another go	
otherwise a Breach of Promise.	
요. 얼마나 맛있네요? 아이는 얼마나 집에 하는데 이 아이는 것 같아요. 그 아이는 것이 없는데 그 아이는데 그 아이는데 그는데 그 아이는데 그는데 그는데 그는데 그는데 그는데 그는데 그는데 그는데	56
By Infants doubtful.	59
Void in Part held void in some Case	es in
tolo.	. 59
Of the Husband alone, whether in R	
of his Wife by intermarriage, or as Adminis	
trix, good.	60
By the Wife requisite with Respect to	In-
heritance or Things given her in Trust, with	her
Husband's Privity and Consent.	60
- Of several Persons by joint or sepa	rate
Bonds, good.	
Of a Feme Sole to an Award, and	
fore the Award made Intermarries, is a Rev	
tion of the Submission.	
——— To an Umpire, when void. 75,	73
———— Should express in its Condition the T	ime
when the Award is to be made and delive	
when the Award is to be made and denve	
Tou Down of Down amounted Com	122
For Payment of Rent awarded for	
joyment of an House (if not paid) broke.	
The latest the state of the sta	238
By Bond in some Respects better than	n by
Rule of Court.	190
How it may be made a Rule of Co	ourt.
	205
What shall be a Breach thereof.	207

e and some and an experiment. The content of the c

amusal veids assessed atoested A. of the base and
Tender of a Sum of Money awarded to be paid, not sufficient without pleading uncore prist. Page
221, 222
But Tender of an Award to the Defendant
when none come on his Behalf to receive it, is
a good. The state of the state
Df the Umpire.
Life Chilphie.
Ilmpire, what and how choson.
Umpire, what and how choson. To be chosen before making the Award.
10 05 choich before making the 11 ward.
To follow the fame Directions in making
To follow the same Directions in making
his Umpirage, as the Arbitrators in making their
Award. 73
Cannot make an Award of any Part of
which the Arbitrators have made no Award.
73, 106
When he may make an Award of the Re-
fidue. 74
Not to enter upon his Office before the
Time of the Arbitrators be expired to make any
Award. 74, 76, 77, 213
May make an Award if the Arbitrators
do not agree upon any Award on or before the
Where no Time is limited for the Um-
pire, his Power void. 75
If chosen by the Arbitrators before their
Time is expired, ipso facto void. 79
Umpirage cholen by the Arbitrators appointed by
Rule of Court, being not performed, an Ar-
tachment was moved for. 80
Y 2 Um-

Umpirage, a Form thereof.	Page 168
Umpire cannot make his Umpirage	
Day, tho' the Arbitrators difagre	
all that Day to make their Award	
If chosen by Cross and Pile,	
is yoid.	192
When named in the Subm	iffion can by no
Means make his Umpirage. But if the Arbitrators are	
he may make his Umpirage the f	ame Day limit-
ed to the Arbitrators.	214
Must be chosen upon Con	dition that he
accepts the Umpirage.	214

FINIS.

&x. f. 9u.

